

# **A novel technique to analyse trabecular bone mechanics during screw insertion**

Melissa Ryan BSc BEng (Biomed) Hons

A thesis submitted to the School of Computer Science, Engineering and Mathematics  
in the Faculty of Science and Engineering in total fulfilment of the requirements of  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Flinders University of South Australia, Australia

Submitted November 2014

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>Publicly Disseminated Work</b> .....	<b>IV</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>List of Equations</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>X</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>XI</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>XIV</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Background</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1 Structure and function of bone .....	6
2.1.1 Whole bone level .....	7
2.1.2 Macrostructural level (cancellous vs cortical).....	9
2.1.3 Microstructural level (osteonal vs trabecular bone) .....	10
2.1.4 Sub-Microstructural level (lamellar) .....	12
2.1.5 Nanoscale level.....	13
2.2 Bone remodelling.....	14
2.3 Mechanotransduction in bone .....	15
2.4 Morphologic and mechanical properties of cancellous bone .....	16
2.4.1 Morphologic parameters.....	16
2.4.2 Mechanical properties .....	17
2.4.3 Apparent level properties .....	18
2.4.4 Tissue properties.....	21
2.4.4.1 Uniaxial Tensile Testing .....	23
2.4.4.2 Ultrasonic measurements .....	24
2.4.4.3 Bending Tests.....	24
2.4.4.4 Nanoindentation .....	24
2.4.4.5 Back-calculation using finite element models .....	25
2.5 Density .....	25
2.6 Osteoporosis .....	26
2.7 Bone Fractures .....	28
2.8 Implant stability .....	29
<b>3 The finite element method</b> .....	<b>32</b>
3.1 Principles of FEA .....	33
3.2 FE modelling of bone .....	35
3.2.1 Continuum Vs micro models.....	35
3.3 Finite element modelling of cancellous bone .....	36
3.3.1 Geometry .....	36
3.3.2 Discretisation.....	37
3.3.3 Material models .....	39
3.3.4 Loading and boundary conditions .....	40

3.4	Current micro-FE models of cancellous bone: A review of the literature...	41
3.4.1	FE models of bone-implant constructs .....	47
<b>4</b>	<b>Validation of Image based micro-FEA .....</b>	<b>54</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	55
4.2	Aims.....	57
4.3	Methods .....	57
4.3.1	Image Processing.....	58
4.3.2	Experimental Analysis .....	59
4.3.3	FE models.....	60
4.3.4	Statistical Analysis .....	62
4.4	Results.....	62
4.4.1	Convergence .....	62
4.4.2	Thresholding.....	63
4.4.3	Comparison of full-models and mechanical tests.....	63
4.4.4	Comparison of full- and sub-volume models .....	65
4.5	Discussion.....	68
<b>5</b>	<b>Testing the assumption of isotropy for cancellous bone tissue elastic modulus .....</b>	<b>78</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	79
5.2	Aims.....	81
5.3	Methods .....	81
5.3.1	FE Analysis .....	84
5.3.2	Statistical Analysis .....	85
5.3.3	Results .....	86
5.3.4	FEA .....	87
5.3.5	Micromechanical Behaviour .....	89
5.4	Discussion.....	91
<b>6</b>	<b>Nonlinear micro-FE modelling of human vertebral bone under uniaxial compression.....</b>	<b>100</b>
6.1	Introduction.....	101
6.2	Aims.....	102
6.3	Methods .....	103
6.3.1	Statistical Analysis .....	105
6.4	Results.....	105
6.5	Discussion.....	111
<b>7</b>	<b>Determining the relationship between insertion and stripping torques....</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	Introduction.....	120
7.2	Screw Material.....	124
7.2.1	Identification of Optimal Screw Material for micro-CT Imaging.....	125
7.3	Aims.....	130
7.4	Methods .....	130
7.4.1	Tissue Collection.....	130
7.4.2	Ethical Approval.....	130
7.4.3	Specimen Preparation.....	131
7.4.4	Micro-CT Imaging of Bone Specimens .....	132
7.4.5	Test-Rig .....	132
7.4.6	Screw Insertion.....	134
7.4.7	Signal analysis .....	136

7.4.8	Statistical Analysis .....	138
7.5	Results.....	139
7.6	Discussion.....	145
7.6.1	Screw material .....	145
7.6.2	Establishing a relationship between $T_{\text{plateau}}$ and $T_{\text{stripping}}$ .....	147
7.6.3	Morphological influences on screw insertion .....	150
<b>8</b>	<b>Micro-FE of the bone-implant interface .....</b>	<b>153</b>
8.1	Introduction.....	154
8.2	Aims.....	156
8.3	Methods .....	157
8.3.1	Specimens.....	157
8.3.2	Screw Insertion.....	157
8.3.3	Post-Analysis.....	158
8.3.4	Image Processing.....	158
8.3.5	Image guided failure assessment .....	159
8.3.6	FEA .....	162
8.4	Results.....	165
8.4.1	Experimental analysis.....	165
8.4.2	Morphological assessment .....	169
8.4.3	Image guided failure assessment .....	169
8.4.4	Validation of the micro-FE model.....	175
8.4.5	Biomechanics of the peri-implant bone.....	178
8.5	Discussion.....	180
<b>9</b>	<b>Summary and future recommendations.....</b>	<b>190</b>
9.1	Summary.....	191
9.1.1	Micro-FEA vertebral bone .....	191
9.1.2	Understanding screw insertion .....	192
9.1.3	Micro-FE model of the bone-implant interface.....	192
9.2	Considerations for future work.....	193
9.2.1	Micro-FE model .....	193
9.2.2	Validation of the model.....	194
9.3	Applications .....	194
9.4	Final Remarks .....	195
	<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>196</b>
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>214</b>

## **PUBLICLY DISSEMINATED WORK**

### **Peer Reviewed Publications:**

Ab-Lazid R, Perilli E, Ryan M, Costi J, and Reynolds K, (2014). “Does cancellous screw insertion torque depend on bone mineral density and/or micro-architecture?” *J. Biomech* 47(2), pp 347-353.

### **Conference Proceedings:**

Ryan MK, Costi JJ, Badiei A, Fazzalari NL, Reynolds KJ. The role of effective tissue modulus in predicting apparent modulus and strength in osteoporotic bone. 56th Annual Meeting of the Orthopaedic Research Society, New Orleans, Louisiana USA, 2010.

Ryan MK, Costi JJ, Badiei A, Fazzalari NL, Reynolds KJ. The role of effective tissue modulus in predicting apparent modulus and strength in osteoporotic bone. ANZORS 12th annual scientific meeting, Adelaide, Australia, 2009.

Ryan MK, Costi JJ, Fazzalari NL, Reynolds KL. Validity of using a linear micro-finite element model to predict trabecular bone apparent mechanical properties: comparison with a non-linear model and experimental data. ANZ/IBMS, Sydney, Australia, 2009. (Poster Presentation)

Ryan MK, Hearn TC, Costi JJ, Fazzalari NL, Reynolds KJ. Assessing failure mechanisms of trabeculae by micro-computed tomography based finite element modelling. 5th Annual Clare Valley bone meeting, Clare, Australia, 2008.

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2-1: PARTIALLY SECTIONED HUMERUS, AN EXAMPLE OF A LONG BONE .....	8
FIGURE 2-2: CORTICAL AND CANCELLOUS BONE STRUCTURES OF THE HUMAN VERTEBRA.....	10
FIGURE 2-3: MICROSTRUCTURE OF CORTICAL BONE .....	11
FIGURE 2-4: MICROSTRUCTURE OF CANCELLOUS BONE .....	12
FIGURE 2-5: ATOMIC FORCE MICROSCOPY IMAGE OF (A) COLLAGEN TYPE I FIBRILS, AND (B) HYDROXYAPATITE MINERAL CRYSTALS EXTRACTED FROM BONE .....	13
FIGURE 2-6: TYPICAL LOAD-DEFORMATION CURVES FOR CANCELLOUS AND CORTICAL BONE LOADED IN COMPRESSION .....	19
FIGURE 2-7: COMPARISON OF NORMAL (TOP) AND OSTEOPOROTIC (BOTTOM) BONE ARCHITECTURE IN THE THIRD LUMBAR VERTEBRAE .....	27
FIGURE 2-8: BOX PLOT INDICATING THE MEDIAN AND QUARTILE PULL-OUT STRENGTHS. ....	31
FIGURE 3-1: FE MESH OF TRABECULAR BONE.....	38
FIGURE 3-2: CORRELATION BETWEEN PREDICTED AND EXPERIMENTAL UNIAXIAL APPARENT MODULUS .....	43
FIGURE 3-3: SCHEMATIC OF THE BILINEAR TISSUE MATERIAL MODEL (NOT TO SCALE) .....	44
FIGURE 3-4: EXAMPLE OF HISTOLOGY SECTION (LEFT) AND FE SECTION (RIGHT) .....	46
FIGURE 3-5: FE MODEL OF VERTEBRAL BONE WITH SCREW REMOVED .....	48
FIGURE 3-6: MICRO-CT IMAGE OF HUMERAL HEAD .....	50
FIGURE 3-7: PERI-IMPLANT STRAIN IN BONE FOR THE FREELY CONSTRAINED CONTINUUM MODEL (LEFT) AND DISCRETE MODEL (RIGHT).....	53
FIGURE 4-1: RECONSTRUCTED MIRO-CT SLICE BEFORE IMAGE PROCESSING (LEFT), AND AFTER IMAGE PROCESSING (RIGHT).....	59
FIGURE 4-2: TYPICAL STRESS-STRAIN CURVE FOR THE EXPERIMENTAL UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TESTS	60
FIGURE 4-3: DIAGRAM SHOWING THE COMPRESSIVE DISPLACEMENT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR THE FE MODEL.....	61
FIGURE 4-4: APPARENT MODULUS DETERMINED EXPERIMENTALLY ( $E_{APP}^{EXP}$ ) VS APPARENT MODULUS PREDICTED BY THE FULL FE MODEL ( $E_{APP}^{FE}$ ).....	64
FIGURE 4-5: APPARENT ULTIMATE STRENGTH DETERMINED EXPERIMENTALLY VS THE FE PREDICTED APPARENT MODULUS ( $E_{APP}^{FE}$ ) FOR THE FULL-VOLUME MODELS .....	64
FIGURE 4-6: FE CALCULATED APPARENT MODULUS FOR THE FULL- AND SUB-VOLUME MODELS .....	67
FIGURE 4-7: APPARENT MODULUS DETERMINED EXPERIMENTALLY ( $E_{APP}^{EXP}$ ) VS APPARENT MODULUS PREDICTED BY THE SUB-VOLUME FE MODEL ( $E_{APP}^{FE}$ ) .....	67
FIGURE 4-8: APPARENT ULTIMATE STRENGTH DETERMINED EXPERIMENTALLY VS THE FE PREDICTED APPARENT MODULUS ( $E_{APP}^{FE}$ ) FOR THE SUB-VOLUME MODELS .....	68
FIGURE 5-1: SCHEMATIC ILLUSTRATING LOADING CONDITIONS FOR THE VERTEBRAL SPECIMENS IN EITHER THE SUPERO-INNERIOR (SI) OR ANTEROPOSTERIOR (AP) GROUP.....	82
FIGURE 5-2: DETERMINATION OF PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL TISSUE YIELDED FOR ONE OF THE SI SPECIMENS.....	85

FIGURE 5-3: PERCENTAGE OF TISSUE YIELDED IN COMPRESSION AND TENSION AT APPARENT STRAIN INCREMENTS FROM 0.5 TO 2.0 % .....	90
FIGURE 5-4: MAXIMUM COMPRESSIVE AND TENSILE TISSUE STRAINS AT EACH STRAIN INCREMENT UP TO 2.0% APPARENT STRAIN FOR VERTEBRAL SPECIMENS LOADED IN EITHER THE SI OR AP DIRECTIONS.....	90
FIGURE 5-5: 3D RENDERINGS OF BONE SLICES TAKEN FROM A 60 YEAR OLD FEMALE SPECIMEN.....	95
FIGURE 6-1: SCHEMATIC OF THE IDEALISED MATERIAL MODEL FOR CAST-IRON PLASTICITY (A) AND THE BILINEAR MATERIAL MODEL IMPLEMENTED FOR THE NONLINEAR FE ANALYSES (B) .....	104
FIGURE 6-2: EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED VS FE MODEL APPARENT MODULUS FOR MATERIAL MODELS 2 AND 3 .....	107
FIGURE 6-3: EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED VS FE APPARENT YIELD STRESS FOR THE THREE MATERIAL MODELS ANALYSED .....	108
FIGURE 6-4: EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED VS FE APPARENT ULTIMATE STRENGTH .....	108
FIGURE 6-5: PERCENTAGE OF COMPRESSIVE TISSUE FAILURE AT APPARENT YIELD STRAIN VERSUS BV/TV .....	110
FIGURE 6-6: PERCENTAGE OF TENSILE TISSUE FAILURE AT APPARENT YIELD STRAIN VERSUS BV/TV .....	111
FIGURE 7-1: THE THREE PHASES OF SCREW PLACEMENT .....	121
FIGURE 7-2: TORQUE VERSUS ROTATION CURVE FOR AN ALUMINIUM SCREW INSERTED INTO CELLULAR POLYURETHANE FOAM (SAWBONES, SEATTLE, WA) .....	123
FIGURE 7-3: THREE SCREW TYPES WERE INVESTIGATED. ....	124
FIGURE 7-4: ALUMINIUM SCREW USED FOR TESTING IN CANCELLOUS FEMORAL HEAD BONE.....	127
FIGURE 7-5: CROSS-SECTIONAL MICRO CT SCANS OF A 7.0MM CANCELLOUS BONE SCREW .....	128
FIGURE 7-6: PEEK SCREWS.....	129
FIGURE 7-7: SPECIMEN PREPARATION .....	131
FIGURE 7-8: CUSTOM DESIGNED TEST RIG, BLOCK DIAGRAM (TOP) AND ACTUAL TEST RIG SET UP IN MICROCT SCANNER (BOTTOM) .....	133
FIGURE 7-9: FEMORAL HEAD INDICATING THE LOCATION OF THE HOLES FOR SCREW INSERTION .....	134
FIGURE 7-10: SCREW PLACEMENT IN THE FEMORAL HEAD .....	135
FIGURE 7-11: TORQUE VERSUS ROTATION TRACE INDICATING THE THREE DIFFERENT REGIONS PLATEAU TORQUE WAS CALCULATED OVER. ....	136
FIGURE 7-12: OUTPUT TRACES OF TORQUE VERSUS ROTATION .....	138
FIGURE 7-13: TORQUE AND COMPRESSION VERSUS SCREW ROTATION .....	140
FIGURE 7-14: PLATEAU VERSUS STRIPPING TORQUE.....	141
FIGURE 7-15: PLATEAU TORQUE VS MAXIMUM COMPRESSION .....	142
FIGURE 7-16: BOX PLOT INDICATING THE DIFFERENCE IN PLATEAU TORQUE IN THE THREE HOLE LOCATIONS .....	143
FIGURE 7-17: COMPARISON OF PREVIOUS AND CURRENT DATA FOR PLATEAU TORQUE VS STRIPPING TORQUE.....	148

FIGURE 8-1: SCHEMATIC OF REGION OF INTEREST SELECTED TO CREATE THE VOLUME OF INTEREST (VOI). THE OUTER DIAMETER OF THE VOI EXTENDED 2.0 MM OUTSIDE THE OUTER EDGE OF THE SCREW. ....	159
FIGURE 8-2: CROSS SECTIONAL TWO-DIMENSIONAL SLICES OF THE BONE AND SCREW.....	161
FIGURE 8-3: THE NODES REPRESENTING THE OUTER SURFACE OF BONE. SPRINGS WERE APPLIED TO THESE NODES TO SIMULATE AN ELASTIC BOUNDARY CONDITION.....	164
FIGURE 8-4 : COMPARISON OF THE HEAD CONTACT DETECTION METHODS IMPLEMENTED. ....	165
FIGURE 8-5: OUTPUT TRACES FROM SOFTWARE. ....	167
FIGURE 8-6: TORQUE VERSUS ROTATION.....	168
FIGURE 8-7: COMPRESSION VERSUS ROTATION. ....	168
FIGURE 8-8: 3D RENDERING OF THE SCREW IN THE FEMORAL HEAD.....	169
FIGURE 8-9: 2D TIME-ELAPSED IMAGES OF THE SCREW IN THE FEMORAL HEAD. ....	171
FIGURE 8-10: 3D TIME ELAPSED SLICES OF BONE DURING SCREW TIGHTENING. ....	172
FIGURE 8-11: TYPICAL DEFORMATION OBSERVED IN A TRABECULAR SPICULE SURROUNDING THE SCREW THREAD.....	173
FIGURE 8-12: STEP-WISE ACQUIRED MASKS FROM MICRO-CT DATA SETS ARE OVER LAID TO VISUALISE THE TRABECULAR DEFORMATIONS.....	174
FIGURE 8-13: CONTACT STATUS OF CONTACT ELEMENTS REPRESENTING THE SURFACE OF BONE IN CONTACT WITH THE SCREW.....	175
FIGURE 8-14: EXPERIMENTAL AND FE TORQUE VERSUS ROTATIONS CURVES. ....	177
FIGURE 8-15: EXPERIMENTAL AND FE COMPRESSION VERSUS ROTATIONS CURVES.....	177
FIGURE 8-16: VOLUMES OF YIELDED TISSUE OVER 20 DEGREES OF ROTATION FROM HEAD CONTACT. ....	178
FIGURE 8-17: TIME-ELAPSED VON MISES STRAIN FIELD OF THE PERI-IMPLANT BONE. ....	179
FIGURE 8-18: AXIAL DISPLACEMENT FIELD AFTER 20 DEGREES OF ROTATION. ....	180
FIGURE 8-19: BINARISED IMAGE OF THE BONE-SCREW INTERFACE.....	188



# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1: HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE OF BONE .....	6
TABLE 2-2: MEAN (STANDARD DEVIATION) STRENGTH AND MODULUS VALUES FOR CANCELLOUS BONE SPECIMENS .....	20
TABLE 2-3: AVERAGE REPORTED TISSUE PROPERTIES ( $\pm$ STANDARD DEVIATION) OF CANCELLOUS BONE DETERMINED EXPERIMENTALLY OR BY FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS .....	22
TABLE 4-1: OUTPUT RESULTS OF PCG ITERATIVE SOLVER.....	63
TABLE 4-2: STRUCTURAL PARAMETERS FOR THE FULL- AND SUB-VOLUME MODELS ( $N=11$ ).....	66
TABLE 4-3: LINEAR REGRESSIONS ( $\Sigma_y = mE_{APP} + C$ ) OF THE YIELD STRENGTH OF CANCELLOUS TRABECULAR BONE UNDER UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION AGAINST YOUNG’S MODULUS (E).....	72
TABLE 4-4: MEAN (SD) TISSUE ELASTIC MODULUS VALUES FOR HUMAN VERTEBRAL TRABECULAR TISSUE.....	73
TABLE 5-1: SPECIMEN PAIRS INDICATING VERTEBRAL LEVEL EACH SPECIMEN WAS TAKEN FROM AND BV/TV .....	83
TABLE 5-2: AVERAGE MORPHOLOGICAL PARAMETERS FOR SI AND AP GROUPS .....	86
TABLE 5-3: LINEAR REGRESSION RESULTS .....	88
TABLE 6-1: FEA RESULTS FOR THE THREE DIFFERENT MODELS TESTED.....	106
TABLE 6-2: LINEAR REGRESSION RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE FE MODELS AND THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR YIELD STRESS AND UFS .....	109
TABLE 6-3: AVERAGE (SD) MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HUMAN VERTEBRAL CANCELLOUS BONE SPECIMENS UNDER UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE LOADING. ....	114
TABLE 7-1: MATERIAL PROPERTIES MATERIALS INVESTIGATED.....	125
TABLE 7-2: SCANNING ACQUISITION PARAMETERS .....	127
TABLE 7-3: BIVARIATE CORRELATION OF MORPHOLOGICAL AND INSERTION VARIABLES .....	144
TABLE 8-1: AVERAGE REPORTED TISSUE PROPERTIES ( $\pm$ SD) OF CANCELLOUS BONE.....	163
TABLE 8-2: COMPARISON OF THE ALGORITHM PREDICTED AND ACTUAL TORQUE LEVELS FOR STEPWISE SCREW INSERTION. ....	166
TABLE 8-3: BONE AND SCREW VOLUMES FOR STEP-WISE ACQUIRED IMAGE DATA SETS .....	170

## LIST OF EQUATIONS

EQUATION 2-1 .....	24
EQUATION 2-2 .....	24
EQUATION 2-3 .....	25
EQUATION 2-4 .....	25
EQUATION 3-1 .....	34
EQUATION 3-2 .....	36
EQUATION 4-1 .....	56
EQUATION 5-1 .....	96
EQUATION 8-1 .....	158

## ABBREVIATIONS

AP	antero-posterior
BV/TV	bone volume fraction
BS/TV	total surface
DA	degree of anisotropy
$E_{\text{Tiss}}$	bone tissue elastic modulus
$E_{\text{FEA}}$	finite element analysis determined elastic modulus
$E_{\text{Exp}}$	experimentally determined elastic modulus
$\varepsilon_y^c$	compressive yield strain
$\varepsilon_y^t$	tensile yield strain
micro-CT	micro-computed tomography
micro-FEA	micro-finite element analysis
SI	supero-inferior
SMI	structure model index
TbN	trabecular number
TbTh	trabecular thickness
TbPf	trabecular pattern factor
TbSp	trabecular separation
$T_{\text{plateau}}$	plateau torque
$T_{\text{Stripping}}$	stripping / maximum torque

## **ABSTRACT**

During screw insertion, surgeons manually tighten until they subjectively feel that adequate torque has been obtained. This “tightening torque” has been shown to equate to approximately 86% of maximum (stripping) torque. The level of torque to which orthopaedic screws are tightened, however, is highly subjective and can lead to over-tightening or even stripping in cases of poor bone quality. Whilst torque limiting devices exist that are able to terminate tightening at specified torque levels, these are of little value if the optimum torque is not known. Furthermore, the ideal level of tightening torque may vary according to anatomic location, bone quality and screw material and design. Bone quality is determined by the geometry of the bone and its underlying micro-architecture, as well as accumulated microscopic damage, the quality of collagen, mineral density and crystallinity, and bone turnover. Therefore to fully understand these interactions at the macroscopic level, and understanding of the bone-screw interactions at the micro-structural level is necessary. The aim of this dissertation was, therefore, to develop a novel technique to analyse the mechanical interactions between cancellous bone and a lag screw during tightening. The ultimate goal was to develop a micro-finite element model that incorporated the screw and its mechanical interactions with the micro-structure of cancellous bone.

The first part of this dissertation explored the application of micro-finite element modelling for analysing vertebral trabecular mechanics at the micro-structural level under a uniaxial load in either the supero-inferior (SI) or antero-posterior (AP) direction. Results demonstrated distinctly different micro-mechanical behaviour between the two loading directions, with a greater volume of tissue reaching yield at the onset of apparent-level yielding, in the SI direction compared to AP. The incorporation of both material and geometric nonlinearity yielded strong agreement between model predictions of apparent yield and experimentally determined values; however the influence of experimental protocol was emphasized if tissue modulus values were derived from experimental data. It was demonstrated that the tissue modulus largely governs the apparent stiffness, whilst tissue yield criterion regulated apparent yield behaviour.

The second part of this dissertation focussed on the main objective, which was to understand the interactions between bone and screw at the micro-structural level. A novel micro-test device was developed that allowed the step-wise insertion of a screw into bone specimens within a micro-computed tomographic (microCT) scanner. Results showed a strong linear relationship between plateau torque and stripping torque, with the screw under investigation. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the deformation of the trabeculae during screw insertion is restricted to primarily the bone tissue within the screw threads, and that the critical deformation occurs during the load step between 80 % ( $T_{\text{stripping}} - T_{\text{HC}}$ ) and  $T_{\text{stripping}}$ .

## **DECLARATION**

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Karen Reynolds, Dr John Costi & Dr Andrei Kotousov for taking me on as a PhD student. Karen you are an amazing and inspirational person; I have learnt so much from you not only with regard to engineering and orthopaedics, but about being able to juggle work and study with a family, thank you. Thankyou also to John; you provided an immense amount of insight and support for the entirety of this dissertation and without yourself and Karen this work would not have been possible. Thankyou both for your enduring guidance and support.

There is a vast number of others I would like to thank for their assistance and advice in many areas of my research. Richard Stanley assisted immensely in the design of the test apparatus' and protocols as well as pretty much any help I needed in the lab, be it testing, preparing specimens or finding a wayward screw, thank you! Mark Taylor provided an unbelievable amount of assistance in getting my final FE model working and what he has taught me with regard to understanding my models and debugging models is immeasurable! I would also like to thank the numerous friends that have had to share an office with me over the duration of this work, all of whom provided valuable insight and feedback on my thesis as well as life; thanks to Rohan Edmonds-Wilson, Diana Pham, Tae Hwan Joung, Tony Carlisle, Aaron Mohtar, Darius Chapman, Rosidah Lazid, Egon Perilli, Emily O'Brien, Bryant Roberts and Laura Diment. I would especially like to thank Rosidah for all her help with the mechanical testing and Aaron, who was always there to help with testing, writing programs or writing my thesis!

I would like to thank the Musculoskeletal Health ASRI for the scholarship that enabled me to undertake my doctorate, and to acknowledge that none of this work would have been possible without the funding provided by the National Health and Medical Research Grant ID 595933.

Finally I would like to thank my family, Luke, Audrey and Maeve. Deciding to start a family, as well as renovating and building houses, whilst undertaking a PhD has been a huge task and has certainly been a challenge at times. However, we have all learnt a lot of patience and time management skills over the duration. The birth of

my girls provided me the inspiration to continue when times were tough and I thought I would never get there. I would also not have been able to spend the necessary time on this work without the support of my sister Hayley and my parent's in-law, Alan and Jenny. There were many times they cared for our girls so that mummy could spend time writing, as well as the emotional support and continuing encouragement. For this I am forever grateful.