# Characterisation of Fibre–Forming Peptides and Proteins by Means of Atomic Force Microscopy

Rhiannon Coralie Guinevere McInnes Lloyd Creasey

Supervised by

Professor Nicolas H Voelcker (School of Chemical and Physical Sciences)

Co-supervised by

Dr Christopher T Gibson (School of Chemical and Physical Sciences)Dr Shiwani Sharma (Discipline of Ophthalmology)Dr Jamie E Craig (Discipline of Ophthalmology)



Adelaide, South Australia

Submitted on the 14<sup>th</sup> of December 2011 in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy Accepted on the 9<sup>th</sup> of May 2012

### Declaration

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

Rhiannon G. Creasey

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to first thank my supervisor, Professor Nicolas Voelcker, for trying to teach me proper English, for endless hours of technical discussions on every aspect of the project, and for finding the funding for me to carry out the research herein. He has been an inspiring mentor, and this work would not be possible without him.

I also sincerely thank my co–supervisors; in particular, Shiwani and Chris, who have always taken the time to answer questions, demonstrate techniques, and correct drafts. In addition, thank you to Voelcker lab members who were always available to answer questions and assist in any way possible, especially Steve for keeping the lab running.

Special thanks go to my collaborators, for their fresh insights and technical advances, and especially to my Austrian and Western Australian collaborators for kindly hosting me at their institutions, sharing their knowledge and facilities, and making me feel welcome. Also to Carolyn, for spending many hours sharing her experiences with me. Specific technical acknowledgements are outlined in respective appendices.

Personal thanks to all my family and friends who have supported me through this journey; my cousin Trixi for making me feel at home in WA; my parents for their endless encouragement and financial support; my officemate Kerrilee for keeping me going (but not quite sane); my sister for keeping me well fed and entertained, and all the rest simply for sticking with me.

Above all, thank you to my husband Matthew, for everything.

#### Abstract

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a high–resolution microscopic technique highly suitable for investigating biological entities. Chapter 1 reviews the use of AFM for investigating fibre–forming peptides and proteins, followed by the application of AFM to peptide–based dendrimers in chapter 2, fungus–based proteins in chapter 3 and whole human tissue in chapter 4. This investigation is supported by more traditional analytical techniques such as optical, electron and fluorescence microscopy, dynamic light scattering and circular dichroism spectroscopy.

In chapter 2, the aggregation properties of peptide–based dendrons and dendrimers were investigated using AFM. 3<sup>rd</sup>– and 4<sup>th</sup>–generation dendrons made from L–lysine showed gelation via a unique vesicle–driven pathway, confirmed by transmission electron microscopy, forming a dense network of nanofibres. The symmetrical dendrimers also formed nanofibre–based gels, which could be polymerised using UV irradiation to form tightly–packed gels with altered optical, Raman and fluorescence properties. UV irradiation through a photomask allowed the generation of crosslinked gel patterns. Gels from dendrons and dendrimers may be suitable for use in biomaterial applications for cell seeding assays, tissue engineering, or for drug delivery.

Chapter 3 dealt with the aggregation of fungal proteins. The recent identification of genes encoding three arabinogalactan–like (AGL) proteins of the fungi *G. intraradices* suggests that AGL proteins may be involved in the formation of the symbiotic interface between a common fungus and plant roots. Currently, the nature of cell wall modifications in this interface is unknown. Here, AFM was applied to investigate the self–assembly of the fungal proteins rAGL1 and rAGL3 and the growth of nanofibres and microtubules was observed and described. Peptides based on the repeat regions seen in the AGL sequences were also observed to form fibres as seen by AFM and optical microscopy. The secondary structure of the proteins and peptides – hypothesised to be responsible for creating the interface of root apoplasts and fungi – were found to be primarily disordered or polyproline II helices by circular dichroism spectroscopy. Understanding of the structural properties of these proteins is vital to the process of *G. intraradices* symbiosis. Self–assembling peptides based on these proteins may find applications as innovative self–assembling biomaterials.

Protein aggregation is of significant interest to various disciplines including ophthalmology. One ocular disease hallmarked by protein aggregation is known as pseudoexfoliation (PEX) syndrome. This condition is caused by the formation of insoluble aggregates in the eye, and is clinically characterised by the deposition of proteinaceous material on the anterior lens capsule. The ultrastructure of PEX material is poorly characterised, despite numerous proteomic and genomic studies. The novel application of AFMbased antibody recognition imaging is applied in chapter 4 for determination of the molecular nature of PEX material on lens capsules in their native state. Topographical AFM images and antibody recognition images were obtained simultaneously to determine the specific location of clusterin, lysyl oxidaselike 1, and elastin proteins in and around PEX aggregates using antibodymodified AFM probes. Multiple AFM-based techniques were tested, and TREC was found to be the most suitable technique for recognition on whole unprocessed tissue samples. Future studies into AFM-antibody recognition techniques, such as quantitative nanomechanical mapping, may lead to interesting data combinations of mechanical and compositional information.

## **Table of Contents**

D	eclarat	tion	i
A	cknow	ledgements	, ii
A	bstract	t	iii
Т	able of	Contents	. <b>v</b>
L	ist of F	ïguresv	iii
L	ist of T	ables	<b>.</b> X
L	ist of A	bbreviations	xi
1	Intr	roduction	. 1
	1.1	Summary	. 2
	1.2	Atomic Force Microscopy	. 3
	1.2.	1 Atomic Force Microscope Operation	4
	1.2.	2 Molecular Recognition Imaging	20
	1.2.	3 Combination with Non–Atomic Force Microscope Techniques	30
	1.3	Fibre–Forming Peptides and Proteins	32
	1.3.	1 Secondary Structures	32
	1.3.	2 Protein Aggregation	34
	1.4	Conclusions and Future Perspectives	51
2	Cha	aracterisation of Organogels Formed by Peptide–Based Dendrons and	
D	endrin	1ers	54
	2.1	Summary	55
	2.2	Introduction	57
	2.3	Materials and Methods	72
	2.3.	1 Dendron and Dendrimer Synthesis	72
	2.3.	2 Preparation of Gels	73
	2.3.	3 Characterisation of Gels	75
	2.4	Results & Discussion	78
	2.4.	1 Characterisation of Organogel Formation from Dendrons	78
	2.4.	2 Characterisation of Organogel Formation from Dendrimers	8 <i>3</i>

	2.5	Conclusions and Future Perspectives	89
3	Cha	aracterisation of Fibres Formed by Fungal Proteins and Peptides	90
	3.1	Summary	91
	3.2	Introduction	92
	3.3	Methods and Materials	97
	3.3.	1 Expression of Recombinant AGL Proteins in Escherichia coli	97
	3.3.	2 Peptide Preparation	97
	3.3.	<i>3 Characterisation of Protein and Peptide Samples</i>	98
	3.4	Results1	01
	3.4.	1 Secondary Structure and Self–Assembly of Recombinant AGLs 1	01
	3.4.	2 Characterization of Synthetic Peptides Based on P- and G-rich	
	Rep	eats of AGL Proteins 1	04
	3.5	Discussion1	15
	3.5.	1 Secondary Structure	15
	3.5.	2 Self–Assembly of Recombinant Proteins	19
	3.5.	<i>3</i> Self–Assembly of Repeat Regions into Fibrous Structures 1	23
	3.6	Conclusions and Future Perspectives1	28
4	Cha	aracterisation of Pseudoexfoliation Syndrome Deposits using AFM–Bas	ed
A	ntibod	y Recognition Imaging1	29
	4.1	Summary1	30
	4.2	Introduction1	30
	4.2.	1 The Human Eye 1	31
	4.3	Materials and Methods 1	40
	4.3.	1 Tissue Samples	40
	4.3.	2 Atomic Force Microscopy 1	40
	4.3.	3 Immunofluorescence Labelling 1	46
	4.4	Results & Discussion 1	48
	4.4.	<i>1 Pilot Study: Detection of Clusterin1</i>	48
	4.4.	2 AFM–Based Antibody Recognition Imaging: Technique Comparison	
	for	the Detection of LOXL11	64

	4.4.3 The Biological Significance of TREC results	
5	Conclusions and Future Perspectives	
6	Reference list	
7	Appendices	I
	Appendix A	II
	Supporting data for dendrons and dendrimers	II
	Acknowledgements	XV
	Appendix B	XVI
	Supporting data for fungal proteins	XVI
	Acknowledgements	XXIII
	Appendix C	XXIV
	Supporting figures for Pseudoexfoliation syndrome	XXIV
	Acknowledgements	XXVIII

# List of Figures

Figure caption	Page
Figure 1:1 – Basic schematic of AFM operation showing laser–based detection of cantilever deflection.	4
Figure 1:2 – A typical deflection vs. z–piezo displacement curve, tracking the deflection of a cantilever as it approaches towards (blue line) and retracts from (red line) a surface.	8
Figure 1:3 – SEM images of AFM probes. Images acquired by L. Green and A. Slattery, adapted with permission from C. T. Gibson.	13
Figure 1:4 – A common coupling scheme for linking an antibody (red) to a 3– aminopropyltriethoxysilane–functional AFM tip (grey)	15
Figure 1:5 – 'Broadening' artefacts arising from tip convolution	18
Figure 1:6 – AFM TM images acquired with contaminated or damaged probes, leading to 'tip doubling' artefacts.	19
Figure 1:7 – AFM force–volume images using lysozyme adsorbed onto a mica surface and HyHEL5 antibody attached to the probe. Adapted from [62].	25
Figure 1:8 – PeakForce QNM images showing topography and stiffness of bacteriorhodopsin protein layers. Adapted from [113].	27
Figure 1:9 – AFM PeakForce QNM images of β–lactoglobulin amyloid fibrils on mica. Adapted from [94].	29
Figure 1:10 – Diagrammatic representation of some of the common ordered (and 'random') secondary structures observed for protein segments. Adapted from [124].	33
Figure 1:11 – Schematic of some of the many conformational states that can be adopted by polypeptide chains.	34
Figure 1:12 – Time–lapse (denoted beneath each image series) series of TM AFM height images showing protofibril elongation. Adapted from [163].	40
Figure 1:13 – AFM height mode images of prion aggregates formed in buffer containing 0.4 M GdnHCl (a) and 2.0 M GdnHCl (b). Adapted from [165].	43
Figure 1:14 – Time course showing fibrillar surface structures on Scrapie–infected N2a cells (ScN2a) derived at 1, 2, 4 and 5 days after plating (columns). Adapted from [168].	44
Figure 1:15 – TM AFM height images of αS annular particles, induced by the presence of calcium and cobalt ions. Adapted from [185].	49
Figure 1:16 – AFM height and phase images of detergent-treated glial cytoplasmic inclusions, displaying annular nanoparticles. Adapted from [188].	50
Figure 1:17– TREC AFM images acquired on a mouse endothelial cell surface treated with 50 $\mu$ M of nocodazole for 80 minutes and subsequently fixed with glutaraldehyde. Adapted from [199].	53
Figure 1:18 – AFM height images of the self–assembly of KFE8 peptide in aqueous solution. Adapted from [213].	55
Figure 1:19 – AFM height image of peptides deposited on mica. Adapted from [220].	58
Figure 2:1 – Basic schematic of synthetic pathways leading to creation of a dendrimer with a (blue) core, (green) 'inner' shell and (purple) 'outer' shell.	68
Figure 2:2 – Synthesis of dendrons 2a and 2b.	72
Figure 2:3 – Synthesis of dendrimers 5a and 5b from dendrons 2a and 2b, respectively, and synthesis of dendrons 3 and 4 from dendron 2a.	73
Figure 2:4 – AFM height images in TM of non-gelled sample deposited on mica.	78
Figure 2:5 – EM micrographs of vesicles from dendrons 3 and 4.	80
Figure 2:6 – AFM and SEM images of gels formed from dendrons 3 and 4.	82
Figure 2:7 – Photographs of the gel formed by 5a in inverted tubes (a) before UV irradiation, (b) after 5 minutes and (c) 15 minutes of UV irradiation.	83

Figure 2:8 – AFM height images in TM of (a) a gel of 5a and (c) a gel of 5b, and (b, d) gels after UV irradiation, respectively.	84
Figure 2:9 – Fluorescence microscopy images of the gels 5a and 5b, and the Raman spectra of 5b, before and after UV irradiation.	86
Figure 3:1 – Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonization of roots, and cell wall proteins. Figure prepared by C. Schultz.	94
Figure 3:2 – CD spectra of rAGL1 at 25°C (a), and rAGL3 (b) at 25°C (dashed line) and 5°C (solid line). Figure prepared by C. Schultz.	102
Figure 3:3 – Characterisation of rAGL protein fibre formation	.103
Figure 3:4 – CD spectra of synthetic peptides under different conditions. Figure prepared by C. Schultz.	106
Figure 3:5 – Synthetic peptide self–assembly in high salt conditions.	111
Figure 3:6 – Synthetic peptide self–assembly in low salt conditions.	113
Figure 4:1 – Basic structure of the human eye.	131
Figure 4:2 – TM AFM images acquired in PBS of (a–c) control and (d–f) PEX– affected lens capsules, at increasing magnification.	150
Figure 4:3– AFM images of control lens capsule mounted on a glass slide using MAC mode in PBS.	154
Figure 4:4 – AFM images of PEX lens capsule on glass using MAC mode in PBS.	155
Figure 4:5– Frequency distribution histogram of TREC recognition spots observed across control and PEX lens capsule samples measured as a unit of area (nm <sup>2</sup> ).	156
Figure 4:6 – AFM images of normal lens capsule mounted on a glass slide using MAC mode in PBS with injection of anti–clusterin antibody (150 µg/ml) to block surface–bound clusterin.	158
Figure 4:7 – Confocal microscopy images of the anterior side of a control lens capsule and a PEX–affected lens capsule immunolabelled with the anti–clusterin primary antibody and labelling detected with Alexa fluor–488 conjugated anti–rabbit IgG secondary antibody.	161
Figure 4:8 – Force spectroscopy detection of adhesion between sample and functionalised probe.	165
Figure 4:9 – AFM (a) topography and (b) recognition images of a lens capsule from a PEX patient acquired using an anti–LOXL1 antibody functionalised tip.	167
Figure 4:10 – AFM (a) topography and (b) phase images of a lens capsule from a PEX patient acquired in TM in PBS, using (i) a non–functionalised tip, (ii) a functionalised tip and (iii) the same tip after blocking of surface LOXL1 sites with free anti–LOXL1 antibody in solution.	169
Figure 4:11 –AFM (a) topography and (b) adhesion map images with 32 x 32 pixel resolution, using (i) a non–functionalised tip, (ii) an anti–LOXL1 antibody functionalised tip and (iii) the same tip after blocking of LOXL1 epitopes on the tissue surface with anti–LOXL1 antibody in solution.	172
Figure 4:12 – AFM topography images of (a, b) control and (c, d) PEX–affected lens capsules acquired using an anti–clusterin antibody functionalised tip, masked with TREC recognition in blue.	177
Figure 4:13 – AFM topography images of (a, b) control and (c, d) PEX–affected lens capsules acquired using an anti–LOXL1 antibody functionalised tip, masked with TREC recognition in blue.	180
Figure 4:14 – AFM topography images of (a) control and (b – d) PEX–affected lens capsules acquired using an anti–elastin antibody functionalised tip, masked with TREC recognition.	182

## List of Tables

Table caption	Page
Table 1:1 – Brief comparison of microscopy techniques for characterizing single proteins.	3
Table 1:2 – Comparison of AFM techniques capable of antibody recognition imaging.	21
Table 2:1 – Gelation of dendrons (3, 4) or dendrimers (5a, 5b) in a variety of solvents.	74
Table 3:1 – Properties of synthetic peptides.	97
Table 3:2 – Secondary structure predictions of synthetic peptides at different temperatures and in different buffers.	107
Table 3:3 – Mode of particle size determined by DLS of synthetic peptides at two salt concentrations; high salt (100mM / 10 mM) and low salt (10mM / 1 mM).	109
Table 4:1 – Antibodies used for functionalisation of AFM probes.	142
Table 4:2 – Comparison of recognition spot sizes between control and PEX–affected lens capsules seen for clusterin, elastin and LOXL1 proteins.	185

# List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Expansion
αS	Alpha–Synuclein
AFM	Atomic Force Microscope/y
AGL	Arabinogalactan–Like
AM	Arbuscular Mycorrhizal
APTES	3–Aminopropyltriethoxysilane
Αβ	Beta–Amyloid
CD	Circular Dichroism
СМ	Contact Mode
CR	Congo Red
DCC	Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
DLS	Dynamic Light Scattering
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
E. Coli	Escherichia Coli
EM	Electron Microscope/y
G. Intraradices	Glomus Intraradices
HOPG	Highly Ordered Pyrolytic Graphite
hr	Hour
LOX	Lysyl–Oxidase Like
min	Minute
MS	Mass Spectrometry
NHS	N-hydroxysuccinimide
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
PDA	Polydiacetylene
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
PEX	Pseudoexfoliation
PPII	Polyproline II
PrP	Prion Protein
QNM	Quantitative Nanomechanical Mapping
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope/y
SPM	Scanning Probe Microscope/y
TEA	Triethylamine
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope/y
ThT	Thioflavin T
TM	Tapping mode
TMEDA	N,N,N0,N0-tetramethylethylenediamine
TREC	Topography and Recognition imaging
UV	Ultraviolet
Z	Benzyloxycarbonyl group