

Characterisation and modelling of stress-dependent permeability and flow in shallow fractured rock aquifers

Luke Mortimer
B.Sc. (Hons)

As a requirement in full for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in the
School of the Environment
Faculty of Science and Engineering
Flinders University

September 2011



A groundwater observation well at the Wendouree Winery, Clare Valley, South Australia.

Table of Contents

Summary	i
Declaration of Originality	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives	1
1.2 Outline of remaining chapters	5
2 Is <i>in situ</i> stress important to groundwater flow in shallow fractured rock aquifers?	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Background	10
2.3 Methodology	12
2.4 Geological and hydrogeological setting	16
2.5 Fracture network characterization	22
2.6 Conceptual model	26
2.7 Hydromechanical models	28
2.7.1 Model design	28
2.7.2 Fracture deformation model	34
2.7.3 Groundwater flow models	35
2.8 Discussion	42
2.9 Conclusion	45
Acknowledgements	47

3	The role of <i>in situ</i> stress in determining hydraulic connectivity in a fractured rock aquifer	48
3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Background	51
3.3	Methodology	53
3.4	Geological setting	57
3.5	Hydrogeological setting	60
3.6	Conceptual model	68
3.7	Fracture network connectivity models	70
3.7.1	Model design	70
3.7.2	Fracture deformation model	76
3.7.3	Groundwater flow models	77
3.8	Discussion	88
3.9	Conclusion	93
	Acknowledgements	94
4	Role of mechanical stratigraphy in determining groundwater flow in fractured sedimentary successions	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Methodology	98
4.3	Geological setting	101
4.3.1	Examples of mechanical stratigraphy in the Clare Valley	101
4.4	Simulating HM behaviour of mechanical stratigraphy	107
4.4.1	Conceptual model	107
4.4.2	Relative HM effects between mechanical stratigraphy units	113
4.4.3	HM effects on bulk rock mass permeability	117
4.5	Discussion	118

4.6	Conclusion.....	121
	Acknowledgements.....	122
5	Targeting faults for geothermal fluid production: exploring for zones of enhanced permeability	123
5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Fault architecture and hydrogeology.....	124
5.3	Stress-dependent fault permeability	126
5.4	Preliminary modelling of fault stress states	129
5.6	Conclusion.....	134
	Acknowledgements.....	135
	References.....	136

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Wendouree mean fracture orientations and characterisation	25
Table 2.2	Wendouree fracture hydraulic activity potentials	26
Table 2.3	Wendouree UDEC fracture parameters	30
Table 2.4	Wendouree UDEC rock mass parameters	32
Table 3.1	Wendouree UDEC fracture parameters	73
Table 3.2	Wendouree UDEC rock mass parameters	75
Table 4.1	Conceptual UDEC model fracture parameters	109
Table 4.2	Conceptual UDEC model rock mass parameters	110
Table 4.3	Conceptual UDEC model mechanical stratigraphy results	114
Table 4.4	Conceptual UDEC model bulk hydraulic conductivity results	118

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Geological setting of the Wendouree field site	13
Figure 2.2	Wendouree field site	14
Figure 2.3	Clare Valley median borehole groundwater yields	19
Figure 2.4	Example Wendouree borehole ^{222}Rn and K_b profiles	21
Figure 2.5	Wendouree BHTV stereonet and rose diagrams	23
Figure 2.6	Typical fracture sets of the Clare Valley	24
Figure 2.7	Wendouree conceptual model	27
Figure 2.8	UDEC cross-section and horizontal planar models	29
Figure 2.9	Drill core JRC profiles	33
Figure 2.10	UDEC fracture deformation profile	35
Figure 2.11	UDEC mean fracture apertures and flow rates	37
Figure 2.12	UDEC hydraulic conductivity ellipses	40
Figure 3.1	Geological setting of the Wendouree field site	54
Figure 3.2	Wendouree field site	55
Figure 3.3	Typical fracture sets of the Clare Valley	59
Figure 3.4	Clare Valley median borehole groundwater yields	61
Figure 3.5	Wendouree EM flowmeter profiles	62
Figure 3.6	Example Wendouree borehole ^{222}Rn and K_b profiles	64
Figure 3.7	Wendouree surface EM resistivity polar plots	67
Figure 3.8	Wendouree conceptual model	69
Figure 3.9	UDEC cross-section and horizontal planar models	71
Figure 3.10	UDEC fracture deformation profile	77
Figure 3.11	UDEC mean fracture apertures and flow rates	79
Figure 3.12	UDEC hydraulic conductivity ellipses	82
Figure 3.13	UDEC small-scale model fracture deformation	86
Figure 3.14	UDEC small-scale model fracture flow rates	87
Figure 4.1	Geological setting of the Clare Quarry field site	99
Figure 4.2	Typical fracture sets of the Clare Valley	103
Figure 4.3	Gilbert Range Quartzite and Kadlunga Slate, Clare Quarry	104

Figure 4.4	Example of bedding plane flexural slip deformation	105
Figure 4.5	Gilbert Range Quartzite–Kadlunga Slate contact	106
Figure 4.6	Conceptual UDEC fracture deformation model	112
Figure 4.7	Conceptual UDEC fracture fluid flow rate model	115
Figure 4.8	Conceptual UDEC fracture fluid velocity model	116
Figure 5.1	Illustrative fault architecture	125
Figure 5.2	Hypothetical fault model 1	131
Figure 5.3	Hypothetical fault model 2	132
Figure 5.4	Hypothetical intersecting faults model 3	133

Summary

In situ stress can exert a significant control on fluid flow patterns in fractured rocks with relatively low matrix permeability. In addition to overall reduction in rock mass permeability, fracture deformation results in preferential flow along fractures oriented orthogonal to the minimum principal stress direction (due to low normal stress) or inclined $\sim 30^\circ$ to the maximum principal stress direction (due to dilation). As fracture void geometries and the connectivity of a flow network change in response to changing *in situ* stress, the storage, permeability and flow pattern should also be expected to change in magnitude, heterogeneity and/or anisotropy. These influences of *in situ* stress on the absolute and relative magnitudes and spatial distribution of the components of the permeability tensor are well documented for applications at depth but have received little attention at shallow to near surface settings. Groundwater flow modelling of shallow (<200 m) fractured rock aquifers is typically conducted under the assumption that permeability is independent of the stress state i.e. fluid flow is taking place within an effectively non-deforming medium. The potential influence of stress on fracture permeability at shallow depths might also be considered weak owing to the relatively low overburden pressures. This is perhaps the main reason why the effects of stress fields are largely ignored in shallow depth hydrogeological investigations. The question as to what extent this assumption holds at shallow to near surface depths is the main focus of this body of research.

Stress-related effects on groundwater flow at shallow depths are difficult to identify and characterise due to the complex interactions between all of the inherent properties of a fractured rock aquifer. These properties include the factors that dominantly control groundwater flow: fracture network density, geometry, connectivity and infill. Furthermore, surface processes such as weathering, erosion and unloading alter the original hydraulic nature (connectivity, transmissivity) of fractured rock masses resulting in higher degrees of spatial heterogeneity within shallow flow systems. These processes and interactions often mask the influence of *in situ* stress fields on fracture network permeability and groundwater flow. The multitude of the influential factors means that no one method can adequately map the spatial distribution of hydraulic properties that control advective groundwater flow at

shallow depths. Therefore, any stress-dependent fracture permeability investigations require a multi-parameter, multi-disciplinary methodology including hydromechanical (HM) modelling.

This research began with a detailed geological and hydrogeological characterisation of fractured rock aquifers within the Clare Valley, South Australia. The study area is situated within a near horizontal, WNW-ESE directed regional compressional stress field that is seismically active and undergoing uplift and erosion. This area was the subject of several previous geological, geophysical and hydrogeological investigations that provided invaluable background field observations which improved model constraints and reduced the level of uncertainty. This research built on these previous studies through an integrated analysis of local area fracture networks from outcrop mapping, geotechnical drill core logging, surface and borehole geophysical surveys, borehole groundwater flow analyses and representative HM models. It demonstrated how *in situ* stress affects groundwater flow in shallow (<200 m) fractured rock aquifers and to what extent fracture hydraulic aperture distributions, fracture network connectivity and groundwater flow rates are modified via fracture deformation processes. The inclusion of representative HM models was important as field techniques such as outcrop mapping, borehole hydraulic and geophysical surveys do not fully account for sub-surface fracture deformation and HM response of a fracture network as a whole. In particular, comparison between deformed (stressed state) and undeformed (zero stress state) HM models enabled the effects of *in situ* stress to be qualified and quantified through evaluation of the measurable changes in the groundwater flow system of the original (pre-existing) versus deformed (contemporary) state of a fracture network.

This research adopted a unique philosophy and approach that demonstrates how to generate information complementary to standard hydrogeological observations, especially in areas where field hydrogeological data are limited. This concept was extended to preliminary fault stress state modelling to improve the probability of identifying zones of enhanced fault permeability, which in turn, could potentially increase productivity and reduce the uncertainty in locating fault-related fluid production targets, particularly in early stage exploration projects or in areas of unknown or complex geology.

Ultimately, this research contributes to the knowledge and understanding of the role *in situ* stress plays and of its interactions with other influential factors in determining groundwater flow in fractured rock aquifers. It also provides guidance on what are the critical datasets and how they can be measured and practically applied in the field as well as incorporated within groundwater flow models over local to regional scales.

Declaration of Originality

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any University; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text

Luke Mortimer

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my two main supervisors, Professor Craig Simmons and Associate Professor Adnan Aydin. I am deeply indebted not just for their continual academic support and guidance but also for their personal mentoring, encouragement and highly professional example. Of particular significance to me, Professor Simmons was forever enthusiastic and inspiring whilst Associate Professor Aydin voluntarily offered his time and expertise simply in his belief in me and this research project. I also wish to thank Dr Andrew Love whose early involvement, insight, support and guidance got this research project off the ground. Without them this research project would not have been possible.

I wish to thank Professor Graham Heinson for his excellent support and involvement which was of great benefit to our second journal paper.

Thanks to the staff and students of the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training. Particular thanks go to my fellow PhD students whose company made it a great experience both academically and personally. Special thanks go to James Ward who helped me with numerous computing and programming problems.

Thanks go to my family and friends who not only encouraged me but also repeatedly asked “when are you going to finish?”. Special thanks go to Terry and Lorraine Dixon for accommodating my dog and I at their Clare Valley homestead during the field campaigns. They made field work very easy. Thanks also go to Mike Coulthard who helped me with numerous UDEC issues.

I wish to thank several institutions that supported this research. Namely Flinders University, (former) Department of Water Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Geological Survey of South Australia, University of Hong Kong and the University of Mississippi. I wish to specifically mention Tania Wilson, Brian Traegar, Don Freebairn and Wolfgang Preiss for their great support.

Further acknowledgements of specific financial and personal support are included at the end of each chapter in the same form as they appeared in each publication.

1 Introduction

1.1 Objectives

In situ stress fields are ubiquitous within the Earth's crust regardless of geological setting. Stress (σ) arises at all scales in the Earth's crust from several sources including the weight of overlying rocks, tectonic forces, fluid pressures, thermal loading and other geological phenomena such as volcanic activity, igneous intrusions etc (Engelder 1993, Hobbs *et al.* 1976, NRC 1996). In general, stress fields are inhomogeneous and defined by three mutually orthogonal principal axes of stress, which generally lie in the vertical (σ_v) and the maximum (σ_H) and minimum (σ_h) horizontal planes. In practice, far-field crustal stress regimes are classified using the Andersonian scheme, which relates the three major styles of faulting in the crust to the three major arrangements of the principal axes of stress (Anderson 1951). These stress regimes are: (a) normal faulting ($\sigma_v > \sigma_H > \sigma_h$); (b) strike-slip faulting ($\sigma_H > \sigma_v > \sigma_h$); and (c) reverse faulting ($\sigma_H > \sigma_h > \sigma_v$).

Stress acting on a fracture plane can be resolved into normal and shear stresses, which are the components of stress that act normal and parallel to a plane, respectively. In a fractured rock mass, these stresses are highly coupled and can cause fractures to deform. It is well documented that these processes occur at depth, in the order of kilometres, under conditions of high confining pressures and are often accounted for in petroleum, geothermal, nuclear repository and rock engineering studies (e.g. Barton *et al.* 1995, Finkbeiner *et al.* 1997, Gentier *et al.*, 2000; Hillis *et al.*, 1997; Hudson *et al.* 2005; Rutqvist and Stephansson 2003). However, the potential rate of fracture deformation is considered to be greatest at shallow depths where lower confining pressures result in a lesser amount of contact between fracture walls (fracture stiffness) (NRC 1996). This concept of nonlinear fracture stiffness implies that stress-dependency of fracture permeability may be expected to be greatest at shallow depths where groundwater is typically extracted. Whether this dependency would result in discernible changes in fracture permeability at the relatively low stresses prevailing at shallow depths is a complex issue that has largely been ignored in hydrogeological investigations. Presently, groundwater flow

modelling of shallow fractured rock aquifers is typically conducted under the assumption that permeability is independent of the stress state (i.e. fluid flow is taking place within a non-deforming medium) but this assumption at shallow to near surface depths remains to be fully investigated.

The influence of *in situ* stress on groundwater flow in shallow fractured rock aquifers is difficult to characterise due to the inherent complexities in fracture network geometries, densities, connectivity, infill and weathering. These intrinsic factors dominate and may, in some cases, mask any evidence of the effects of the stress field at least at depths of <200 m below the surface. The multitude of the influential factors means that no one method can adequately map the spatial distribution of hydraulic properties that control advective groundwater flow at shallow depths. Therefore, this research investigated stress-dependent fracture permeability at shallow depths through a multi-parameter, multi-disciplinary methodology including hydromechanical (HM) modelling.

This research project is based upon the fractured rock aquifers of the Clare Valley, South Australia. This is an ideal field site as it is situated within a near horizontal, WNW-ESE directed regional compressional stress field that is seismically active and undergoing uplift and erosion. Importantly, this area has been the subject of several previous geological, geophysical and hydrogeological investigations that provided invaluable background field observations which improved model constraints and reduced the level of uncertainty.

The research described in Chapters 2 and 3 demonstrate how the effects of *in situ* stress is ubiquitous and always plays some role in the behaviour of groundwater systems at shallow (<200 m) depths. Specifically, Chapters 2 and 3 are based on the same detailed integrated analysis of local area fracture networks, borehole geophysical logs, borehole groundwater yields and HM models that demonstrate that *in situ* stress does affect groundwater flow in shallow (<200 m) fractured rock aquifers by altering fracture hydraulic aperture distributions, fracture network connectivity and groundwater flow rates via fracture deformation processes. Critically, field observations are explained through the use of representative, stochastic, HM models which characterise the key physical processes involved. A

comparison between deformed (stressed state) and undeformed (zero stress state) HM models enabled the effects of *in situ* stress to be qualified and quantified through evaluation of the measurable changes in the groundwater flow system of the original (pre-existing) versus deformed (contemporary) state of a fracture network. Both chapters present a logical and robust basis for identifying, measuring, modelling and quantifying the effects of *in situ* stress at shallow depths from single well- to regional-scale datasets.

The research outcomes of Chapters 2 and 3 benefitted from the fact that their respective investigations were based upon one fractured stratigraphic unit located at one well instrumented field site. Chapter 4 builds upon these outcomes by further applying the concept of mechanical stratigraphy to an intercalated fractured sedimentary sequence to describe how stress-dependent fracture permeability differs within individual stratigraphic units and how, ultimately, this affects local groundwater flow behaviour. This is achieved through an analysis of outcrop data in conjunction with a conceptual, stochastic HM model which differentiate between the influences of the primary (or inherent) fracture network versus that of the present-day *in situ* stress field and their respective effects on bulk rock mass permeability.

This research developed a unique philosophy and approach that demonstrated how to generate structural and geomechanical information complementary to standard hydrogeological observations, especially in areas where field hydrogeologic data are limited. Chapter 5 shows how this methodology may be practically applied to preliminary fault stress state modelling that aims to improve the probability of identifying zones of enhanced, stress-related, fault permeability. Although this particular chapter focuses on a practical application of this methodology to the specific problem of geothermal fluid exploration it is just as applicable for any subsurface fluid regardless of depth.

This research contributes to the knowledge and understanding of the role *in situ* stress plays in determining groundwater flow in fractured rock aquifers through:

1. Specifically answering the complex question to what extent *in situ* stress affects groundwater flow in shallow (<200 m) fractured rock aquifers.

2. Identifying the critical datasets and how they can be best measured, practically applied and numerically modelled.
3. Differentiating the role of the inherent fracture network permeability (e.g. fracture orientation, spacing etc) versus the modifying effects of secondary processes such as subsurface fracture deformation, weathering, uplift and unloading.
4. Applying the concept of mechanical stratigraphy to describe contrasting HM effects on groundwater flow within an intercalated fractured sedimentary sequence.
5. Developing a methodology based upon structural and geomechanical datasets complimentary to standard hydrogeological field data which has many practical benefits in areas of unknown or complex geology irrespective of geological setting or depth below the surface.

This thesis is comprised of three journal papers (Chapters 2, 3 and 4) and one conference proceeding (extended abstract; Chapter 5). Each chapter contains pertinent literature reviews within their introductory sections. In their chapter order these publications are referenced as follows:

Mortimer L, Aydin A, Simmons CT, Love AJ (2011) Is *in situ* stress important to groundwater flow in shallow fractured rock aquifers? *Journal of Hydrology*, 399 (3-4): 185-200 [Chapter 2].

Mortimer L, Aydin A, Simmons CT, Heinson G and Love AJ (2011) The role of *in situ* stress in determining hydraulic connectivity in a fractured rock aquifer. *Hydrogeology Journal*, DOI 10.1007/s10040-011-0760-z [Chapter 3].

Mortimer L, Aydin A, Simmons CT, Love AJ (in prep.) Role of mechanical stratigraphy in determining groundwater flow in fractured sedimentary successions. Submitted to *Hydrogeology Journal* 30 June 2011 [Chapter 4].

Mortimer L, Aydin A, Simmons CT, Love AJ (2010) Targeting Faults for Geothermal Fluid Production: Exploring for Zones of Enhanced Permeability. Proceedings Australian Geothermal Conference 2010, November 16-19, 2010, Adelaide, Australia [Chapter 5].

1.2 Outline of remaining chapters

The following abstracts, directly extracted from their respective journal and conference papers, provide an outline of the content from each of the five chapters.

Chapter 2: Is *in situ* stress important to groundwater flow in shallow fractured rock aquifers?

In situ stress affects the permeability tensor of fractured rock masses at depth but its effect on shallow to near-surface fractured rock aquifers has received little attention. This is partly because stress-related effects on groundwater flow at shallow depths are difficult to identify and characterise due to the complex interactions between all of the inherent properties of a fractured rock aquifer. These properties include the factors that dominantly control groundwater flow: fracture network density, geometry, connectivity and infill. Furthermore, surface processes such as weathering, erosion and unloading alter the original hydraulic nature (connectivity, transmissivity) of fractured rock masses resulting in higher degrees of spatial heterogeneity within shallow flow systems. These processes and interactions often mask the influence of *in situ* stress fields on fracture network permeability and groundwater flow. In this study, an integrated analysis of local area fracture networks, borehole geophysical logs, borehole groundwater yields and hydromechanical models demonstrate that *in situ* stress does affect groundwater flow in shallow (<200 m) fractured rock aquifers by altering fracture hydraulic aperture distributions, fracture network connectivity and groundwater flow rates via fracture deformation processes. In particular, a comparison between representative models of deformed (stressed state) and undeformed (zero stress state) fracture networks showed that below 100 m depth, groundwater flow rates could decrease several fold under the influence of the contemporary stress field. This prediction was highly consistent with the field observations. In contrast, groundwater flow modelling of shallow fractured rock aquifers is typically conducted under the assumption that

permeability is independent of the state of stress. A key finding of this study is that *in situ* stress may be a more important control on both local and regional scale shallow groundwater flow systems than previously recognised. The methodology applied in this study also offers an alternative approach to investigating groundwater flow in fractured rock masses where field hydrogeological data are limited.

Chapter 3: The role of *in situ* stress in determining hydraulic connectivity in a fractured rock aquifer.

Fracture network connectivity is a spatially variable property that is difficult to quantify from standard hydrogeological datasets. This critical property is related to the distributions of fracture density, orientation, dimensions, intersections, apertures and roughness. These features that determine the inherent connectivity of a fracture network can be modified by secondary processes including weathering, uplift and unloading and other mechanisms that lead to fracture deformation in response to *in situ* stress. This study focussed on a fractured rock aquifer in the Clare Valley, South Australia, and found that fracture network connectivity could be discriminated from several geological, geophysical and hydrogeological field datasets at various scales including single well and local- to regional-scale data. Representative hydromechanical models of the field site were not only consistent with field observations but also highlighted the strong influence of *in situ* stress in determining the distribution of fracture hydraulic apertures and the formation of hydraulic chokes that impede fluid flow. The results of this multi-disciplinary investigation support the notion that the hydraulic conductivity of a fracture network is limited to the least hydraulically conductive interconnected fractures, which imposes a physical limit on the bulk hydraulic conductivity of a fractured rock aquifer.

Chapter 4: Role of mechanical stratigraphy in determining groundwater flow in fractured rock successions.

Fractured sedimentary rock successions can be subdivided in terms of mechanical stratigraphy, which defines a subdivision of rock into discrete intervals according to the mechanical properties which determines the deformational behaviour of each interval to an applied force. This study focussed on fractured rock aquifers of the Clare Valley, South Australia, and found that the key determinant of groundwater flow is the inherent permeability of the mechanical stratigraphy and to a lesser extent

the effects of *in situ* stress. Conceptual hydromechanical modelling demonstrated that mechanical stratigraphy governs subsurface fracture deformation processes which ultimately results in a reduction in the magnitudes of the permeability tensor of individual mechanical stratigraphy units and accordingly the bulk rock mass permeability. Mechanical decoupling and poor hydraulic connection between significantly contrasting mechanical stratigraphy could potentially modify and even inhibit cross-bed flow. Methods that map and subdivide fractured rock aquifers which capture relative fracture network permeability and heterogeneity from structural and geomechanical datasets have many practical benefits in terms of the targeting of wells and management of catchment-wide groundwater resources.

Chapter 5: Targeting faults for geothermal fluid production: exploring for zones of enhanced permeability.

Faults can potentially deliver increased geothermal fluid production by boosting the bulk permeability and fluid storage of a production zone. However, the hydromechanical properties of faults are inherently heterogeneous and anisotropic, thereby, making it challenging to distinguish between permeable and impermeable faults. This discussion paper outlines the key features that determine fault permeability and shows how the probability of locating zones of enhanced fault permeability can be improved by preliminary fault stress state modelling. It is proposed that such modelling (with appropriate level of complexity commensurate with the availability, nature and quality of data) can reduce the uncertainty and risk of exploring for fault-related geothermal targets, particularly in early stage exploration projects or in areas of unknown or complex geology.