How is nursing practice with suicidal consumers in acute mental health inpatient units constructed?

Tony Farrow

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement of the award of Doctor of Philosophy

Flinders University, Adelaide School of Nursing and Midwifery

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by funding from the New Zealand Nurses' Organisation (NZNO) and the CPIT Foundation. My thanks to both — without their generosity the data collection phase underpinning this thesis would not have been possible.

I wish to acknowledge the support, enthusiasm, dedication (and general good humour!) of my supervisors, Professor Eimear Muir-Cochrane and Dr Julie Henderson. I sincerely thank you both. I also wish to acknowledge and thank Professor Jo Ann Walton, my original first supervisor, who provided guidance during the early stages of this thesis.

My thanks to Patricia Barkway and Dr Candice Oster for editing the work. Thanks also to Leo Swartz for formatting and editing assistance, and to Caitlin Ruddle for her earlier help in checking references.

My sincere thanks to all the interview participants in this thesis, and to the management of the hospitals that supported me undertaking ethnographic work onsite. I want to acknowledge the openness with which consumers shared their experiences, and state my respect for nurses and other clinicians who give their best in sometimes difficult circumstances.

=======

This thesis is dedicated, with all my love, to my children Amelia and Isaac. It is also dedicated to their mother, and my friend, Jennifer Partington, who passed away in February 2011.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Declaration	iv
Table of Contents	v
Summary	ix
Chapter One: Background to the research	1
1.4 Research framework and methods	
1.5 My positioning	
1.6 Organisation of the thesis	9
1.7 Conclusion	10
Chapter Two: Literature review	11
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Parameters of the literature search	
2.2.1 Research literature	
2.2.2 Policy direction literature	
2.3 The construction of nursing practice with suicidal consumers in acute units	
2.3.1 Introduction to this section	
2.3.2 Suicidality as located within medical and risk discourses	
2.3.3 The acute ward environment	
2.3.4 Nursing practice with suicidal consumers in inpatient units	
2.3.5 Nurses' attitudes, emotional responses and educational preparedness	
2.4 Conclusion	34
Chapter Three: Theoretical framework	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Methodology used in this study: Critical Ethnography	
3.2.1 Ethnography – An Overview	
3.2.3 Critical Ethnography	
3.2.4 The use of critical ethnography as a methodology for this thesis	
3.3 Theoretical interpretation using selected works of Pierre Bourdieu	
3.3.1 Introduction to this section	43
3.3.2 Bourdieu's theories used in this thesis: An overview	
3.3.3 Bourdieu's concept of Habitus	
3.3.4 Bourdieu's concept of Field	
3.3.5 Bourdieu's concept of Capital	
3.3.6 Using methodology and theoretical framing in this thesis	
Chapter Four: Methods	
4.1 Introduction4.2 Entry to the field	
4.2.1 Introduction to this section	

4.2.2 Research with Māori	57
4.2.3 Research with consumers	59
4.2.4 Contact with mental health services	60
4.3 Data Collection	
4.3.1 Introduction to this section	64
4.3.2 Time in the field	64
4.3.3 Participant observation	65
4.3.4 Interviews	70
4.3.5 Written document analysis	72
4.4 Ethical issues	73
4.5 Data Analysis	76
4.6 Rigour	78
4.6.1 Introduction	78
4.6.2 Credibility	79
4.6.3 Dependability and Confirmability	80
4.6.4 Transferability	80
4.7 Conclusion	81
Chapter Five: The construction of nursing practice in a bio-medical/risk culture	83
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Bio-medicine as a constructing element of ward culture	
5.2.1 Introduction to this section	
5.2.2 Suicidality and mental illness	
5.2.3 Major Depressive Episodes and suicidality	
5.2.4 Psychosis and suicidality	
5.2.5 Borderline Personality Disorder and suicidality	
5.2.6 Suicidality as irrationality	
5.2.7 Summary of this section	
5.3 Risk and containment as a constructing element of ward culture	
5.4 Nursing practices within a bio-medical/risk culture	101
5.4.1 Introduction to this section	
5.4.2 Processes that aligned nurses with the dominant culture	
5.4.3 Therapeutic work with consumers	
5.4.4 Risk assessment practices	
5.4.5 Risk minimisation practices	
5.5 Dispositions as a constructing element of nursing practice	
5.5.1 Introduction	
5.5.2 Views on suicidality causation	114
5.5.3 The stress of working with suicidal consumers	
5.5.4 Previous exposure to non-bio-medical/risk ways of practicing	119
5.5.5 Resisters: practice as a different kind of therapeutic work	
5.5.6 Summary of this section	
5.6 Conclusion	125
Chapter Six: Practice in a culture of psychological work	127
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Psychological understandings of suicidality as a team culture	
6.2.1 Understandings of suicidality	
6.2.2 Psychological support as treatment/care	
6.2.3 Understanding risk	
oimic ciracibatigitig tibic	104
6.3 Nursing practice in a psychological culture: practice as therapeutic work	

	135
6.3.2 Daily therapeutic work	136
6.3.3 Risk assessment and amelioration as therapeutic work	
6.4 Factors impacting on the therapeutic/psychological approach of nurses	144
6.5 Nursing dispositions and the construction of practice	146
6.6 Conclusion	149
Chapter Seven: Practice in a culture of partial change	151
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 The attempt to change a culture	
7.2.1 Introduction to this section	
7.2.2 The previous ward culture	152
7.2.3 Attempts to change the ward culture	153
7.2.4 How applied DBT was meant to occur as ward practices	156
7.3 Nursing practice(s): Competing views	
7.3.1 Introduction to this section	
7.3.2 Nursing as applied DBT	162
7.3.3 Nursing as therapy	168
7.3.4 Nursing as bio-medical/risk work	
7.4 Factors in practice construction	
7.4.1 Introduction	
7.4.2 A partial change of culture as a constructing factor of practice	
7.4.3 Nursing dispositions and the construction of practice	
7.5 Conclusion	177
Chapter Eight: Discussion	179
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 Interpretation issues	400
o.2 Interpretation issues	180
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	 181 181
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 181
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188 188
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188 188
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188 188 189
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188 188 189 189
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice	181 181 185 188 189 189 189
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 199
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 199 200
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 199 200 202
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 199 200 202
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 196 200 202 205
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 205
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations. 9.1 Introduction	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 202 205
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations 9.1 Introduction 9.2 Implications of findings	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 202 205 207 207
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations 9.1 Introduction 9.2 Implications of findings. 9.2.1 Implications for practice.	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 205 207 207 208
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations 9.1 Introduction 9.2 Implications of findings 9.2.1 Implications for practice 9.2.2 Implications for future research	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 202 205 207 208 208 210
8.3 Bio-medicine and risk and the construction of practice 8.3.1 Introduction 8.3.2 Bio-medical understandings as a constructing factor of nursing practice 8.3.3 Risk as a constructing factor of the inpatient culture 8.3.4 Nursing practice as partially constructed by a bio-medical/risk culture 8.3.5 Summary of this section 8.4 Nurses' dispositions as constructing factors 8.4.1 Introduction 8.4.2 Passive alignment and the construction of practice 8.4.3 Practice constructed by reluctant alignment 8.4.4 Practice constructed by through deliberate non-alignment 8.4.5 The construction of practice in contested fields 8.4.6 Practice constructed by deliberate alignment 8.4.7 Practice constructed by mis-alignment 8.5 Conclusion Chapter Nine: Conclusion and recommendations 9.1 Introduction 9.2 Implications of findings. 9.2.1 Implications for practice.	181 181 185 188 189 189 193 196 200 202 205 207 207 208 210 211

213
213
214
215
217
238
239
240
241
243
245

Summary

The need for quality nursing practice with suicidal consumers in inpatient mental health units is axiomatic. Such consumers are, by the nature of their distress, often vulnerable and in need of support. However at the beginning of this study there was good evidence that nursing practices varied between individual nurses, teams and wards. This study examines why this variation occurred, by asking the research question "how is nursing practice with suicidal consumers in acute inpatient units constructed?" To answer this question a critical ethnographic research study was undertaken in four acute mental health inpatient wards across two cities in New Zealand. Data were gathered from observations, interviews with nurses, other clinicians and with consumers, and by examination of consumer and other clinical records.

The findings show differing ward or team cultures relating to the treatment/care of suicidal consumers and the expected practice of nurses. The cultural expectations in wards or teams were one significant factor in the construction of nursing practice. Two cultures, which I have labelled 'bio-medical/risk' and 'psychological', differed markedly from each other, and as such produced very different expectations of nursing practice. A third type of culture was marked by the process of struggle for dominance, and as such I have called this a ward in 'partial change' where differing understandings of suicidality and of practice had resulted in sub-groups of nurses working in dissimilar ways.

A second factor in the construction of nursing practice with suicidal consumers was the dispositions (why people behave in certain ways, and how they act). Dispositions in this thesis refers to why people act in certain ways, and dispositions were relational to dominant cultures, meaning that practices aligned, or not, in a variety of ways. A re-interpretation of the findings using key theoretical ideas of Pierre Bourdieu allowed identification of five dispositions. These are (i) *practice through passive alignment* (nurses unconsciously imbued with a dominant biomedical/risk culture and therefore practicing in ways aligned with its expectations); (ii) *practice through reluctant alignment* (nurses consciously, but reluctantly,

practicing in alignment with the dominant bio-medical/risk culture, while being critical of it); (iii) practice through deliberate non-alignment (nurses consciously practicing in ways that were inconsistent with the dominant bio-medical/risk culture); (iv) practice through misalignment (nurses misinterpreting a new way of understanding suicidality and practice, either by returning to previous ways of working or by practicing in new and unexpected ways); and (v) practice through deliberate alignment (nurses consciously aligning with a new way of understanding suicidality and practice, and practicing in a way consistent with this). The findings from this study are important as they give insights into why nursing practices with suicidal consumers can vary so markedly, even within the same wards or hospitals. The results suggest that that any attempt at sustained improvement of nursing practice with suicidal consumers' needs to consider the constructing influences of both ward and team cultures and of nursing dispositions. This understanding allows for suggestions for future nursing education, practice, and research that attempt to support nursing practices that result in positive outcomes for suicidal inpatient consumers.

======