SHARED RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

Grant Robert Niemann

(2005307)

LLB (Syd), LLM (Adel) Grad. Dip. Pub. Law (Adel)

Grad. Cert. Tertiary Education (Flin)

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Law

Faculty of Education, Humanities, Law & Theology

Flinders University

Adelaide, Australia

Date of submission: February 2010

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	ii to iv
Candidate's Summary of Thesis	v
Signed Declaration	vi
Acknowledgement	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1 to 19
Chapter 2: The Emergence of International Criminal Law (ICL) – ICL Defined	20 to 64
Chapter 3: The Tension between the Sovereign Rights of States and the Rights of Humanity	65 to 93
Chapter 4: States as Enforcers of ICL - Examples of where States have Failed to Properly Enforce ICL – Women and Children	94 to 119
Chapter 5: <i>Military Commissions as Enforcers of ICL</i>	120 to 144
Chapter 6: <i>'Sham Trials'</i>	145 to 163
Chapter 7: International Criminal Tribunals as Enforcers of ICL	164 to 210
Chapter 8: <i>Three Alternative Method of Enforcement of ICL Compared</i>	211 to 243
Chapter 9: A Role for Global Civil Society in the Enforcement of International Criminal Law	244 to 277
Bibliography	278 to 291
Table of Cases	292 to 294

Table of Statutes	295 to 296
Citation and Publications Note	297

CANDIDATE'S SUMMARY OF THESIS

This thesis is concerned with 'international criminal law'. It examines the historical development of this body of law. This thesis also examines the record of enforcement of international criminal law. Historically the enforcement of international criminal law has primarily been a matter for states. States possess the capacity and lawful means of coercion necessary to enforce the criminal law. On occasions states have acted in concert with other states to enforce international criminal law by means of international criminal tribunals. However the enforcement of the decisions of these tribunals has been by the use of co-opted state coercive power.

The thesis set out to prove that states through their representatives do at times perpetrate international crimes upon humanity. International criminal law prohibits this conduct. The argument of the thesis is that the role of law enforcer cannot properly be performed by a state that has a common interest with the perpetrator of the crime. This conflict of interest has been responsible for a poor record of enforcement of international criminal law by states. However states often assert that the enforcement of the criminal law (including international criminal law) is exclusively their sovereign right, especially if the crimes are committed upon their territory or by their citizens.

This thesis addresses this conflict of interest and argues that exclusive state dominion over international criminal law is incongruent with the achievement of justice. The thesis asserts that humanity has a superior claim to states when human interests are threatened by the 'criminal conduct' of states. The thesis considers the role of 'global civil society' and postulates a role for 'global civil society' when states should be disqualified from exercising exclusive authority over the enforcement of international criminal law because of their irreconcilable conflict of interest.

The thesis considers the position of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and argues that this court, as presently constituted, is greatly dependant upon states in order to fulfil its prosecutorial role. This dependency can at times influence whether or not the ICC prosecutor is permitted to investigate international crimes. The thesis proposes a means whereby global civil society might apply pressure upon states in order to ensure that international criminal law is properly enforced by either the ICC or by states themselves.

SIGNED DECLARATION

I CERTIFY THAT THIS THESIS DOES NOT INCORPORATE WITHOUT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ANY MATERIAL PRESVIOUSLY SUBMITTED FOR A DEGREE OR DIPLOMA IN ANY UNIVERSITY; AND THAT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWELDGE AND BELIEF IT DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY MATERIAL PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED OR WRITTEN BY ANOTHER PERSON EXCEPT WHERE DUE REFERENCE IS MADE IN THE TEXT.

Grant Robert Niemann

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to acknowledge the support and advice of Professor Mark Israel, Dr Martin Griffiths, Professor Gary Davis, Associate Professor Tina Dogopol and especially Associate Professor Christopher Symes in assisting me with the completion of the thesis.