Towards obesity resistance in children: Assessing the predictors of healthy behaviours within the family environment

Gillian Hendrie
BSc (Nut & Diet)
BSc (H Movement – Hons)

Doctorate of Philosophy thesis

Discipline of Public Health, School of Medicine,
Flinders University, South Australia
CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences
May 2010
2.9 SUMMARY AND THESIS AIMS ............................................................................................................ 42

3 VALIDATION OF THE GENERAL NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE QUESTIONNAIRE IN AN AUSTRALIAN COMMUNITY SAMPLE ........................................ 47

3.1 INTRODUCTION ................................................................................................................................. 47
3.2 BACKGROUND LITERATURE .............................................................................................................. 47
3.3 CHAPTER AIMS .................................................................................................................................. 50
3.4 METHODS .......................................................................................................................................... 50
3.4.1 QUESTIONNAIRE REFINEMENT .................................................................................................... 50
3.4.2 MEASURES ..................................................................................................................................... 51
3.4.3 SAMPLE SELECTION ...................................................................................................................... 52
3.4.4 DATA COLLECTION ......................................................................................................................... 53
3.4.5 DATA MANAGEMENT ....................................................................................................................... 53
3.4.6 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ................................................................................................................. 53
3.5 RESULTS ............................................................................................................................................. 55
3.5.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE ................................................................................................ 55
3.5.2 DISTRIBUTION OF SCORED DATA .............................................................................................. 56
3.5.3 INTERNAL RELIABILITY ................................................................................................................. 58
3.5.4 TEST-RETEST RELIABILITY .......................................................................................................... 59
3.5.5 CONCURRENT VALIDITY ............................................................................................................... 61
3.5.6 COMPARISON BETWEEN THE ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE AND THE AUSTRALIAN
SAMPLE .................................................................................................................................................. 61
3.6 DISCUSSION ..................................................................................................................................... 62
3.7 CONCLUSION .................................................................................................................................... 66

4 EXPLORING NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE AND THE DEMOGRAPHIC VARIATION IN KNOWLEDGE LEVELS IN A SOUTH AUSTRALIAN
COMMUNITY SAMPLE .......................................................................................................................... 67

4.1 INTRODUCTION ................................................................................................................................. 67
4.2 BACKGROUND LITERATURE .............................................................................................................. 67
4.2.1 DEMOGRAPHIC VARIATION IN NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE ...................................................... 70
4.3 CHAPTER AIMS .................................................................................................................................. 71
4.4 METHODS .......................................................................................................................................... 71
4.4.1 MEASURES ..................................................................................................................................... 71
4.4.2 SAMPLE SELECTION ....................................................................................................................... 72
4.4.3 DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION OF THE SAMPLING AREAS ...................................................... 72
4.4.4 Data Collection ........................................................................................................ 73
4.4.5 Statistical Analysis ................................................................................................. 73
4.5 RESULTS ..................................................................................................................... 73
4.5.1 Description of the Sample ..................................................................................... 73
4.5.2 Detailed Examination of Nutrition Knowledge .................................................... 76
4.5.3 Demographic Variation in Nutrition Knowledge .................................................... 78
4.5.4 Multivariate Analysis of Demographic Influences of Nutrition Knowledge .......... 80
4.5.5 The Effect of Socioeconomic Status on Nutrition Knowledge ............................. 82
4.6 Discussion .................................................................................................................. 84
4.6.1 General Nutrition Knowledge ............................................................................... 84
4.6.2 Demographic Variation in Nutrition Knowledge .................................................... 86
4.7 Conclusion .................................................................................................................. 87

5 Nutrition Knowledge and Dietary Intake: What is the Relationship? .......................................................... 89

5.1 Introduction ................................................................................................................ 89
5.2 Background Literature ............................................................................................... 89
5.2.1 What is the Current Evidence? ............................................................................ 89
5.3 The Measurement of Knowledge and Dietary Behaviours ...................................... 91
5.3.1 Methods for Measuring Dietary Intake ............................................................... 91
5.3.2 Dietary Intake in Australia .................................................................................... 92
5.4 Chapter Aims ............................................................................................................ 93
5.5 Methods ...................................................................................................................... 94
5.5.1 Measures .............................................................................................................. 94
5.5.2 Australian Nutrition Recommendations ............................................................. 94
5.5.3 Sample Selection ................................................................................................. 95
5.5.4 Data Collection .................................................................................................... 96
5.5.5 Statistical Analysis ............................................................................................... 96
5.6 Results ......................................................................................................................... 97
5.6.1 Description of the Sample .................................................................................... 97
5.6.2 The Influence of Knowledge Level on Dietary Intake ......................................... 98
5.6.3 Compliance with Current Australian Nutrition Recommendations .......... 101
5.6.4 Relationships between Nutrition Knowledge and Dietary Intake ................. 104
5.7 Discussion .................................................................................................................. 109
5.8 Conclusion .................................................................................................................. 111
7.5  INDIVIDUAL MEASURES ........................................................................................................ 144
7.5.1  ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS ........................................................................ 145
7.5.2  ENERGY INTAKE .............................................................................................................. 146
7.5.3  ENERGY EXPENDITURE .................................................................................................. 147
7.6  PARENTAL CHARACTERISTICS ......................................................................................... 149
7.6.1  KNOWLEDGE .................................................................................................................. 149
7.6.2  PARENTING STYLES ....................................................................................................... 150
7.6.3  FOOD INVOLVEMENT .................................................................................................... 153
7.6.4  FOOD ENVIRONMENT .................................................................................................... 153
7.6.5  PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT .......................................................................... 156
7.7  DISCUSSION ..................................................................................................................... 157
7.8  CONCLUSION ...................................................................................................................... 159

8  HEALTHY KIDS: THE FAMILY WAY, RESULTS PART 1 – PARENT AND CHILD CHARACTERISTICS ........................................................................................................ 161

8.1  INTRODUCTION ................................................................................................................ 161
8.2  CHAPTER AIMS .................................................................................................................. 161
8.3  METHODS .......................................................................................................................... 161
8.4  RESULTS ............................................................................................................................ 162
8.4.1  DESCRIPTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE .............................................. 162
8.4.2  PARENTS’ ANTHROPOMETRIC INFORMATION ......................................................... 165
8.4.3  CHILDREN’S ANTHROPOMETRIC INFORMATION ..................................................... 167
8.4.4  PARENTS’ NUTRIENT AND FOOD GROUP INTAKE ............................................... 170
8.4.5  PARENTS’ PHYSICAL ACTIVITY .................................................................................. 172
8.4.6  CHILDREN’S FOOD AND NUTRIENT INTAKES ....................................................... 176
8.4.7  CHILDREN’S ENERGY EXPENDITURE ......................................................................... 177
8.4.8  PARENTS’ NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE ....................................................................... 179
8.4.9  PARENTS’ PHYSICAL ACTIVITY KNOWLEDGE ....................................................... 180
8.5  DISCUSSION ..................................................................................................................... 181
8.5.1  SAMPLE CONSIDERATIONS ......................................................................................... 181
8.5.2  CHILDREN’S DIETARY INTAKES AND ACTIVITY HABITS ....................................... 183
8.5.3  FAMILIAL BEHAVIOUR PATTERNS .......................................................................... 184
8.6  CONCLUSION ...................................................................................................................... 185

9  HEALTHY KIDS: THE FAMILY WAY, RESULTS PART 2 – FAMILY FOOD AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENTS ......................................................................................... 186
10 CONFIRMATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE
OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL .............................................. 215

10.1 INTRODUCTION .............................................................. 215
10.2 METHOD ........................................................................ 215
10.2.1 CONFIRMATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS ............................... 215
10.3 RESULTS ....................................................................... 216
10.3.1 FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT LATENT VARIABLE ......... 216
10.3.2 FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT LATENT VARIABLE ........................................... 218
10.3.3 DEVELOPMENT OF THE OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL .................................................... 219
10.3.4 THE OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL ....................... 225
10.3.5 BMI Z-score CHANGE ............................................... 228
10.3.6 DEMOGRAPHIC VARIATION IN BODY COMPOSITION CHANGE ............................................. 229
10.3.7 PREDICTIVE ABILITY OF THE OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL FOR WEIGHT STATUS CHANGE ....................................................... 230
10.4 DISCUSSION .................................................................. 230
10.4.1 LIMITATIONS OF THE OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL ........................................................ 237
10.4.2 CONCLUSION .............................................................. 239
CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE OBESITY RESEARCH ................................................................. 240

REFERENCES ........................................................................................................................................... 249

APPENDIX 1 ........................................................................................................................................ 278

APPENDIX 2 ........................................................................................................................................ 285

APPENDIX 3 ........................................................................................................................................ 300

APPENDIX 4 ........................................................................................................................................ 308

APPENDIX 5 ........................................................................................................................................ 335

APPENDIX 6 ........................................................................................................................................ 384
LIST OF TABLES

Table 1  Reliability and validity of the General Nutrition Knowledge Questionnaire developed by Parmenter and Wardle (1999) ................................................................. 49
Table 2  Summary of the recruitment location of the study sample .......................................... 53
Table 3  Demographic characteristics of the study sample .......................................................... 56
Table 4  Mean and range of correct scores obtained from the two sample groups .................. 57
Table 5  Internal reliability for the four knowledge components and the modified questionnaire overall .......................................................................................................................... 58
Table 6  Mean scores for the sample at the two occasions of test-retest administration .......... 59
Table 7  Test-retest reliability coefficients for the study sample .............................................. 60
Table 8  Mean scores, standard deviation and standard error of the mean of the nutrition and non-nutrition educated groups ........................................................................ 61
Table 9  Comparison of the internal reliability and test-retest reliability measures in the original Parmenter and Wardle (1999) sample and the current study sample .......... 62
Table 10 Demographic characteristics of the study sample ...................................................... 75
Table 11 Demographic variation in nutrition knowledge ............................................................... 80
Table 12 Multiple regression analysis of nutrition knowledge on age, employment status, education and gender ........................................................................................................... 81
Table 13 Summary descriptive statistics of nutrition knowledge levels by socioeconomic status ................................................................................................................................. 82
Table 14 Independent samples t-test of nutrition knowledge by socioeconomic status ........ 84
Table 15 Demographic characteristics of the study sample ...................................................... 98
Table 16 One-way analysis of variance of nutrition knowledge between the three sub-groups ................................................................................................................................. 99
Table 17 Dietary intake (kilojoule, micronutrient and macronutrient, fruit and vegetables) by knowledge level ........................................................................................................ 100
Table 18 Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between nutrition knowledge and dietary intake, controlling for demographic variation ................................................. 105
Table 19 Summary of hierarchical regression analysis of dietary intake on demographic variables (Model 1) and demographic variables with nutrition knowledge (Model 2) ..... 107
Table 20 Summary of the modified Healthy Eating Index scoring criteria ................................. 119
Table 21 Serve sizes of food items included in the cereal food group ..................................... 120
Table 22 Serve sizes of food items included in the fruit food group ........................................ 120
Table 23 Serve sizes of food items included in the dairy food group ....................................... 121
Table 24 Serve sizes of food items included in the meat and alternatives food group ........... 121
Table 25 Demographic variation in the Healthy Eating Index scores .................................... 128
Table 26 Summary of hierarchical regression analysis of Healthy Eating Index on demographic variables (Model 1) and demographic variables with nutrition knowledge (Model 2) ..... 129
Table 27 Internal reliability (Cronbach's alpha) for the General Parenting Practices Questionnaire and the modified version for the Healthy Kids: The Family Way study ....... 151
TABLE 28  INTERNAL RELIABILITY (CRONBACH’S ALPHA) FOR THE CHILD FEEDING QUESTIONNAIRE (BIRCH ET AL., 2001) AND FOR THE HEALTHY KIDS: THE FAMILY WAY SAMPLE ................................. 153
TABLE 29  PARENT AND HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS (N=157) ........................................... 164
TABLE 30  SUMMARY OF PARENTS’ ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS ................................................................. 165
TABLE 31  CLASSIFICATION OF PARENTAL BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) AND WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE USING THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION CUT-OFFS ........................................................................ 165
TABLE 32  SUMMARY OF CHILDREN’S ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS .............................................................. 167
TABLE 33  WEIGHT STATUS CLASSIFICATION OF CHILDREN USING THE INTERNATIONAL OBESITY TASK FORCE CUT-OFFS FOR AGE AND GENDER ........................................................................ 168
TABLE 34  PEARSON PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN PARENTS’ AND CHILDREN’S BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) AND WAIST CIRCUMFERENCES CONTROLLING FOR EDUCATION, ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND MARITAL STATUS ........................................................................ 169
TABLE 35  CROSS-TABULATIONS OF PARENTS’ BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) AND WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE CLASSIFICATIONS AND CHILDREN’S BMI CLASSIFICATIONS ........................................................................................................... 170
TABLE 36  SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR MACRONUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF PARENTS’ DIETARY INTAKES ...... 171
TABLE 37  MEAN MINUTES FOR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY DURING THE PREVIOUS WEEK BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY..... 173
TABLE 38  PARENTS’ DIETARY INTAKE PATTERNS BY EXERCISE CLASSIFICATION .................................................. 175
TABLE 39  CHILDREN’S KILOJOULE AND MACRONUTRIENT INTAKES ........................................................................ 176
TABLE 40  CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CHILDREN’S AND PARENTS’ FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INTAKES AND DIET QUALITY ........................................................................................................................................................................ 177
TABLE 41  CHILDREN’S TIME SPENT IN SEDENTARY BEHAVIOURS .................................................................................. 177
TABLE 42  CHILDREN’S TIME SPENT BEING PHYSICALLY ACTIVE .................................................................................. 178
TABLE 43  CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CHILDREN’S AND PARENTS’ EXERCISE AND SEDENTARY BEHAVIOURS. 178
TABLE 44  PARENTS’ NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE ........................................................................................................... 179
TABLE 45  PARENTS’ PHYSICAL ACTIVITY KNOWLEDGE LEVELS ................................................................................. 180
TABLE 46  DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR GENERAL PARENTING STYLE TYPOGRAPHIES ........................................ 188
TABLE 47  DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR THE CHILD FEEDING QUESTIONNAIRE FACTORS .................................. 189
TABLE 48  DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF THE FOOD INVOLVEMENT SCALE FACTORS .............................................. 192
TABLE 49  SUMMARY OF THE PRINCIPAL AXIS FACTOR ANALYSIS WITH VARIMAX ROTATION FOR THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT FACTORS ............................................................................................................. 194
TABLE 50  SUMMARY OF FACTOR SCORES FOR THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT .................................................... 196
TABLE 51  CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT FACTORS AND CHILDREN’S ENERGY BALANCE BEHAVIOURS .............................................................................................................. 198
TABLE 52  SUMMARY OF THE PRINCIPAL AXIS FACTOR ANALYSIS WITH VARIMAX ROTATION FOR THE FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT FACTORS .......................................................... 200
TABLE 53  SUMMARY OF FACTOR SCORES FOR THE FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT .......................... 201
TABLE 54  CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT FACTORS AND CHILDREN’S ENERGY BALANCE BEHAVIOURS ............................................................................................................ 202
TABLE 55  CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FAMILY FOOD AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT FACTORS ........ 204
TABLE 56  SUMMARY STATISTICS OF INDEPENDENT SAMPLES T-TESTS OF PARENT, FAMILY AND CHILD CHARACTERISTICS BY CHILD’S WEIGHT STATUS ......................................................................................... 205
**Table 57** Confirmatory Factor Analysis Standardised Regression Weights for Family Food Environment

**Table 58** Confirmatory Factor Analysis Standardised Regression Weights for Family Physical Activity Environment
LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 ECOCOLOGICAL MODEL OF PREDICTORS OF CHILDHOOD OVERWEIGHT (DAVISON AND BIRCH, 2001, PG 161) .................................................................................................................. 17
FIGURE 2 SUMMARY OF RESEARCH OUTLINE FOR THIS THESIS .................................................. 44
FIGURE 3 DISTRIBUTION OF NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE CODED BY SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS .................................................. 83
FIGURE 4 PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE IN EACH KNOWLEDGE LEVEL GROUP COMPLYING WITH AUSTRALIAN NUTRIENT RECOMMENDATIONS ................................................................. 103
FIGURE 5 PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE IN EACH KNOWLEDGE LEVEL GROUP COMPLYING WITH AUSTRALIAN NUTRIENT AND FRUIT AND VEGETABLE RECOMMENDATIONS ........................................ 104
FIGURE 6 CLASSIFICATION OF THE SAMPLE’S DIETS USING THE HEALTHY EATING INDEX CATEGISATION DEFINITIONS ........................................................................................................ 124
FIGURE 7 HEALTHY EATING INDEX COMPONENT MEAN SCORES .......................................................... 125
FIGURE 8 PERCENTAGE OF THE SAMPLE SCORING A MAXIMUM SCORE FOR EACH COMPONENT ........ 126
FIGURE 9 FLOWCHART OF PARTICIPATION THROUGHOUT THE 12-MONTH STUDY ........................ 143
FIGURE 10 INTERPRETATION OF PARENTS’ INTAKES: PERCENTAGE OF THE SAMPLE SCORING THE MAXIMUM FOR EACH HEALTHY EATING INDEX COMPONENT ................................................................... 172
FIGURE 11 SUMMARY OF FACTOR LOADING FOR FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT CONSTRUCTS ........ 217
FIGURE 12 SUMMARY OF FACTOR LOADING FOR FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT CONSTRUCTS ... 218
FIGURE 13 FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT MEDIATES THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTS’ DIET QUALITY AND CHILDREN’S FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INTAKE ........................................ 219
FIGURE 14 PARENTAL ACTIVITY AND SEDENTARY LEVELS CREATE A FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT, WHICH SUPPORTS MORE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND LESS SEDENTARY BEHAVIOIRS IN CHILDREN .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. 220
FIGURE 15 CHILD FEEDING PRACTICES INFLUENCE THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT AND NOT CHILDREN’S FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INTAKE DIRECTLY .................................................................. 221
FIGURE 16 THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT MEDIATES THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTING STYLE AND CHILDREN’S FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INTAKES ........................................ 222
FIGURE 17 PARENTING STYLE INDIRECTLY INFLUENCES CHILDREN’S SCREEN TIME THROUGH THE FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT .......................................................................................... 222
FIGURE 18 PARENTS’ KNOWLEDGE INFLUENCES THEIR OWN BEHAVIOURS (INTAKE AND CHILD FEEDING PRACTICES), WHICH IN TURN INFLUENCES THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT .................................. 223
FIGURE 19 PARENTS’ KNOWLEDGE INFLUENCES THEIR OWN DIETARY INTAKE BEHAVIOUR, WHICH IN TURN INFLUENCES THE FAMILY FOOD ENVIRONMENT .................................................................................................. 224
FIGURE 20 PARENTS’ BEHAVIOURS — NOT THEIR KNOWLEDGE — INFLUENCE THE FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT ........................................................................................................ 224
FIGURE 21 PARENTS’ WEIGHT HAS A DIRECT INFLUENCE ON CHILDREN’S WEIGHT STATUS AND THE FAMILY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENVIRONMENT .............................................................................. 225
FIGURE 22 OBESITY RESISTANCE MODEL: A SUMMARY OF THE INTERACTIONS OF FAMILY ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING CHILDREN’S WEIGHT STATUS ................................................................................. 227
FIGURE 23  HISTOGRAM OF CHILDREN’S BMI Z-SCORE CHANGE OVER 12 MONTHS .......................... 228
FIGURE 24  HISTOGRAM OF WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE CHANGE OVER 12 MONTHS ........................ 229
SUMMARY

Understanding the determinants of behaviour in children is crucial to curb the current population obesity trends. Children’s behaviour develops within the home, making it a target for obesity prevention efforts. Previous research has identified a network of parental factors that are thought to influence children’s health-related behaviour including weight, health-related knowledge and behaviour, parenting styles and practices, to name but a few. This complexity makes it important to use theory or models to guide research and to determine the relative importance of factors within the home environment to improve the effectiveness of future obesity prevention interventions.

Embedded in psychological theory and nutrition education principles is the concept that knowledge is required for behaviour change. This thesis provides much-needed support for the theoretical foundation that nutrition knowledge is a determinant of dietary intake behaviour. The measurement of knowledge and the collection and interpretation of intake data are often cited as limitations to research – issues this thesis aimed to address. Modifications were made to an existing measure of nutrition knowledge, and a validation exercise conducted within a heterogeneous Australian community setting provided a valid and reliable assessment tool to measure knowledge.

Single nutrient or food group analysis omits the synergistic nature of whole diet. A key component of this thesis was the modification of the United States Department of Agriculture’s Healthy Eating Index to be consistent with Australian dietary guidelines and its application to the interpretation of dietary intake. An exploratory study, using the validated knowledge tool and modified diet quality index, revealed that some of the basic nutrition guidelines, such as eat more vegetables and less fatty foods, are reaching the community, but detailed knowledge of the nutrient content of foods, diet-disease relationships and making healthier food choices is poor. Indeed, knowledge was shown to be a significant independent predictor of dietary intake and diet quality. Knowledge was shown to be a stronger predictor of overall diet quality than of any single nutrient or food group.
The second aim of this thesis was to disentangle the relative importance of family environmental factors in the context of obesity resistance in children. A 12-month longitudinal study involved 154 South Australian families with primary school-aged children, and used structural equation modelling and previous research to present a model of obesity resistance. The proposed model showed an acceptable fit (NFI=0.458; CFI=0.741; RMSEA=0.045). Parents’ BMI (β=0.34*) and knowledge (β=-0.21*) had the strongest direct associations with children’s obesity risk. Parents’ intake and expenditure behaviours were indirectly associated with children’s behaviours through the creation of the home environment. The physical activity environment was associated with children’s sedentary (β=-0.44*) and activity habits (β=0.29*). The food environment was associated with fruit and vegetable intake (β=0.47*). General parenting styles (β=0.63*) and child feeding practices (β=-0.74*) were associated with the family environment. Parents’ knowledge also had a direct influence on their parenting practices – parenting style (β=0.25*) and feeding practices (β=-0.50*). The proposed model provided a comprehensive insight into the potential avenues for intervention within the complex network of factors that make up the family home environment.
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