

Appendix C

Data tables

C.1 Parallelisation speed evaluation data tables

The data tables for the studies in Section 8.2.4 on page 197, 8.2.6 on page 203 and 8.2.8 on page 206 are presented in this section.

Scale	Standard		Parallellised AMSS					Improvement		
	Time		Image		Time (min:sec)			%	x	
	Calculate		Stripes	Overlap	Split	Calculate	Combine	Total	%	x
1	00:27.1		16	8	00:00.8	00:10.8	00:07.8	00:19.5	71.8	1.4
2	01:01.5		16	19	00:00.8	00:12.9	00:08.6	00:22.3	36.3	2.8
3	01:34.2		16	32	00:00.8	00:20.5	00:03.9	00:25.2	26.7	3.7
4	02:12.4		16	48	00:00.9	00:26.8	00:03.5	00:31.2	23.5	4.2
5	02:51.5		16	64	00:00.9	00:29.1	00:04.7	00:34.8	20.3	4.9
6	03:38.9		16	82	00:01.0	00:34.3	00:04.1	00:39.4	18.0	5.6
7	04:19.9		15	100	00:01.0	00:41.1	00:07.9	00:50.0	19.3	5.2
8	05:01.8		12	120	00:01.0	01:01.0	00:04.6	01:06.6	22.1	4.5
9	05:48.2		10	140	00:01.0	01:14.9	00:05.4	01:21.3	23.3	4.3
10	06:31.6		9	162	00:00.9	01:037.4	00:03.4	01:41.8	26.0	3.8
11	07:16.1		8	183	00:01.0	01:55.0	00:04.7	02:00.7	27.7	3.6
12	08:16.5		7	206	00:01.0	02:18.9	00:03.4	02:23.3	28.9	3.5
13	09:01.0		6	229	00:01.0	02:51.8	00:03.7	02:56.4	32.6	3.1
14	09:56.7		5	253	00:00.9	03:34.5	00:03.4	03:38.8	36.7	2.7
15	10:46.8		5	277	00:00.9	03:56.9	00:03.4	04:01.2	37.3	2.7
16	11:38.6		4	302	00:00.9	04:58.2	00:03.2	05:02.3	43.3	2.3
17	12:25.9		4	328	00:00.9	05:25.4	00:03.3	05:29.6	44.2	2.3
18	13:28.6		4	354	00:00.9	06:03.0	00:03.4	06:07.3	45.4	2.2
19	14:22.9		3	380	00:00.9	07:36.7	00:03.1	07:40.8	53.4	1.9
20	15:32.2		3	407	00:00.9	08:22.2	00:03.2	08:26.3	54.3	1.8
25	20:27.1		2	548	00:00.8	14:47.6	00:05.9	14:54.3	72.9	1.4
30	25:59.3		2	699	00:01.8	19:46.7	00:04.7	19:53.2	76.5	1.3

Table C.1: The speed increase from using a multi-processor implementation. A sample x-ray image of size 3600 x 1200 pixels was used. Calculations were spread across $P = 16$ processors in 8 machines, and calculation times are quoted in min:sec.

Scale	Standard		Reduced overlap parallelised AMSS					Improvement		
	Time		Image		Time (min:sec)			%	x	
	Calculate		Stripes	Overlap	Split	Calculate	Combine			Total
1	00:27.1		16	3	00:00.8	00:10.5	00:06.9	00:18.1	66.8	1.5
2	01:01.5		16	6	00:00.8	00:13.1	00:07.9	00:21.8	35.4	2.8
3	01:34.2		16	11	00:00.8	00:13.9	00:06.3	00:21.0	22.3	4.5
4	02:12.4		16	16	00:00.8	00:20.0	00:06.1	00:26.9	20.3	4.9
5	02:51.5		16	21	00:00.8	00:23.2	00:04.5	00:28.4	16.6	6.0
6	03:38.9		16	27	00:00.8	00:25.1	00:05.9	00:31.9	14.6	6.9
7	04:19.9		16	33	00:00.8	00:31.3	00:04.9	00:37.0	14.3	7.0
8	05:01.8		16	40	00:00.8	00:35.6	00:08.7	00:45.2	15.0	6.7
9	05:48.2		16	47	00:00.9	00:43.1	00:04.3	00:48.2	13.8	7.2
10	06:31.6		16	54	00:00.9	00:53.6	00:03.1	00:57.6	14.7	6.8
11	07:16.1		16	61	00:00.9	00:56.1	00:03.3	01:00.3	13.8	7.2
12	08:16.5		16	69	00:00.9	01:04.5	00:03.2	01:08.7	13.8	7.2
13	09:01.0		16	76	00:01.0	01:15.3	00:03.4	01:19.6	14.7	6.8
14	09:56.7		16	84	00:01.0	01:24.0	00:03.3	01:28.3	14.8	6.8
15	10:46.8		16	92	00:01.0	01:33.7	00:03.4	01:38.1	15.2	6.6
16	11:38.6		14	101	00:01.0	01:45.2	00:05.0	01:51.2	15.9	6.3
17	12:25.9		13	109	00:01.0	02:03.2	00:03.4	02:07.6	17.1	5.8
18	13:28.6		12	118	00:00.9	02:17.1	00:04.1	02:22.1	17.6	5.7
19	14:22.9		11	127	00:01.0	02:42.3	00:03.5	02:46.7	19.3	5.2
20	15:32.2		11	136	00:01.0	02:55.6	00:04.2	03:00.8	19.4	5.2
25	20:27.1		8	183	00:01.0	05:07.3	00:12.7	05:21.0	26.2	3.8
30	25:59.3		6	233	00:01.0	08:10.3	00:3.9	08:15.1	31.8	3.1

Table C.2: The speed increase from using a multi-processor implementation, along with a reduction in overlap from n to $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ pixels. A sample x-ray image of size 3600 x 1200 pixels was used. Calculations were spread across $P = 16$ processors in 8 machines, and calculation times are quoted in min:sec.

Scale	Standard		Incremental iteration parallelised AMSS			Improvement			
	Time	Calculate	Image	Stripes	Overlap	Time (min:sec)	Total	%	x
1		00:27.1	16	8		00:12.0		44.3	2.3
2		01:01.5	16	19		00:26.0		42.3	2.4
3		01:34.2	16	32		00:39.0		41.4	2.4
4		02:12.4	16	48		00:52.0		39.3	2.5
5		02:51.5	16	64		01:06.0		38.5	2.6
6		03:38.9	16	82		01:21.0		37.0	2.7
7		04:19.9	15	100		01:37.0		37.3	2.7
8		05:01.8	12	120		01:53.0		37.4	2.7
9		05:48.2	10	140		02:12.0		37.9	2.6
10		06:31.6	9	162		02:29.0		38.1	2.6
11		07:16.1	8	183		02:48.0		38.5	2.6
12		08:16.5	7	206		03:05.0		37.3	2.7
13		09:01.0	6	229		03:23.0		37.5	2.7
14		09:56.7	5	253		03:42.0		37.2	2.7
15		10:46.8	5	277		04:01.0		37.3	2.7
16		11:38.6	4	302		04:22.0		37.5	2.7
17		12:25.9	4	328		04:39.0		37.4	2.7
18		13:28.6	4	354		04:56.0		36.6	2.7
19		14:22.9	3	380		05:16.0		36.6	2.7
20		15:32.2	3	407		05:35.0		35.9	2.8
25		20:27.1	2	548		07:20.0		35.9	2.8
30		25:59.3	2	699		09:06.0		35.0	2.9

Table C.3: The speed increase from using the incremental iteration parallelised AMSS implementation. A sample x-ray image of size 3600 x 1200 pixels was used. Calculations were spread across $P = 16$ processors in 8 machines, and calculation times are quoted in min:sec.