

Characterising whole-of-diet patterns of Australian
toddlers to inform the development of a short dietary
risk assessment tool

Lucinda Kate Bell

B Nut Diet (Hons)

Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Health Sciences,
Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences,
Flinders University, Adelaide

A thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
THESIS SUMMARY	8
DECLARATION.....	10
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
ABBREVIATIONS	13
LIST OF TABLES.....	14
LIST OF FIGURES	18
OVERVIEW OF THESIS STRUCTURE	20
1 ASSESSMENT OF TODDLERS' DIETARY INTAKE	22
1.1 Overview	22
1.2 Early life nutrition	23
1.2.1 Importance of nutrition in early life	23
1.2.1.1 Early life nutritional requirements for growth, health and development.....	23
1.2.1.2 Early life is a period of rapid dietary change.....	24
1.2.1.3 Early life is the period when food-related skills are developed.....	24
1.2.1.4 Early life is the period when dietary preferences and habits are formed.....	25
1.2.1.5 Summary – the importance of nutrition in early life.....	26
1.2.2 A focus on nutrition in toddlerhood (1 - 3 years).....	26
1.2.2.1 Nutritional and developmental context of toddlerhood	27
1.2.2.2 Fussy eating behaviours in toddlers.....	28
1.2.2.3 Summary – the nutritional context and challenges of toddlerhood	29
1.2.3 Consequences of poor nutrition in toddlerhood	29
1.2.3.1 Inappropriate milk consumption.....	29

1.2.3.2	Iron deficiency	30
1.2.3.3	Energy imbalance	31
1.2.3.4	Summary - health consequences of poor nutrition in toddlerhood	33
1.2.4	What are the recommendations for food intake in toddlerhood?	33
1.2.4.1	The Australian Dietary Guidelines	33
1.2.4.2	Dietary Guidelines from other western developed countries.....	38
1.2.4.3	Summary - dietary guidelines for toddlers in developed countries	39
1.2.5	Do toddlers' intakes meet the recommendations?.....	39
1.2.5.1	Patterns of toddlers' intakes in Australia.....	39
1.2.5.2	Patterns of toddlers' intakes in America.....	40
1.2.5.3	Patterns of toddlers' intakes in the UK.....	42
1.2.5.4	Summary - patterns of toddlers' intakes in developed countries	42
1.2.6	Summary – toddlers' diets place them at 'dietary risk'	42
1.3	Dietary assessment of toddlers.....	44
1.3.1	Assessment of whole diet to determine dietary risk	44
1.3.2	Dietary assessment methods.....	45
1.3.2.1	Traditional dietary assessment methods - recalls and records	45
1.3.2.2	Alternative dietary assessment methods - questionnaires.....	45
1.3.2.3	Short questionnaire-based dietary assessment methods	46
1.3.2.4	Summary – methods for assessing dietary risk.....	47
1.3.3	The reliability and validity of dietary assessment methods.....	51
1.3.3.1	What is reliability?.....	51
1.3.3.2	What is validity?.....	52
1.3.3.3	Statistical testing of reliability and validity	54
1.3.3.4	Summary – accurate assessment of dietary intake.....	56
1.3.4	Short toddler dietary assessment tools – a review of the evidence.....	59
1.3.4.1	Introduction.....	60
1.3.4.2	Methods	61
1.3.4.3	Results	65
1.3.4.4	Discussion.....	87
1.3.4.5	Conclusion.....	90
1.3.5	Summary – rapid, accurate assessment of toddlers' dietary risk.....	91
1.4	Developing a dietary risk assessment tool for toddlers	92
1.4.1	Characterising whole diet.....	92
1.4.1.1	Characterising whole diet through dietary indices.....	92
1.4.1.2	Characterising whole diet through dietary patterns	93
1.4.2	Characterising whole diet to inform tool development	96
1.4.3	Summary – development of a short dietary risk assessment tool for toddlers	97

1.5 Thesis aims.....	98
1.5.1 Thesis general aim.....	98
1.5.2 Thesis specific aims.....	98
2 DIETARY PATTERNS OF AUSTRALIAN TODDLERS.....	99
2.1 Overview	99
2.2 Dietary patterns in toddlers	100
2.2.1 Summary of studies	100
2.2.2 Summary of extracted dietary patterns.....	101
2.2.3 Validation of dietary patterns	102
2.2.3.1 Associations with nutrient intakes	102
2.2.3.2 Associations with demographic factors	103
2.2.3.3 Associations with health outcomes.....	103
2.2.4 Summary – PCA-derived dietary patterns.....	104
2.3 Dietary patterns of Australian toddlers.....	115
2.3.1 Introduction	115
2.3.2 Methods.....	117
2.3.2.1 Study design.....	117
2.3.2.2 Dataset	117
2.3.2.3 Data collection and entry	119
2.3.2.4 Dietary pattern analysis	123
2.3.2.5 Statistical analysis.....	138
2.3.3 Results	139
2.3.3.1 Food consumption and dietary patterns.....	142
2.3.3.2 Construct validity of dietary patterns.....	147
2.3.3.3 Dietary patterns and socio-demographic characteristics.....	156
2.3.3.4 Dietary patterns and adiposity	161
2.3.4 Discussion	164
2.3.4.1 Dietary patterns, 14 and 24 months	164
2.3.4.2 Construct validity of dietary patterns.....	165
2.3.4.3 Dietary patterns and socio-demographic characteristics.....	166
2.3.4.4 Dietary patterns and adiposity	168
2.3.4.5 Study strengths and limitations.....	169
2.3.5 Conclusion.....	170
2.4 Chapter summary	171

3	DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING OF A NEW TODDLER DIETARY QUESTIONNAIRE (TDQ)	172
3.1	Overview	172
3.2	Considerations for developing a short dietary risk assessment tool for Australian toddlers	173
3.2.1	Selection of tool items using age- and population-specific dietary patterns	173
3.2.2	Dietary assessment period of a new short tool	173
3.2.3	Should a new short tool be self- or interviewer-administered?	174
3.2.4	Should a new short tool include portion size estimation?	174
3.2.5	Applying a dietary index scoring system to derive a measure of dietary risk....	175
3.2.6	Summary – developing a short dietary risk assessment tool for Australian toddlers	177
3.3	Testing the reliability and validity of a new short dietary risk assessment tool for Australian toddlers	178
3.4	Development and testing of the TDQ	182
3.4.1	Introduction	182
3.4.2	Methods.....	184
3.4.2.1	Development of the TDQ	184
3.4.2.2	Scoring of the TDQ	188
3.4.2.3	Reliability and validity of the TDQ	191
3.4.2.4	Statistical analysis.....	193
3.4.3	Results	195
3.4.3.1	Sample Characteristics.....	195
3.4.3.2	Reliability and validity	195
3.4.4	Discussion	207
3.4.4.1	Reliability of the TDQ	207
3.4.4.2	Validity of the TDQ.....	208
3.4.4.3	Novelty of the TDQ.....	209
3.4.4.4	Dietary risk of Australian toddlers.....	209
3.4.4.5	Potential uses of the TDQ.....	210
3.4.4.6	Study strengths and limitations.....	210
3.4.5	Conclusion.....	211
3.5	Chapter summary	212

4	TESTING THE CONVERGENT VALIDITY OF THE TDQ.....	213
4.1	Overview	213
4.2	Dietary indices in toddlers.....	214
4.2.1	Summary of studies	214
4.2.2	Summary of dietary index properties	214
4.2.3	Validation of dietary indices	215
4.2.3.1	Associations nutrient intake.....	215
4.2.3.2	Associations with health outcomes.....	216
4.2.3.3	Associations with socio-demographics.....	217
4.2.4	Summary – dietary indices in toddlers	217
4.3	Testing the convergent validity of the TDQ	227
4.3.1	Introduction	227
4.3.2	Methods.....	229
4.3.2.1	Study population.....	229
4.3.2.2	Measures.....	229
4.3.2.3	Nutrient intakes.....	231
4.3.2.4	Data analysis.....	233
4.3.3	Results	234
4.3.3.1	Sample characteristics	234
4.3.3.2	Associations with nutrient intakes	234
4.3.3.3	Associations with socio-demographic factors	235
4.3.3.4	Associations with adiposity	235
4.3.4	Discussion	240
4.3.4.1	Associations with nutrient intakes	240
4.3.4.2	Associations with adiposity	241
4.3.4.3	Associations with socio-demographic factors	242
4.3.4.4	Comparison of study findings to other similar studies	243
4.3.4.5	Study strengths and limitations.....	243
4.3.4.6	Future directions of the TDQ.....	244
4.3.5	Conclusion.....	245
4.4	Chapter summary	245
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	246
5.1	Overview	246

5.2 Summary - methodology and key findings	246
5.3 Thesis limitations and strengths	250
5.3.1 Thesis limitations	250
5.3.2 Thesis strengths	254
5.4 Implications for practice and future directions	255
5.4.1 Implications for practice.....	256
5.4.1.1 The use of the TDQ in the clinical setting	256
5.4.1.2 The use of the TDQ in the research setting	256
5.4.2 Future directions.....	257
5.4.2.1 Widening the applicability of the TDQ	257
5.4.2.2 Opportunities for modification of the TDQ.....	260
5.5 Conclusion.....	263
6 REFERENCES.....	264
7 APPENDICES	280
Appendix 1 - Papers, conference presentations and awards/prizes arising from this thesis.....	281
Appendix 2 - Literature review search process	323
Appendix 3 - Study data collection forms	326
Appendix 4 - Ethics approval letter	348
Appendix 5 - Recruitment materials	351
Appendix 6 - Participant incentives.....	355

THESIS SUMMARY

Toddlerhood is an important period of life when nutritional experiences shape children's growth, health and development. Exposure to foods during this period influences the development of food preferences and thus current and future eating patterns. Yet toddlers begin to exert their independence in food choices and demonstrate fussy eating behaviours, placing them at risk of poor nutrition. Current dietary intakes of toddlers fall short of dietary recommendations, suggesting many are at 'dietary risk', a term used to describe 'inappropriate dietary patterns' that may impair health. As poor dietary behaviours may persist over time and influence short- and long-term health, early risk identification is important so that intervention can be initiated. Traditional dietary assessment methods are associated with limitations, such as being costly, time-intensive and burdensome on researchers and responders. Short questionnaires are an attractive alternative to assess dietary intake. The literature review presented in **chapter one** highlights that there are no short (<50 item) valid and reliable dietary assessment tools to measure diet of Australian toddlers. Thus, the primary aim of this thesis was to develop and validate a short dietary assessment tool for measuring dietary risk in Australian toddlers aged 12 - 36 months.

Dietary patterns of Australian toddlers were characterised by applying principal components analysis to food intake data collected for two Australian studies. This analysis guided selection of tool items and is described in **chapter two**. Patterns were similar at two ages, 14 and 24 months, representing 'core' (items recommended to be consumed every day, such as fruit, vegetables, lean meat, dairy, high-fibre bread and water) and 'non-core' (high-fat, -sugar and/or -salt items not included in the 'core' food groups such as spreads, snacks, chocolate, processed meat and sweetened beverages) intake. Based on extracted patterns and the Australian Dietary Guidelines a 19-item Toddler Dietary Questionnaire (TDQ) that assesses the previous week's food-group intake was developed, and is described in **chapter three**. Intake is evaluated using a scoring system to determine dietary risk (0 - 100;

higher score = higher risk) and stratified into four risk categories (low, moderate, high, very high).

Evaluation of the TDQ psychometric properties, detailed in **chapter three**, showed that risk scores were highly correlated and not significantly different between administrations or compared with a valid and reliable FFQ. Further, all participants were classified into the same or adjacent risk category (low – very high). However, analyses were conducted on data collected from a relatively advantaged sample of Australian toddlers. Thus, the TDQ has reliability and comparative validity as a short toddler dietary risk assessment tool for Australian toddlers from relatively advantaged backgrounds. Further testing was undertaken to determine the convergent validity of the dietary risk construct, and is presented in **chapter four**. Risk scores were associated with nutrient intakes in expected directions; lower and higher risk scores reflect better and poorer nutrient intakes, respectively. Risk scores were positively associated with socio-demographic factors but not BMI z-scores. These findings demonstrate that dietary risk scores measure intake that may impair health but currently do not specifically assess obesity risk. The key findings, strengths and limitations, the implications for practice, and areas for further research are summarised in **chapter five**. In conclusion, the newly developed TDQ is a valid and reliable screening tool for assessing dietary risk of relatively advantaged populations of toddlers, and may therefore be useful in early childhood nutrition promotion.

DECLARATION

'I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.'

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lucinda K Bell', written in a cursive style.

Lucinda K Bell

August 2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of a number of significant people whom I would like to formally acknowledge and thank.

Firstly, I would like to thank, from the bottom of my heart, my primary supervisors, Associate Professor Anthea Magarey and Dr Rebecca Golley, for their ongoing direction, constructive criticism, patience and encouragement throughout this journey. Their expertise and knowledge has been invaluable and their quality of supervision has fostered great working relationships and friendships which I truly value and which has allowed me to grow substantially as a nutrition researcher. I thank them for the trust, belief and independence they placed in me and for their contribution to publications arising from this thesis. Special thanks to Professor Jane Scott for encouraging me to undertake a PhD and for her wisdom, support and feedback throughout the process. I would also like to acknowledge and thank Professor Lynne Daniels, a key investigator for the NOURISH study, for fostering the data used in this thesis and for her contribution to a resulting publication.

Many thanks to the NOURISH and SAIDI study teams at Flinders University and the Queensland University of Technology for their contributions to data used in this thesis. I gratefully acknowledge; Anthea Magarey and Lynne Daniels for coordinating the studies; Dr Rebecca Perry, Chelsea Mauch, Kylie Markow, Rachel Elovaris, Jo Meedeniya, and Rebecca Byrne who assisted in recruitment, data collection and entry; and PhD researchers Gloria Koh and Foorough Kavian who assisted in recruitment and data collection. Without the contribution of each and every member of the team, my PhD journey would not have been a success.

An important thank you to all families who enthusiastically gave up their time to participate in the three studies encompassed in this thesis; NOURISH, SAIDI and the Toddler Dietary Intake study. This thesis would not have been possible without your interest in, and dedication to, your child's dietary behaviours and health.

I'd further like to thank the funding support, primarily the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), SA Health and Meat and Livestock Australia for funding the NOURISH and SAIDI studies. Thank you also to the Australian Government and Flinders University who provided an Australian Postgraduate Award and Flinders University top-up scholarships, respectively.

A huge thank you to all staff and students of the Nutrition and Dietetics department at Flinders University who have made me feel a welcome and valued member of the Nutrition and Dietetics 'family'. I have appreciated all of the support and friendship you have provided me which has truly made my PhD journey all the more enjoyable. Special thanks to the Childhood Obesity Research Team for your continual motivation and, most of all, true friendship, over the years. You hold a very special place in my heart and I look forward to working with you all again in the future.

Finally, much love and thanks to my incredibly supportive family and friends, in particular my parents Jo and Geoff and partner Rohan. To my parents, thank you for your endless praise and encouragement which gave me the confidence to believe that I can achieve my goals. To Rohan – my rock - thank you for the sacrifices you made to make this thesis possible. You gave me the freedom to pursue my goals and supported me every step of the way. I will forever be grateful for your love, patience and understanding.

ABBREVIATIONS

ALSPAC	Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BMI	Body Mass Index
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DOB	Date of birth
DQI	Diet quality index
EDR	Estimated dietary record
EDNP	Energy-dense nutrient-poor
FFQ	Food Frequency Questionnaire
FITS	Feeding Infants and Toddlers Study
FSANZ	Food Standards Australia New Zealand
HEI	Healthy Eating Index
ICC	Intraclass correlation coefficient
IQ	Intelligence Quotient
IQR	Interquartile range
kJ	Kilojoule
KMO	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
MD	Mixed dishes
MJ	Megajoule
LOA	Limits of agreement
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
MD	Mixed dishes
PCA	Principal components analysis
Q	Quartile
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
SAIDI	South Australian Infant Dietary Intake
SEIFA	Socio-Economic Indices for Areas
SES	Socio-economic status
SD	Standard deviation
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SWS	Southampton Women's Survey
TAFE	Technical and Further Education
TDQ	Toddler Dietary Questionnaire
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America
WDR	Weighed dietary record
WHO	World Health Organisation
%E	Percent energy

LIST OF TABLES

Table 0-1 Summary of publications contributing to this thesis; their full citation and publication status at the time of submission.....	21
Table 1-1 2013 Australian Dietary Guidelines. Adapted from the 2013 Eat for Health Dietary Guidelines [62]	36
Table 1-2 Minimum number of serves per day of the five ‘core’ foods groups recommended for Australian children aged 2 - 3 years [96].....	37
Table 1-3 Advantages and disadvantages of respondent-based dietary assessment methods; recalls, records, FFQ, and targeted questionnaires. Adapted from Magarey et al 2010 [121] and Collins et al 2010 [125].....	48
Table 1-4 Descriptions of measures of reliability and validity. Adapted from Gleason et al 2010 [142].....	53
Table 1-5 Definitions of statistical terms for measuring reliability and validity	57
Table 1-6 Characteristics of included studies (n=16) and their tools (n=15).....	68
Table 1-7 Summary of availability of validity and reproducibility data for each study according to energy and/or nutrient intake and food intake	74
Table 1-8 Short dietary assessment tool validity studies among infants and toddlers (birth - 24 months) and preschoolers (2 - 5 years)	75
Table 1-9 Short dietary assessment tool reliability studies among preschoolers (2-5 years)	79
Table 1-10 Studies examining diet quality indices among infants and toddlers (birth - 24 months) and preschoolers (2 - 5 years), details of the content of the indices and their applicability to short dietary assessment tools identified in Table 1-6. Adapted from Smithers et al 2011 [156].....	83

Table 1-11 Strengths and limitations of methods that characterise whole diet. Adapted from Moeller et al 2007 [117].....	95
Table 2-1 Studies deriving dietary patterns of toddlers, aged 1 - 3 years, using principal components analysis (PCA) and testing of their properties. Adapted from Smithers et al 2011 [156].....	105
Table 2-2 NOURISH and SAIDI study inclusion and exclusion criteria.....	118
Table 2-3 Summary of socio-demographic data collected at birth (stage 1 recruitment), 13 - 16 months and 22 - 25 months ¹	122
Table 2-4 Food groups and food group descriptions.....	124
Table 2-5 Communalities for foods included in PCA at 13 – 16 and 22 - 25 months	130
Table 2-6 Total variance explained by each of the 69 components at 13 - 16 months	132
Table 2-7 Total variance explained by each of the 73 components at 22 - 25 months	134
Table 2-8 Characteristics of mother-child dyads included in PCA at 14 and 24 months	141
Table 2-9 Median (IQR) of food group intake in 14 and 24 month old toddlers (n=552).....	143
Table 2-10 Varimax-rotated food group loadings on each of the two dietary patterns extracted by principal components analysis at 14 and 24 months	145
Table 2-11 Median (IQR) of food group ¹ intake across quartiles of PCA-derived dietary pattern scores in 14 month old toddlers (n=552)	148

Table 2-12 Median (IQR) of food group ¹ intake across quartiles of PCA-derived dietary pattern scores in 24 month old toddlers (n=493)	150
Table 2-13 Median (IQR) of energy-adjusted nutrient intakes across quartiles of PCA-derived dietary pattern scores in 14 month old toddlers (n=552)	152
Table 2-14 Median (IQR) of energy-adjusted nutrient intakes across quartiles of PCA-derived dietary pattern scores in 24 month old toddlers (n=493)	154
Table 2-15 Unadjusted associations between dietary patterns and maternal and child characteristics, at 14 and 24 months ¹	157
Table 2-16 Associations between dietary patterns and maternal and child characteristics after adjustment for covariates ¹	159
Table 2-17 Unadjusted associations between BMI z-score and dietary patterns, at 14 and 24 months ¹	162
Table 2-18 Associations between BMI z-score and dietary patterns, at 14 and 24 months, after adjustment for covariates ^a	163
Table 3-1 Foods loading strongly (≥ 0.25) on each dietary pattern of 14-(n=552) and 24-month-old (n=493) Australian toddlers	187
Table 3-2 Modelled serves per week of <i>Omnivore Foundation Diet</i> food groups for toddlers aged 13-23 months and 2-3 years used to inform portion-size categories of the TDQ. Adapted from the Dietary Guidelines Modelling System [223]..	189
Table 3-3 Scoring template for the Toddler Dietary Questionnaire (TDQ).....	190
Table 3-4 Characteristics of parent-toddler dyads included in the reliability and relative validity analyses (n=111)	198

Table 3-5 Section and total dietary risk scores for each administration of the TDQ (TDQ1, TDQ2), average TDQ and FFQ, and classification into dietary risk categories (n=111).....	199
Table 3-6 Agreement of Toddler Dietary Questionnaire items (product of frequency and quantity categories, categorical) between each administration among Australian children aged 12-36 months (n=111).....	200
Table 3-7 Test-retest reliability of TDQ risk scores and relative validity of average TDQ and FFQ risk scores, for each section and total risk scores(n=111).....	201
Table 3-8 Cross classification of participants into dietary risk categories (low, moderate, high, very high) between the administrations of the Toddler Dietary Questionnaire (TDQ) and average TDQ (TDQave) and FFQ (n=111) ¹	202
Table 4-1 Studies examining indices of diet quality among toddlers, aged 1 - 3 years, from developed countries. Adapted from Smithers et al 2013 [156] and Marshall et al 2014 [144].....	218
Table 4-2 Characteristics of parent-toddler dyads included in the convergent validity analysis (n=117)	236
Table 4-3 Mean (SD) of energy-adjusted nutrient intakes across quartiles of dietary risk scores in 12 - 36 month children (n=117)	237
Table 4-4 Unadjusted associations between participant characteristics and dietary risk scores ¹	238
Table 4-5 Associations between maternal and child characteristics (n=117) and toddler dietary risk scores (n=115), and between toddler dietary risk scores and toddler BMI z-scores (n=114), after adjustment for covariates ¹	239
Table 5-1 Summary of the findings of the reliability and validity properties of the TDQ derived from a sample of parent-toddler dyads.....	249

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 2013 Australian Guide to Healthy Eating (AGHE) [95]	35
Figure 1-2 Quorum statement flow diagram. Studies assessing whole-of-diet intake of infants and toddlers (birth – 24 months) and preschoolers (2 – 5 years) using a short assessment tool	64
Figure 2-1 Tool development and validation flow diagram; step 1 of 4.....	99
Figure 2-2 Scree-plot for the PCA at 13 - 16 months	136
Figure 2-3 Scree plot for the PCA at 22 - 25 months.....	137
Figure 2-4 Two-phase recruit process for the NOURISH and SAIDI studies and derivation of participants for PCA of dietary intake data	140
Figure 3-1 Tool development and validation flow diagram; step 2 and 3 of 4.....	172
Figure 3-2 Bland Altman plot assessing the validity of average section 1 dietary risk scores TDQave versus the FFQ among Australian children (n=111) aged 12-36 months. Plot shows the mean difference (mean diff.; —), the 95% limits of agreement (LOA; - - - - -) and the fitted regression line (—) for section 1 dietary risk scores (p for linear trend = 0.742).....	203
Figure 3-3 Bland Altman plot assessing the validity of average section 2 dietary risk scores TDQave versus the FFQ among Australian children (n=111) aged 12-36 months. Plot shows the mean difference (mean diff.; —), the 95% limits of agreement (LOA; - - - - -) and the fitted regression line (—) for section 2 dietary risk scores (P for linear trend=136).....	204
Figure 3-4 Bland Altman plot assessing the validity of average section 3 dietary risk scores from the TDQave versus the FFQ among Australian children (n=111) aged 12-36 months. Plot shows the mean difference (mean diff.; —), the 95%	

limits of agreement (LOA; - - - - -) and the fitted regression line (—) for section 3 dietary risk scores (P for linear trend=0.133)205

Figure 3-5 Bland Altman plot assessing the validity of total dietary risk scores derived from the average Toddler Dietary Questionnaire (TDQave) versus those derived from the FFQ among Australian children (n=111) aged 12-36 months. The plot shows the mean difference (—), the 95% limits of agreement (- - - - -) and the fitted regression line (—) for total dietary risk scores (P for linear trend=0.595).206

Figure 4-1 Tool development and validation flow diagram; step 4 of 4.....213

OVERVIEW OF THESIS STRUCTURE

This thesis is structured as five chapters, with four comprising material already published or accepted for publication, summarised in Table 0-1 (see Appendix 1 - Papers, conference presentations and awards/prizes arising from this thesis).

Chapter one provides the thesis context, outlining the aims and significance of the research. Included in this chapter is a systematic review of the literature on short dietary assessment tools for children aged less than five years, published in the *Journal of Obesity*.

Chapter two characterises dietary patterns of Australian toddlers aged 14 and 24 months by applying principal components analysis (PCA) to dietary data from two Australian studies. This work has been published in the *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*.

The next two chapters detail the development and testing of a short dietary risk assessment tool for Australian toddlers, the Toddler Dietary Questionnaire (TDQ). Two published papers were derived from these chapters: (1) the development of the TDQ and testing of its test-retest reliability and relative validity, published in the *British Journal of Nutrition* (**chapter three**) and, (2) testing of the convergent validity of the TDQ, *accepted for publication in Nutrition & Dietetics* (**chapter four**).

Chapter five brings together the thesis findings. The relevance of the findings to clinical practice and research are discussed in the context of the thesis strengths and limitations, leading to a thesis conclusion.

Table 0-1 Summary of publications contributing to this thesis; their full citation and publication status at the time of submission

Chapter	Full citation	Status
1	Bell L, Golley R, Magarey A (2013) Short tools to assess young children’s dietary intake: a systematic review focusing on application to dietary index research, <i>Journal of Obesity</i> , Article ID 709626, 17 pages, Epub 26 Sept 2013.	Published ¹ [1]
2	Bell L, Golley R, Daniels L, Magarey A (2013) Dietary patterns of Australian children aged 14 and 24 months and associations with socio-demographic factors and adiposity, <i>European Journal of Clinical Nutrition</i> , 67(6): 638-45	Published ² [2]
3	Bell L, Golley R, Magarey A (2014) A short food-group based dietary questionnaire is reliable and valid for assessing toddlers’ dietary risk, <i>British Journal of Nutrition</i> , 112(4): 627-37	Published ² [3]
4	Bell L, Golley R, Magarey A (2014) Dietary risk scores of Australian toddlers are associated with nutrient intakes and socio-demographic factors, but not adiposity, <i>accepted 8th March 2015 Nutrition & Dietetics</i>	Accepted ² [4]

¹The review was conceived and designed by AM, RG and LB. LB was responsible for the review's conduct and synthesis with input from AM and RG. LB drafted the initial manuscript and AM and RG provided critical review and feedback. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

²The study was conceived and designed by AM, RG and LB. LB was responsible for the study’s conduct and performed all statistical analysis with input from AM and RG. LB drafted the initial manuscript and AM and RG provided critical review and feedback. All authors read and approved the final manuscript