

# **Bioremediation of Tetrachloroethene Contaminated Groundwater by Dechlorinating Microbial Communities**

**Sayali Surendra Patil**

**B.Sc (Agricultural Science)**

**M.Sc (Biotechnology Studies)**

**A thesis submitted for the  
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**



**Flinders**  
UNIVERSITY

**Adelaide**

**South Australia**

**Principal Supervisor: Associate Professor Ian Menz**

**Co-supervisors: Professor Andrew Ball, Professor Jim Mitchell**

**February 2014**

## Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	2
<b>List of Tables and Boxes</b> .....	6
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	7
<b>Abstract</b> .....	8
<b>Declaration</b> .....	10
<b>Acknowledgement</b> .....	12
<b>Structure of the Thesis</b> .....	13
<b>List of Abbreviations and Terminologies</b> .....	14
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	17
<b>1.1 Bioremediation and Its Place in the World</b> .....	18
➤ 1.1.1. The Need for Bioremediation.....	19
<b>1.2 Chlorinated Compounds in the Environment: Causes for Concern</b> .....	21
➤ 1.2.1. Presence, Properties and Health Effects of Chlorinated Compounds..	21
➤ 1.2.2. State of Practice - <i>In Situ</i> and <i>Ex Situ</i> Chloroethene Bioremediation..	25
➤ 1.2.3. Enhanced <i>In Situ</i> Anaerobic Bioremediation: A Promising Technology for Chloroethene Bioremediation.....	26
➤ 1.2.4. Microbial Electric Systems: The Promising Future of Bioremediation.....	28
<b>1.3 Chloroethene Contaminant Detoxification: The Microbiology</b> .....	30
➤ 1.3.1. Dehalorespiring Bacteria.....	33
➤ 1.3.2. Reductive Dehalogenases (RDases).....	36
<b>1.4 Cleaning Up With Genomics: Applying Molecular Biological Tools for Bioremediation</b> .....	38
<b>1.5 Project Outline and Objectives</b> .....	40
➤ 1.5.1. The Site History.....	41
➤ 1.5.2. Aims of the Study.....	42
<b>CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	44
<b>2.1 Materials</b> .....	45

2.2	Groundwater sample collection.....	45
2.3	Anoxic mineral media preparation.....	47
2.4	Setting an anaerobic chamber or glove box.....	51
2.5	Microbial electric system setup.....	53
2.6	Microscopy.....	54
<b>CHAPTER 3: Biostimulation of indigenous communities for the successful dechlorination of tetrachloroethene (perchloroethylene) - contaminated groundwater.....</b>		
	➤ Statement of Authorship.....	57
	➤ Abstract.....	58
	➤ Introduction.....	58
	➤ Materials and Methods.....	59
	➤ Results and Discussion.....	61
	➤ Conclusions.....	65
	➤ Acknowledgements.....	65
	➤ References.....	66
	➤ Supplementary data (Appendix 2).....	133
<b>CHAPTER 4: Site-specific pre-evaluation of bioremediation technologies for chloroethene degradation.....</b>		
	➤ Statement of Authorship.....	68
	➤ Abstract.....	69
	➤ Introduction.....	69
	➤ Materials and Methods.....	70
	➤ Results and Discussion.....	72
	➤ Conclusions.....	78
	➤ Acknowledgements.....	78
	➤ References.....	78
	➤ Supplementary data (Appendix 3).....	139

---

<b>CHAPTER 5: Application of molecular biological tools for the assessment of the <i>in situ</i> bioremediation potential of TCE.....</b>	<b>80</b>
➤ Statement of Authorship.....	81
➤ Abstract.....	82
➤ Introduction.....	83
➤ Methods.....	85
➤ Results and Discussion.....	88
➤ Conclusions.....	92
➤ Acknowledgements.....	92
➤ References.....	93
➤ List of Figures.....	97
➤ List of tables.....	101
➤ Supplementary data (Appendix 4).....	141
<b>CHAPTER 6: Sustainable remediation: electrochemically assisted microbial dechlorination of tetrachloroethene contaminated groundwater.....</b>	<b>104</b>
➤ Statement of Authorship.....	105
➤ Abstract.....	106
➤ Introduction.....	106
➤ Results and Discussion .....	107
➤ Experimental Procedures.....	112
➤ Acknowledgements.....	114
➤ References.....	114
<b>CHAPTER 7: General Discussion and Conclusions.....</b>	<b>116</b>
➤ 7.1 Exploring the potential of non- <i>Dehalococcoides</i> dechlorinating communities for complete PCE degradation.....	117
➤ 7.2 Dechlorinating community flux during PCE degradation.....	118
➤ 7.3 Advances in the current bioremediation practices.....	119
➤ 7.4 Preliminary site evaluation: an important aspect of commercial bioremediation.....	120
➤ 7.5 From micro to macro study.....	121
➤ 7.6 Conclusions.....	122

**CHAPTER 8: References, Appendices and Corrigenda.....124**

- References.....125
- Appendices.....132
- Corrigenda.....150

---

**List of Tables**

<b>Table 1.1:</b> Principal sources of groundwater contamination.....	19
<b>Table1.2:</b> Economics of remediation treatments.....	20
<b>Table1.3:</b> Advantages and limitations of bioremediation.....	21
<b>Table 1.4:</b> The 25 most frequently detected priority pollutants at hazardous waste sites.....	22
<b>Table 1.5:</b> Physical and chemical properties of chloroethene compounds and their toxicity effects on human health.....	24
<b>Table 1.6:</b> <i>Dhc</i> RDase genes with assigned function.....	38
<b>Table 1.7:</b> Field chemical characteristics for groundwater samples at the time of collection from Maidstone study site.....	47

**List of Boxes**

<b>BOX 1.1:</b> EPA Media release - Contamination found in Edwardstown- South Plympton bore water.....	41
--	----

---

**List of Figures**

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> Distribution of the World's water.....	18
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> Common chlorinated solvents found in contaminated groundwater aquifers.....	23
<b>Figure 1.3:</b> Schematic showing two types of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) spills in an aquifer.....	25
<b>Figure 1.4:</b> Delivery of electron donors.....	27
<b>Figure 1.5:</b> Schematic of typical two chamber MES and its potential <i>for in situ</i> treatment of PCE contaminated groundwater .....	29
<b>Figure 1.6a:</b> Pathways for the degradation of chlorinated ethene.....	31
<b>Figure 1.6b:</b> Anaerobic reductive dechlorination of chloroethene plume.....	32
<b>Figure 1.7:</b> A phylogenetic affiliation tree of different dehalorespiring bacteria.....	34
<b>Figure 1.8:</b> Reductive dechlorination pathways for chloroethenes by dehalorespiring bacteria.....	36
<b>Figure 1.9:</b> Schematic representation of dehalorespiration involving RDases on the cytoplasmic membrane in <i>Dhc</i> species.....	37
<b>Figure 1.10:</b> Location of monitoring wells (MWs) at PCE-contaminated study site in Victoria, Australia.....	42
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> An anaerobic chamber or glove box used in this study.....	52
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> Design of two chambered NCBE-type MES used in this study.....	54
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> Fluorescent photomicrographs showing presence and viability of cells grown in enrichment cultures from a PCE-contaminated groundwater assessed using Live/dead BacLight stain.....	55

**Abstract**

Improper disposal of chlorinated compounds widely used as industrial solvents, intermediates in chemical industries, pesticides and pharmaceuticals has led to severe subsurface contamination. Common chlorinated compounds include tetrachloroethene or perchloroethylene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), dichloroethenes (DCE) and vinyl chloride (VC). Enhanced reductive dechlorination (ERD) represents a promising approach for the complete degradation of these compounds. Successful microbial-mediated remediation has to date been associated with major dechlorinating species such as *Dehalococcoides (Dhc)*, *Desulfitobacterium*, *Desulfuromonas*, *Dehaloginomonas*, *Geobacteriaceae* and *Sulfurospirillum*. This research explored the degradation potential of microbial communities other than these well studied groups within groundwater collected from a PCE-contaminated site in Australia. Laboratory based enrichment cultures using groundwater samples with high PCE levels ( $146 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) showed the dominance of *Proteobacteria*, *Spirochaetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Methanomicrobiaceae*, *Methanosaetaceae* and *Methanosarcinaceae* groups. The indigenous groundwater community was found capable of the complete dechlorination of PCE to the environmentally safe end product ethene over 24 weeks, with the sequential degradation of PCE via intermediate products. The molecular culture-independent microbial profiling techniques like polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) along with novel statistical Pareto-Lorenz and moving windows analyses were used to assess changes in the indigenous microbial community during PCE removal. A comparison of the effects of using either biostimulation only (BS) with biostimulation plus bioaugmentation (BS-BA) for PCE remediation in a laboratory based system showed that both remediation regimes were successful, with complete PCE degradation occurring over 17 and 21 weeks for BS only and BS-BA, respectively compared to controls which had only 30% PCE degradation. Furthermore, quantitative real time PCR and live-dead cell count (LDCC) analyses

showed a 2-3 fold increase in microbial cell abundance with approximately 70–80% viability in both treatments indicating active growth of PCE dechlorinators. We further employed BS, BS-BA and monitored natural attenuation (MNA) strategies for commercial bioremediation at TCE contaminated site in Victoria, Australia. Over the period of nine months of BS, MNA and BS-BA treatments TCE concentration was reduced from 40, 79 and 150  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  to below maximum concentration level of 5  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ , respectively. Although, this work highlighted ERD as an effective way of PCE remediation, this technology has a few disadvantages. Hence, an alternative microbial electric system (MES) was established where bioenergy was generated through the catalytic actions of microorganisms during PCE dechlorination. Multiple lab-scale MESs fed with acetate and carbon electrode/PCE as electron donors and acceptors, respectively under BS only and BS-BA regimes further highlighted the bio-electrochemical potential of indigenous non-*Dhc* community against previously well studied *Dhc* and *Geobacteriaceae* species. The indigenous non-*Dhc* community was found to contribute significantly to electron transfer with ~61% of the current generated. Microbial colonization and biostimulation resulted in 100% dechlorination in both treatments with complete dechlorination occurring 4 weeks earlier in BS-BA samples and up to 11.5  $\mu\text{A}$  of current being generated than BS only MES. Overall, this study contributes to better understanding of the dechlorinating potential of indigenous non-*Dhc* microorganisms; their structure, dynamics and functional organization in response to PCE dechlorination that will assist to advance the bioremediation field in a rational manner. In addition, evidence of advances in the current bioremediation practices in terms of methodology (LDCC) and techniques (MES) are presented.

### Declaration

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge it contains no materials previously published or written by another person, or substantial proportions of material which have been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at Flinders University of South Australia or any other educational institution, except where due acknowledgments is made in the thesis. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom I have worked at Flinders University or elsewhere, is explicitly acknowledged in this thesis. I also declare that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work, except to the extent that assistance from others in the project's design and conception or in style, presentation and linguistic expression is acknowledged.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the Flinders University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

List of publications contained in this thesis and the copyright holders(s) for each work are as follows:

**Patil, S.S.**, Adetutu E.M., Aburto-Medina, A., MenzI.R., Ball A.S. 2014. Biostimulation of indigenous communities for the successful dechlorination of tetrachloroethene (perchloroethylene) - contaminated groundwater. *Biotechnology Letters* 36, 75-83 doi:10.1007/s10529-013-1369-1

**Patil, S.S.**, Adetutu E.M., Sheppard P.J., Morrison P., MenzI.R., Ball, A.S. 2014. Site-specific pre-evaluation of bioremediation technologies for chloroethene degradation. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 11 (7), 1869 – 1880.

**Patil, S.S.**, Adetutu E.M., Rochow, J., Mitchell, J.G., Ball, A.S. 2013. Sustainable remediation: electrochemically assisted microbial dechlorination of tetrachloroethene contaminated groundwater. *Microbial Biotechnology* 7 (1), 54-63 doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12089

Gundry, T.D., **Patil, S.S.**, Ball, A.S. 2014. Application of molecular biological tools for the assessment of the *in situ* bioremediation potential of TCE. Submitted to *Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation* (Under review)

During the candidature, I attended two conferences, one international and other national which presented an opportunity to present my work. The conferences included:

- Proffered paper presentation on 'Sustainable remediation: bioenergy generation during the bioremediation of tetrachloroethene contaminated groundwater' at 54<sup>th</sup> 'Annual Scientific Meeting and Exhibition of the Australian Society for Microbiology' held between 7-10 July 2013, Adelaide, South Australia.
- Poster presentation on 'Indigenous microbial community dynamics during reductive dechlorination of groundwater at a chloroethene contaminated site in Victoria, Australia' at 14<sup>th</sup> 'International Symposium on Microbial Ecology' held between 19-24 August, 2012, Copenhagen, Denmark (Appendix 1, page 116).

**Sayali Surendra Patil**

**Date: 12/09/2014**

### Acknowledgement

First, I wish to thank my supervisor and co-supervisors especially, Professor Andrew Ball, for offering me an excellent opportunity to conduct my PhD and for providing me both with support and ideas throughout the project. He has contributed in a different way to this project and each contribution has been valuable: stimulating meetings and informal discussions, a continuous trust in my abilities, judicious and friendly advice, meticulous lab training, all offered with patience and enthusiasm. My sincere thanks also go to Associate Professor Dr Ian Menz and Professor Jim Mitchell for their assistance and support during the project.

I have been very lucky to work not only with some of the best people in the field of Environmental Biotechnology and Molecular Microbiology, but also some of the nicest. I also wish to thank the lovely members of my multicultural lab for their friendship, practical help and moral support throughout my research: Dr. Eric Adetutu, Dr. Kishore Kadali, Dr. Karina Sbisa, Esmail Shamsavari, Mohamed Taha, Abdulatif Mansur, Nurulita Yuana and Taylor Gundry. The presence and advice of all the subsequent members of the labs also has been greatly appreciated, as well as the colorful and stimulating multinational atmosphere.

I wish to express my appreciation to Flinders University of South Australia for providing a scholarship and conference travel award which supported me financially throughout the candidature and opened the gateway to attend International Symposium on Microbial Ecology (ISME) held in Copenhagen, Denmark. I thank Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT) for adopting and sheltering me during the last couple of years of my candidature. I am also grateful to Environmental Earth Sciences International (EESI), Victoria for making sincere efforts to assist my project by making necessary resources available whenever required.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my beloved father and mother for being a constant source of inspiration, courage and the greatest teacher in my life: this is for both of you!

---

### Structure of the Thesis

This thesis is subdivided into 8 main Chapters. An introduction to the project and a review of the current literature is provided in Chapter 1. Chapter 2 contains details of the general experimental materials and methods used in this study throughout the research chapters (3 to 6). Details of the methodologies used in specific research chapters are given in the relevant result chapters.

Chapter 3 represents the first results chapter and describes how the biostimulation strategy was implemented to enhance indigenous communities for successful dechlorination of tetrachloroethene contaminated groundwater.

Based on the Chapter 3 results, Chapter 4 further describes work on the site-specific pre-evaluation of biostimulation and biostimulation plus bioaugmentation based bioremediation strategies for chloroethene degradation. This chapter also demonstrates the applicability of quantitative microbiological tools like real – time PCR and Live/dead Cell Count analyses for preliminary site assessments.

Chapter 5 extends the laboratory based work described in Chapter 3 and 4 to *in situ* commercial application.

Chapter 6 explores the avenue of Microbial Electric System (MES) as a ground-breaking alternative to current remediation technology. This chapter highlights the potential of indigenous non-*Dehalococcoides* bacterial community in bio-electrochemically reducing tetrachloroethene to enhance MES efficiency for successful bioremediation.

Chapter 7 presents an overall discussion of the research carried out in the thesis and draws final conclusions.

Chapter 8 contains the references cited in Chapter 1, Chapter 2 and Chapter 7. Appendices and Corrigenda have also been included in Chapter 8.

The Results Chapters 3, 4 and 6 represents peer-reviewed articles in international journals and has been reproduced in their published format. Chapter 5 has been submitted to a refereed academic journal and is reproduced in the submitted format.

**List of Abbreviations and Terminologies**

<b>bgs</b>	Below ground surface
<b>BS</b>	Biostimulation
<b>BA</b>	Bioaugmentation
<b>cDCE</b>	<i>cis</i> -Dichloroethene
<b>DCA</b>	Dichloroethane
<b><i>Dhc</i></b>	<i>Dehalococcoides</i>
<b>DGGE</b>	Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis
<b>DNAPL</b>	Dense Non-aqueous Phase Liquid
<b>GW</b>	Groundwater
<b>16S rRNA</b>	16S sub-unit of ribosomal DNA gene
<b>MBTs</b>	Molecular Biological Tools
<b>MCL</b>	Maximum Contaminant Level
<b>MES</b>	Microbial Electric Systems
<b>MNA</b>	Monitored Natural Attenuation
<b>MWs</b>	Monitoring Wells
<b>NA</b>	Natural Attenuation
<b>LNAPL</b>	Light Non-aqueous Phase Liquid
<b>PCR</b>	Polymerase Chain Reaction
<b>PCE</b>	Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethene)
<b>RDase</b>	Reductive Dehalogenase
<b>RNA</b>	Ribonucleic Acid
<b>TCE</b>	Trichloroethene
<b>TCA</b>	Trichloroethane
<b>VC</b>	Vinyl Chloride
<b>VOCs</b>	Volatile Organic Compounds

**Terminologies used in this study**

**Biodegradation:** Biologically mediated conversion of one compound to another.

**Bioremediation:** Use of microorganisms to control, transform and/or destroy contaminants

**Biotransformation:** Microbiologically catalyzed transformation of a chemical to some other product.

**Biostimulation:** The addition of nutrients, electron acceptors (or electron donors), and sometimes auxiliary substrates to stimulate growth and activity of specific indigenous microbial populations.

**Bioaugmentation:** The addition of exogenous, specialized microorganisms with enhanced capabilities to degrade the target pollutant.

**Chlorinated Solvent:** A hydrocarbon in which chlorine atoms substitute for one or more hydrogen atoms in the compounds structure. Chlorinated solvents commonly are used for grease removal in manufacturing, dry cleaning, and other operations.

**Co-metabolism:** A reaction in which microbes transform a contaminant even though the contaminant cannot serve as an energy source for the organisms. To degrade the contaminant, the microbes require the presence of other compounds (primary substrates) that can support their growth.

**Dechlorination:** The removal of chlorine atoms from a compound.

**Dehydrohalogenation:** Elimination of a hydrogen ion and a halide ion resulting in the formation of an alkene.

**Dihaloelimination:** Reductive elimination of two halide substituents resulting in formation of an alkene.

**Ex Situ Bioremediation:** The use of aboveground bioreactors to treat contaminated soil or groundwater that has been extracted from the contaminated site.

***In Situ* Bioremediation:** Bioremediation process that occur below the ground surface, where the contaminated zone becomes the bioreactor.

**Electron Acceptor:** Compound that gains electrons (and therefore is reduced) in oxidation-reduction reactions that are essential for the growth of microorganisms. Common electron acceptors are oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, iron and carbon dioxide. Highly chlorinated solvents (e.g. TCE) can act as electron acceptors.

**Electron Donor:** Compound that loses electrons (and therefore is oxidized) in oxidation-reduction reactions that are essential for the growth of microorganisms. In bioremediation organic compounds serve as electron donors. Less chlorinated solvents (e.g., VC) can act as electron donors.

**Enhanced Anaerobic Bioremediation:** Addition of carbon sources (electron donors) and/or nutrients to the subsurface in order to stimulate bacteria which can destroy chlorinated solvents by using them as an electron acceptor in the process of reductive dechlorination.

**Intrinsic Remediation or Natural Attenuation:** *In situ* remediation that uses naturally occurring processes to degrade or remove contaminants without using engineering steps to enhance the process.

**Reduction:** Transfer of electrons to a compound such as oxygen. It occurs when another compound is oxidized.

**Reductive Dechlorination:** The removal of chlorine atoms from an organic compound and their replacement with hydrogen atoms (same as reductive dehalogenation).

**Reductive Dehalogenation:** A variation on biodegradation in which microbially-catalyzed reactions cause the replacement of a halogen atom (e.g. chlorine) on an organic compound with a hydrogen atom. The reactions result in the net addition of two electrons to the organic compound.

