

**Examination of a Mindfulness-based Prevention Program for Eating Disorders  
and Related Risk Factors**

Melissa J. Atkinson

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of  
Philosophy (Clinical Psychology)

School of Psychology  
Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences  
Flinders University

July 2014

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>Glossary of Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Overview and Aims of the Research</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2. Introduction and Literature Review</b> .....	<b>7</b>
2.1 Overview .....	7
2.2 Why Focus on Prevention? .....	7
2.3 Theoretically Informed Targets for Prevention.....	11
2.3.1 Models of Causal Pathways for Disordered Eating.....	12
2.3.2 Evidence for Risk Factors Implicated in the Dual-Pathway Model.....	13
2.3.2.1 Thin-ideal internalisation and pressures to be thin.....	13
2.3.2.2 Body dissatisfaction. ....	14
2.3.2.3 Dieting.....	14
2.3.2.4 Negative affect. ....	15
2.4 Empirical Support for Prevention Programs .....	15
2.4.1 Dissonance-Based Interventions (DBIs) .....	16
2.5 Mindfulness as a Viable Alternative to Dissonance-Induction .....	27
2.5.1 Postulated Mechanisms of Action.....	29
2.5.2 Empirical Support for the Application of Mindfulness to Eating Disorders.....	31
2.5.3 Limitations with Mindfulness-Based Approaches .....	33
2.6 Moderators of Intervention Effects .....	35
2.6.1 Intervention Features.....	35
2.6.2 Presenter Characteristics .....	36
2.6.3 Individual Participant Factors .....	37
2.7 Dissemination of Empirically Supported Prevention Programs.....	40
2.7.1 Barriers to Uptake Into Prevention Programs .....	42
2.7.2 Strategies for Enhancing Uptake and Dissemination .....	43
2.8 Summary and Future Directions Adopted by the Current Research.....	44
<b>Chapter 3. Examination of Metacognitive Acceptance for Reducing Body Dissatisfaction and Negative Affect: Effects of Efficacy and Engagement</b> .....	<b>48</b>
3.1 Overview .....	48

3.2	Method .....	49
3.2.1	Participants.....	49
3.2.2	Design .....	50
3.2.3	Procedure.....	50
3.2.4	Acceptance Intervention.....	51
3.2.5	Measures .....	52
3.2.5.1	Outcome measures. ....	52
3.2.5.2	Baseline dispositional measures.....	54
3.2.6	Assessment of Engagement.....	57
3.2.7	Statistical Analyses .....	58
3.3	Results .....	60
3.3.1	Descriptive Statistics.....	60
3.3.2	Effect of Metacognitive Acceptance on Body Dissatisfaction and Negative Affect 63	
3.3.2.1	Manipulation Check.....	63
3.3.2.2	Significance of change over time among groups. ....	63
3.3.3	Effect of Engagement in Metacognitive Acceptance on Outcome Variables .....	64
3.3.3.1	Significance of change over time among groups. ....	64
3.3.3.2	Clinical significance.....	65
3.3.4	Moderators of the Effect of Engagement in Metacognitive Acceptance on the Dependent Variables.....	66
3.3.5	Predictors of Engagement in Metacognitive Acceptance.....	69
3.4	Discussion .....	70
	<b>Chapter 4. A Randomised Controlled Trial of Mindfulness versus Dissonance-based Prevention of Eating Disorders in Young Adult Females .....</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Overview .....	77
4.2	Method .....	78
4.2.1	Participants.....	78
4.2.2	Procedure.....	79
4.2.3	Interventions.....	81
4.2.4	Measures .....	84
4.2.4.1	Primary outcome variables.....	84
4.2.4.2	Secondary outcome variables. ....	85
4.2.4.3	Intervention Validity.....	86
4.2.5.1	Intervention Fidelity.....	87
4.2.6.1	Motivation and Readiness to Change.....	87
4.2.6.2	Program Acceptability.....	87

4.2.7	Statistical Analyses .....	88
4.3	Results .....	90
4.3.1	Preliminary analysis .....	90
4.3.1.1	Attendance and adherence.....	90
4.3.1.2	Baseline data. ....	90
4.3.1.3	Intervention fidelity.....	91
4.3.1.4	Intervention validity.....	92
4.3.2	Intervention effects for outcome variables.....	92
4.3.2.1	Supplementary intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.....	93
4.3.3	Clinical significance.....	100
4.3.4	Qualitative findings.....	103
4.4	Discussion .....	104
<b>Chapter 5. Investigation of Voluntary Participation in Body Image Interventions Among Female University Students..... 112</b>		
5.1	Overview .....	112
5.2	Method .....	112
5.2.1	Participants.....	112
5.2.2	Procedure.....	113
5.2.3	Measures .....	114
5.2.3.1	Demographic information. ....	114
5.2.3.2	Outcome measures. ....	114
5.2.3.3	Risk status. ....	114
5.2.4	Motivational Enhancement Exercise.....	115
5.2.5	Recruitment Flyer and Qualitative Questions .....	115
5.2.6	Design .....	116
5.2.7	Statistical Analyses .....	116
5.3	Results .....	117
5.3.1	Descriptive Statistics.....	117
5.3.2	Ratings and Reasons for Participation, Helpfulness and Confidence .....	117
5.3.3	Current Practices .....	122
5.3.4	Predictors of Participation.....	122
5.3.5	Effect of Motivational Enhancement on Prospective Participation .....	123
5.4	Discussion .....	125
<b>Chapter 6. A Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial of Mindfulness and Dissonance- Based Interventions for Reducing the Risk of Disordered Eating in Female High School Students ..... 134</b>		
6.1	Overview .....	134

6.2	Method .....	135
6.2.1	Design .....	135
6.2.2	Participants.....	135
6.2.3	Procedure.....	136
6.2.4	Interventions.....	139
6.2.5	Measures .....	139
6.2.5.1	Mindfulness.....	142
6.2.5.2	Self-compassion.....	142
6.2.5.4	Risk Status.....	143
6.2.5.6	Program Acceptability.....	144
6.2.6	Statistical Analysis .....	144
6.2.6.1	Data preparation and baseline analysis.....	144
6.2.6.2	Intervention analyses.....	145
6.2.6.4	Clinical significance.....	146
6.3	Results .....	146
6.3.1	Preliminary Analyses .....	146
6.3.1.1	Program Participation.....	146
6.3.1.2	Baseline data .....	147
6.3.1.3	Intervention validity.....	147
6.3.2	Intervention Effects on Outcome Measures .....	151
6.3.2.1	Main effects.....	151
6.3.2.2	Interaction effects.....	151
6.3.2.3	Supplementary intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.....	161
6.3.2.4	Clinical significance.....	161
6.3.3	Impact of Facilitator .....	168
6.3.3.1	Baseline data.....	168
6.3.3.2	Intervention validity.....	168
6.3.3.3	Intervention effects on outcomes.....	169
6.3.4	Program Acceptability.....	176
6.3.4.1	Students.....	176
6.3.4.2	Teaching staff.....	178
6.4	Discussion .....	179
6.4.1	Complete sample.....	179
6.4.2	Facilitator subset .....	183
6.4.3	Effects of presenter and delivery format .....	186
6.4.4	Limitations .....	188
6.4.5	Summary .....	189

<b>Chapter 7. General Discussion .....</b>	<b>190</b>
7.1 Overview .....	190
7.2 Summary and Implications of Findings .....	190
7.2.1 Engagement in Metacognitive Acceptance .....	191
7.2.2 Efficacy of Mindfulness in a Selective Population .....	191
7.2.3 Efficacy of Mindfulness in a Universal Setting .....	193
7.2.4 Facilitator Expertise as a Moderator of Intervention Effects .....	194
7.2.5 Investigation of Voluntary Participation in Body Image Interventions .....	195
7.2.6 Summary .....	196
7.3 Methodological Considerations.....	196
7.3.1 Low Power .....	197
7.3.2 Potential Selection Bias.....	197
7.3.3 Intervention Development and Delivery .....	198
7.3.4 Demand Effects and Response Biases.....	199
7.3.5 Length of Follow-up .....	200
7.3.6 Selection and Training of Facilitators .....	200
7.4 Directions for Future Research .....	201
7.4.1 Target Age.....	201
7.4.2 Intervention Characteristics.....	203
7.4.3 Methods for Dissemination .....	206
7.5 Conclusion.....	208
<b>References.....</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>Appendix A Participant Information and Consent Forms .....</b>	<b>241</b>
Appendix A1 Participation Information and Consent for the Study of Engagement ....	242
Appendix A2 Participant Information and Consent for the RCT with Young Adults....	244
Appendix A3 Invitation to Schools to Participate in the High School Trial .....	248
Appendix A4 Principal Consent for Participating Schools.....	249
Appendix A5 Information and Consent for Parents and Students .....	250
<b>Appendix B Research Materials .....</b>	<b>254</b>
Appendix B1 Transcript of Video Instructions Used in the Study of Engagement .....	255
Appendix B2 Facilitator Guides for Mindfulness-Based Program Used in the RCT with Young Adults.....	257
Appendix B3 Facilitator Guides for Dissonance-Based Program Used in the RCT with Young Adults.....	278
<b>Appendix C Additional Graphs and Tables .....</b>	<b>293</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 <i>Summary of Features and Outcomes of Dissonance-Based Intervention Trials</i> .....	19
Table 3.1 <i>Baseline Demographic, Body Dissatisfaction, and Dependent Variables for Randomised Conditions</i> .....	61
Table 3.2 <i>Zero-order Correlations Between All Variables for Total Sample</i> .....	62
Table 3.3 <i>Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons and Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) at Final Assessment Controlling for Post-Induction Assessment</i> .....	64
Table 3.4 <i>Post-hoc Analysis: Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons and Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) at Final Assessment Controlling for Post-Induction Assessment</i> .....	65
Table 3.5 <i>Clinical Significance as Indicated by Number of People Judged to be Very Improved</i> .....	66
Table 3.6 <i>Univariate Predictors of Nonengagement Compared to Engagement in Metacognitive Acceptance</i> .....	70
Table 4.1 <i>Intervention Features</i> .....	82
Table 4.2 <i>Means and Standard Deviations for Intervention Validity and Outcome Measures for Each Condition across Time, with Main and Interaction Effects</i> .....	94
Table 4.3 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) for Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons Controlling for Baseline Assessment and BMI</i> .....	96
Table 4.4 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) for Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons Controlling for Baseline Assessment and BMI, Intention-to-Treat (ITT)</i> ..	98
Table 4.5 <i>Clinical Significance as Indicated by Within-Group Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) and Number of People Who Experienced Reliable Change</i> .....	101
Table 5.1 <i>Untransformed Means, Standard Deviations and Zero-order Correlations Between All Variables for Total Sample (N = 121)</i> .....	119
Table 5.2 <i>Frequencies of Reasons for Participation, Helpfulness and Confidence Ratings, for Total Sample and by Risk Status</i> .....	120
Table 5.3 <i>Individual Predictors of Interest and of Likelihood of Participation, Controlling for BMI and Weight Discrepancy</i> .....	124
Table 5.4 <i>Means and Standard Deviations for All Variables by Group, and Between-Group Differences for Individual Predictor Variables at Baseline</i> .....	124
Table 6.1 <i>Intervention Features</i> .....	141
Table 6.2 <i>Means (and Standard Deviations) for Outcome Measures by Condition, Time and Risk Status</i> .....	149
Table 6.3 <i>Adjusted Means (and Standard Errors) of Outcome Measures By Condition, Time, And Risk Status, Controlling for Baseline</i> .....	152
Table 6.4 <i>Main and Interaction Effects of Condition, Time, and Risk Status</i> .....	154
Table 6.5 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) for Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons Controlling for Baseline Assessment</i> .....	159
Table 6.6 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's <i>d</i>) for Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons Controlling for Baseline Assessment, Intention-to-Treat Analysis</i> .....	163

Table 6.7 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's d) for Within-Group Changes From Baseline to Each Follow-Up Assessment</i> .....	165
Table 6.8 <i>Number and Percentage of Participants who Experienced a Clinically Significant Change from Baseline to 6-month Follow-up, Based on Reliable Change Indices</i> .....	167
Table 6.9 <i>Adjusted Means (and Standard Errors) of Outcomes by Condition and Time for Facilitator Subset</i> .....	171
Table 6.10 <i>Main and Interaction Effects of Condition and Time for Facilitator Subset</i> .....	172
Table 6.11 <i>Effect Sizes (Cohen's d) for Between-Groups Pairwise Comparisons from the Current Facilitator Subset and the Whole Sample</i> .....	174
Table 6.12 <i>Post-Intervention Assessment of Program Acceptability</i> .....	176



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Dual-Pathway model of bulimic pathology, reproduced from Stice (2001) .....	13
Figure 3.1. Participant flow throughout the study.....	61
Figure 3.2. Difficulties with Emotion Regulation as a Moderator of Acceptance Training on Negative Affect.....	68
Figure 3.3. Escape-Avoidant Coping as a Moderator of Acceptance Training on Negative Affect .....	68
Figure 3.4. Mindfulness as a Moderator of Acceptance Training on Negative Affect .....	69
Figure 4.1. Participant flowchart.....	80
Figure 6.2. Participant flowchart.....	138
Figure 6.3. Weight Concerns (Screen) over time, by condition, among low risk participants. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	156
Figure 6.4. Weight Concerns (Screen) over time, by condition, among high risk participants. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	156
Figure 6.5. Negative affect across time points by low and high risk status, adjusted for baseline covariate of .30. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	157
Figure C.1. Weight and shape concerns over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	294
Figure C.2. Weight concerns (screen) over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	294
Figure C.3. Dietary restraint over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	295
Figure C.4. Sociocultural pressures over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	295
Figure C.5. Self-compassion over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	296
Figure C.6. Eating disorder symptoms over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	296
Figure C.7. Psychosocial impairment over time, by condition. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.....	297

## ABSTRACT

Prevention of eating disorders represents an important goal due to damaging long-term impacts on health and well-being, modest treatment outcomes, and low treatment seeking among individuals at risk. The present research therefore investigated a novel prevention approach based on mindfulness, with the aim of progressing knowledge regarding successful strategies for reducing risk of disordered eating.

This research first sought to investigate individual engagement in metacognitive acceptance, a core concept within mindfulness practice. Female undergraduate students underwent a body dissatisfaction induction procedure, received training in metacognitive acceptance or no training (control), and were assessed on engagement. Non-engagement in acceptance was associated with negative affect, emotion regulation difficulties and avoidant coping. Acceptance training significantly reduced weight and appearance dissatisfaction and negative affect relative to control, with effects moderated by mindfulness, emotion regulation difficulty and avoidant coping. These findings provided support for the short-term efficacy of metacognitive acceptance, and identified the need to cater for those with emotion related difficulty when delivering acceptance-based interventions.

The next objective was to conduct a controlled comparison of a mindfulness-based versus a dissonance-based program with respect to reducing risk of disordered eating in young females. This was conducted initially with a sample of young adult women experiencing body concerns, and due to limitations conferred by a small sample, was also conducted as a class-based intervention with senior female high school students. Regarding the young adults, mindfulness participants demonstrated short-term improvements in weight and shape concern, dietary restraint, thin-ideal internalisation, eating disorder symptoms and related impairment relative to control.

In contrast, dissonance participants did not show significant improvements over control on any outcomes. Within the high school sample, results favoured dissonance with respect to reductions in weight concerns and negative affect; however, the pattern of results was generally weaker across the range of risk factors. In a subsample of students receiving instruction from a facilitator with a higher level of expertise in mindfulness training, positive effects for the mindfulness intervention on key risk factors emerged over follow-up. These findings support the efficacy of mindfulness-based prevention, with stronger effects seen in the older age group.

Due to low recruitment, a further study investigated voluntary participation in selective eating disorder prevention programs and evaluated a motivational approach to increasing participation. Female undergraduate students were randomised to a motivational or control condition, presented with a flyer for a prevention trial and assessed regarding participation. Interest and likelihood of participation was low overall. Lack of time was the most commonly endorsed reason, with participants high on weight concerns more likely to cite the group format of the intervention as a deterrent. Belief in the helpfulness of body image programs and personal ineffectiveness were significant predictors of interest in participation. The motivational approach was not effective in increasing participation. Consequently, future eating disorder prevention efforts relying on voluntary participation may benefit from emphasising specific benefits during promotion and ensuring delivery in a time-flexible format that avoids stigmatisation.

Collectively, these findings provide support for further research regarding the application of mindfulness in a prevention context. Questions that need to be addressed include best practice regarding the optimum population, delivery format and dissemination strategies of various prevention approaches to ensure maximum impact on the prevention of eating disorders.

## DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Melissa Atkinson', with a decorative flourish at the end.

Melissa Atkinson

BSc (Hons)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is important to consider a number of moderating factors on the outcome of this thesis (hopefully in the positive direction!) and thank them for their contribution.

It perhaps goes without saying that first thanks goes to my esteemed supervisor, Professor Tracey “Boss” Wade (Cohen’s  $d > 1!$ ). Thanks for being a foundational support: your knowledge, organisation (!), and encouragement have been valuable indeed.

Thanks also to the Butterfly Research Institute for the financial support that enabled me to focus on my research and not scrounging up a dollar for dinner. Being able to attend international conferences was a highlight of my candidature.

A big cheers to those who made this project possible on a practical level: to Ben Maddock, super IT guy, for technical assistance (and patience!) with creating and making endless changes to the online tools; to Anne, Peta, Deb, Amy, Lisa, and Stacey for assistance with recruitment, intervention facilitation, and fidelity assessments; and heartfelt thanks to the participants who took part in the various stages of this research, and the schools for their willingness to be involved.

Finally, thanks to those who made this thesis possible on every other level: my family and friends. Thanks Mum, Dad, Jase, Sam, Tyler, Blake, Maya and Shelly. It has been a topsy-turvy couple of years and I have appreciated your support – despite the infinite jokes about me sitting around doing nothing all day as a uni student! And to “the gang”: Anne/Osh, Mike/Moliver, Nicole/Reido, Rach/Hiller, Caitlin/Hitcho, Sam/Sammy. Seriously guys, this adventure would have been a much drearier affair without you all.

## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

AN = Anorexia Nervosa

BMI = Body Mass Index

BN = Bulimia Nervosa

CAMM = Child and Adolescent Mindfulness Measure

CBT = Cognitive Behaviour Therapy

CBT-E = Cognitive Behaviour Therapy – Enhanced

CIA = Clinical Impairment Assessment

DERS = Difficulties with Emotion Regulation Scale

DBI = Dissonance-Based Intervention

DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

ED = Eating Disorders

EDE-Q = Eating Disorders Examination – Questionnaire

EDI-BD = Eating Disorder Inventory –Body Dissatisfaction subscale

EDI-IE = Eating Disorder Inventory – Ineffectiveness subscale

EDNOS = Eating Disorders Not Otherwise Specified

FFMQ = Five Facion Mindfulness Questionnaire

MBI = Mindfulness-Based Intervention

PANAS-X = Positive and Negative Affect Scales - Expanded

NA = Negative Affect

USA = United States of America

VAS = Visual Analogue Scale

WCS = Weight Concerns Scale

WOC-EA = Ways of Coping – Escape-Avoidance subscale

WSC = Weight and Shape Concern

