Gimme Shelter:

Archaeology and the Social History of Structural Defence in Adelaide 1941-1943

Consider the contents of this booklet carefully. Plan thoroughly what you would do in case of an emergency. The Government will tell you when, if ever, it considers you should put the suggestions herein into effect.

Martin Wimmer

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Archaeology

School of Humanities

Flinders University, South Australia

Cover Page: State Emergency Council for Civil Defence, Victoria 1941. Air Raid

Precautions: Advice to Householders. H.E. Daw, Government Printer,

Melbourne.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Maps	xv
List of Tables	xvi
Abstract	xviii
Declaration of Candidate	xx
Acknowledgements	xxi
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xxiii
Linear Measurement Conversions	xxiv
Chapter One Think for a Moment	1
1.1 Think for a moment	2
1.2 Archaeology and social recency	5
1.3 The nature of warfare	8
1.4 Landscape as cultural flux	13
1.5 Research questions and aims	15
1.6 Constructing the argument	17
Chapter Two A Shifting Frontier	20
2.1 A legacy of perceived international aggressors	21
2.2 Edwardian future past: Flight and social destruction	26
2.3 The UK genesis of ARP	31
2.4 ARP in Australia: No longer "girt by sea"	38
2.5 ARP in South Australia	45
2.6 A fearful domestic familiarity	50
Chapter Three Understanding the Psychology and Landscape of Fear	53
3.1 By-products of war	54
3.2 Strategies for containing fear	60

3.3 Cultural landscape theory: Landscapes of fear and social stratil	fication70
3.4 A dynamic frontier: Global warfare and local war fear	76
3.5 The archaeology of context	77
Chapter Four Gimme Shelter in the Literature	82
4.1 Sheltering outside the literature: Air raid shelters have no writes	s83
4.2 Sheltering in the literature: Air raid shelters in their own write	85
4.3 A themed approach to heritage: The United Kingdom	86
4.4 A themed approach to heritage: Australia	89
4.5 Australian heritage listed air raid shelters	91
Chapter Five Methods: Detection and Recording	96
5.1 Understanding public and domestic agency	97
5.2 Investigating public agency	98
5.2.1 Municipal agencies: Archival searches	98
5.2.2 State and Federal agencies: Archival searches	99
5.2.2.1 Private memorabilia	101
5.2.3 Corporate archives: Archival searches	102
5.2.4 Aerial photography: Archival searches	
5.3 Investigating domestic agency	105
5.3.1 Oral testimony	105
5.3.2 Local history associations	112
5.3.3 Public appeal for information: Schools	113
5.3.4 Public appeal for information: Radio interviews	114
5.3.5 Public appeal for information: Print media	115
5.3.6 Public appeal for information: Museum exhibition	116
5.3.7 Public appeal for information: Conference presentations	118
5.4 Determining wartime wealth and status of shelter builders	118
5.5 Recording standing structures	119
5.6 Geophysics	121
5.7 Summary of unearthing tools	124

Chapter Six Shelter Typology: An Archaeology of Sorts	125
6.1 A context for shelter types	126
6.2 A South Australian typology of air raid shelters	129
6.3 Type I: trenches	131
6.3.1 Background	132
6.3.2 Factors affecting Type I construction	133
6.3.3 Type I(a): Open trenches	138
6.3.3.1 Pitfalls of Type I(a) shelters	143
6.3.4 Type I(b): Covered trenches	146
6.4 Type II: Dug-outs	152
6.4.1 Background	152
6.5 Type III: Sectional shelters	155
6.5.1 Background	155
6.6 Type IV: Pipe shelters	161
6.6.1 Background	161
6.7 Type V: Bunkers	171
6.7.1 Background	173
6.7.1.1 Factors affecting Type V shelter construction: Public spaces	180
6.7.1.2 Factors affecting Type V shelter construction: Domestic spaces	188
6.7.1.3 Factors affecting Type V shelter construction: Factories and bus	
6.8 Type VI: Structurally modified rooms	
6.8.1 Background	
6.8.1.1 Factors affecting Type VI shelter construction: Domestic dwelling	
6.8.1.2 Factors affecting Type VI shelter construction: Factories and bu	
6.9 Characterisation and archaeology	
Chapter Seven Archaeological Results: The Pity of War Distilled	203
7.1 The truth told: Gimme shelter	204
7.2 Type I: Trenches	204
7.2.1 Trenching the public spaces of Adelaide	206

7.2.1.1 Type 1(a): Open trenches	206
7.2.1.2 Type I(b): Covered trenches	219
7.2.2 Trenching the corporate spaces of Adelaide	220
7.2.2.1 Type I(a): Open trenches	220
7.2.2.2 Type I(b): Covered trenches	222
7.2.3 Trenching the private spaces of Adelaide	223
7.2.3.1 Type I(a): Open trenches	224
7.2.3.2 Type I(b): Covered trenches	226
7.2.4 Archaeological analysis of Type I domestic shelters	229
7.2.4.1 Dimensions	229
7.2.4.2 Roof	231
7.2.4.3 Alignment	232
7.2.4.4 Furnishings	233
7.3 Type II: Dug-outs	234
7.3.1 Public dug-outs	234
7.3.2 Domestic dug-outs	235
7.3.3 Archaeological analysis of Type II domestic shelters	240
7.3.3.1 Dimensions	240
7.3.3.2 Floor space and volume	242
7.3.3.3 Roof	243
7.3.3.4 Access	244
7.3.3.5 Alignment	245
7.3.3.6 Furnishings	245
7.4 Type III: Sectional shelters	246
7.4.1 Archaeological analysis of Type III domestic shelters	248
7.4.2 Construction	248
7.4.2.1 Dimensions	250
7.4.2.2 Floor space	
7.4.2.3 Roof	
7.4.2.4 Entry configuration and access	
7.4.2.5 Alignment	
7.4.2.6 Furnishings	
7.5 Type IV: Reinforced concrete pipes	

7.5.1 Archaeological analysis of Type IV shelters	263
7.5.1.1 Dimensions	263
7.5.1.2 Furnishings	263
7.6 Type V: Bunkers	264
7.6.1 Public Type V shelters	265
7.6.1.1 ARP Sub-Control Station Type V shelters	265
7.6.1.2 Council Chamber Type V shelters	273
7.6.1.3 Public venue Type V shelters	275
7.6.1.4 Industrial Type V shelters	278
7.6.1.5 School Type V shelters	279
7.6.2 Type V shelters in domestic application	280
7.6.2.1 Handyman-built Type V shelters	280
7.6.2.2 Industry know-how built Type V shelters	284
7.6.2.3 Catalogue-purchased Type V shelters	293
7.6.2.4 Type V shelters of unknown construction agency	299
7.6.3 The archaeological potential of Type V structures	315
7.6.4 Archaeological analysis of domestic Type V shelters	317
7.6.4.1 Dimensions	317
7.6.4.2 Floor space and volume	319
7.6.4.3 Roof	320
7.6.4.4 Access	320
7.6.4.5 Alignment	321
7.6.4.6 Furnishings	321
7.7 Type VI: Structurally modified rooms	322
7.7.1 Public conversions	323
7.7.1.1 ARP emergency services network Type VI shelters	324
7.7.1.2 Public venues	327
7.7.1.3 Work Places	327
7.7.2 Domestic conversions	329
7.7.3 Archaeological analysis of Type VI domestic shelters	330
7.7.5 Construction	331
7.7.5.1 Location of modified rooms	331
7.8 The sum of all fears	332

Chapter Eight Discussion: Life in Adelaide, South Australia 7 December	r 1941 –
August 1943	333
8.1 Five Hundred Holes in Adelaide, South Australia	334
8.2 Spatial analysis of domestic shelters against the wartime landscap	e335
8.2.1 Type I spatial distribution	341
8.2.2 Type II spatial distribution	344
8.2.3 Type III spatial distribution	346
8.2.4 Type V spatial distribution	348
8.2.4.1 Type V shelter dimensions as markers of fear	351
8.2.4.2 Faith in Type V shelters	354
8.2.5 Type VI spatial distribution	355
8.2.6 Summary	357
8.3 Gender and the shelter builders	362
8.3.1 Men: Type I	362
8.3.2 Women: Type I	363
8.3.2.1 Debunking bunker myths: Women as shelter builders	364
8.3.3 Children: Type I	365
8.3.4 Unattributable labour: Type I	367
8.4 Military training: Type II construction	368
8.5 Wealth and the distribution of shelters	371
8.5.1 Wealth and Type III shelters	373
8.5.2 Wealth and Type V shelters	376
8.5.2.1 Peculiarities of local Type V shelters	377
8.5.3 Wealth and Type VI shelters	384
8.6 A condensed timeframe	384
8.7 Particular views of the world in time and place	385
Chapter Nine The Psychology of Fabric	387
9.1 A measure of success in defence	388
9.2 The psychological health of the community	388
9.3 Predicted mayhem	389

9.4 The psychology of fabric	390
9.4.1 The cognitive reverse-engineering of fabric	392
9.4.2 Fear and the anticipated direction of attack on Adelaide	396
9.4.2.1 Attack vs. invasion	396
9.5 Fear: The adhesive of community spirit	400
Chapter Ten Conclusions: A Vernacular Life	402
10.1 Primary use surpassed	403
10.2 Shelter transit: A heritage reality	404
10.2.1 The fate of Type I shelters	404
10.2.2 The fate of Type II shelters	406
10.2.3 The fate of Type III shelters	406
10.2.4 The fate of Type IV shelters	407
10.2.5 The fate of Type V shelters	415
10.2.6 The fate of Type VI shelters	421
10.3 A heritage of real people and of Adelaide wartime society	421
10.3.1 Why shelters matter	422
10.4 A regional study with national application	423
10.5 Application in heritage assessment	426
10.6 Think again	426
References	428
Appendices	449
Appendix 1 – Ethics Approval Letter	450
Appendix 2 – Information Sheet for Oral History Participants	451
Appendix 3 – Consent Form for Interview	452
Appendix 4 – Shelter Database	453
Appendix 5 – Oral History Transcripts	454
Appendix 6 – Additional Shelter Data	455
Appendix 7 – Additional Sources	456

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Less bang for your dollar! (realestate.com.au 2008) 3
Figure 1-2: The genesis and trajectory of a WWII civilian landscape of fear14
Figure 2-1: ARP card issued with Allen's Butter Menthol Cough Drops (Author's
collection)34
Figure 2-2: Inside front cover of Handbook of Advice for Civilians in War Time
(Blind Self-Aid Society of Australia, Melbourne n.d.)
Figure 3-1: Fear as a selling tool (Australian Home Beautiful 1943, 22(7): 44)64
Figure 3-2: Double-decker bus blown into a private residence in London (Browne
1941: 35)66
Figure 3-3: German anti-ship mine, Main Street, Robe (Wimmer 2006)77
Figure 3-4: Psychology as an agency in creating and understanding the material
remains of Adelaide's air raid shelters79
Figure 5-1: Cut this out and keep it! (News, February 1941:2)100
Figure 5-2: Unley Museum flyer of the air raid shelter exhibition117
Figure 5-3: Martin Wimmer recording the Peterborough Type V shelter (Kylie
Lower 2010)
Figure 5-4: Survey grids at Soutar Park, Goodwood, 24 September 2010 (Image
URL: www.nearmap.com)
Figure 6-1: City of Adelaide disclaimer (CEF[1942]027a)144
Figure 6-2: Relative risks from air raids under various shelter conditions (State
Emergency Council for Civil Defence, Vic. 1941: 30)145
Figure 6-3: Proposed modifications to open trench shelters (CEF[1942]021)147
Figure 6-4: Plan of Mellor dug-out (Mellor 1942: 13)154
Figure 6-5: Elevation of Mellor dug-out (Mellor 1942: 13)

Figure 6-6: Anderson Shelter (arrowed): Chingford, East London 19-20 April 1941
(Warburton 1946: n.p.)
Figure 6-7: Anderson re-used as a wood shed (Anstey 2009: 1)160
Figure 6-8: Corrugated iron shell encased with concrete and rubble (CCA n.d.c:
14)161
Figure 6-9: Plywood pipe shelter, Bellevue Hill, NSW, December 1941 (Sydney
Morning Herald, 31 December 1941: 9)168
Figure 6-10: Installing a plywood pipe shelter in suburban Sydney, December
1941 (In Fallows 2005: 132)169
Figure 6-11: Pipe shelter suitable for a typical suburban house (CCA n.d.a: 3)
170
Figure 6-12: Protection for the factory worker (CCA n.d.a: 2)171
Figure 6-13: Advertisements for shelters (Australian Home Beautiful 1942b: 38
and 1942c: 39)172
Figure 6-14: Section of a German WWI concrete bunker (Architecture 1918: 15)
175
Figure 6-15: Concrete shelter for 50 persons intended for use in Adelaide streets
c1939 (Fargher 1940: 132)185
Figure 6-16: Section view of bomb proof shelter with detonating slab above
(CCA n.d.c: 16)
Figure 6-17: Plan view of bomb proof shelter showing traversed entrance (CCA
n.d.c: 16)188
Figure 6-18: Plan views of double shelters demonstrating alternative entrances.
Emergency exits arrowed (Home Office 1939e: 9)190
Figure 6-19: Know your enemy and improvise your own repairs (Bartlett n.d.: 52)

Figure 6-20: The safest room in this house marked with an 'X' (Bartlett n.d.: 8)
Figure 7-1: Trenching Victoria Square, Adelaide c1942 (c/o Ray Hirst, NEWS
LTD)209
Figure 7-2: Depth of trenches in Victoria Square, Adelaide c1942 (c/o Ray Hirst
NEWS LTD)
Figure 7-3: Plan and elevation for a zigzag trench, Glenelg foreshore, April 1942
(Glenelg Air Raid Shelter Interpretive Centre)214
Figure 7-4: Footprint of zigzag trench on lawns west of Pier Hotel, Glenelg
foreshore, 10 January 1949 (MAPLAND 1949a)216
Figure 7-5: Footprint of a zigzag trench system (arrowed) on the playing fields of
Woodlands, Glenelg, 10 January 1949 (MAPLAND 1949a)218
Figure 7-6: Griggs' undated perspective sketch of a Type I(a) shelter
(S167/867/1)
Figure 7-7: Shared trench in Sefton Park depicted by heavy red line, section of
original trench arrowed (Google Maps, accessed 15 September 2012)225
Figure 7-8: Eric Neilson excavating a trench in Brighton, December 1941 (photo
R.E. Ragless [nee Holmes])
Figure 7-9: Type I shelter construction (State Emergency Council for Civi
Defence in Victoria 1941: sketch 8)
Figure 7-10: Type I trenches in Bartlett (n.d.: 12) and George Haskard's choice
229
Figure 7-11: Commercial Case Company's Type II shelter (News, 27 February
1942: 3)
Figure 7-12: Still taken from home movie of Doug Freeman's shelter c1941 (with
kind permission of Margaret Cornwall & Pamela Pearce, 2008)237

Figure 7-13: Doug and Margaret Freeman with dug-out (visible at right), August
1944 (with kind permission of Margaret Cornwall 2008)238
Figure 7-14: Andersons for sale in Adelaide (News, 12 June 1942: 5)246
Figure 7-15: The Bruce family in their Anderson (Mail, 7 June 1941: 2)247
Figure 7-16: Instructions for assembly of Anderson shelters (Australian War
Memorial RC02049)251
Figure 7-17: Inside the Hazelwood Park Type III shelter facing the rear escape
hatch (Wimmer 2010)252
Figure 7-18: Plan view of Trowse Type III shelter256
Figure 7-19: Reinforced concrete pipes, North Terrace (image c/o Ray Hirst,
NEWS Ltd, Adelaide)
Figure 7-20: Fully accessorised: 48 in. x 8 ft pipes installed on North Terrace with
sand filled bitumen drums being used in lieu of sandbags (Hume Pipe News
1942: 5)
Figure 7-21: 36 in. x 8 ft pipes at Unley School awaiting installation of blast walls
(Hume Pipe News 1942: 4)262
Figure 7-22: A Pneumatic Core Concrete Shelter installed above ground at
Norwood (Concreters [S.A.] Ltd. n.d.)
Figure 7-23: City of Adelaide pipe shelter user's guide (CEF[1942]027b)264
Figure 7-24: Construction of Norwood Sub-Control Station (SA Water photo
archive)
Figure 7-25: January 1942 plan of proposed Type V Sub-Control Stations (GRG
53/21/00000 Unit ld 705, 3115/41)
Figure 7-26: Section drawing of ARP Sub-Control Station showing portions above
and below ground (GRG 53/21/00000 Unit ld 705, 3115/41)269
Figure 7-27: Aerial view of Thebarton ARP Sub-Control Station 2010 (URL
image www.nearmap.com)270

Figure 7-28: Rear of Thebarton Sub-Control Station showing roof overhang
(Wimmer 2006)270
Figure 7-29: Unley ARP Sub-Control Station c1965 (with permission of David
Vincent)271
Figure 7-30: Aerial view of Unley ARP Sub-Control Station, 1949 (MAPLAND
1949b)272
Figure 7-31: Prospect ARP Sub-Control Station showing blast wall across both
exits (Wimmer 2007)273
Figure 7-32: Oxford Terrace Type V shelter c1970s (Unley Museum
photographic archive #89:117)274
Figure 7-33: Griggs shelter plan for Ramsgate Hotel, January 1942 (S167/856/1)
Figure 7-34: Norwood Oval entrances to former air raid shelters (Wimmer 2010)
277
Figure 7-35: Remains of Type V shelter on Kangaroo Island (Black 2012)278
Figure 7-36: Chapman Type V shelter, Peterborough (John Mannion 2007)281
Figure 7-37: Detail of air vent, Chapman Type V shelter (Wimmer 2010)282
Figure 7-38: Plan of Chapman Type V shelter283
Figure 7-39: Emergency light, Jackson Type V shelter (Wimmer 2012)286
Figure 7-40: Jackson Type V shelter exit (Wimmer 2012)287
Figure 7-41: Plan of Jackson Type V shelter288
Figure 7-42: The Lamberton Type V shelter (Wimmer 2013)290
Figure 7-43: Plan of Lamberton Type V shelter291
Figure 7-44: Morrell bungalow, Woodville, location of air raid shelter as hatched
area (drawn by Bob Morrell 21 August 2007)293
Figure 7-45: External wall thickening evident below range pole in Griff Type V
shelter (Wimmer 2008)296

Figure 7-46: Plan of Griff Type V shelter
Figure 7-47: Pierce Type V shelter showing concrete bench and built in shelves
(Wimmer 2009)300
Figure 7-48: Detail of shelf in eastern wall, Pierce Type V shelter (Wimmer 2009)
302
Figure 7-49: Plan of Pierce Type V shelter304
Figure 7-50: Garden exit and steps, Willis Type V shelter (Martin Wimmer and
Louise Holt 2010)306
Figure 7-51: Detail of southern wall, Willis Type V shelter (Louise Holt 2010) .307
Figure 7-52: Fire place in Willis Type V shelter (Wimmer 2010)308
Figure 7-53: Globe roofing terne, Willis Type V shelter (Wimmer 2010)310
Figure 7-54: Plan of Willis Type V shelter311
Figure 7-55: Rear of Harley home. Fenced Type V shelter location arrowed
(Wimmer 2013)312
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter314
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter314
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter314 Figure 7-57: Detail of roof vault showing two courses of bricks, Harley Type V
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter
Figure 7-56: Plan of Harley Type V shelter

Figure 8-2: Members of the 2/1st Light Anti-Aircraft Regiment digging trenches at
St George's Anglican Church, Magill (with permission of Sue Teagle)363
Figure 8-3: Children constructing a Type I(b) shelter in an Adelaide backyard
(Australian War Memorial n.d.: 4)367
Figure 8-4: Three breather pipes (arrowed) above the Williamson shelter,
Prospect (Prospect Local History Group 2009)383
Figure 9-1: Psychology as an agency in creating and understanding material
remains391
Figure 10-1: Excavated playground pipe, Mitcham Primary School September
2009 (Wimmer 2009)410
Figure 10-2: Glover Playground, South Terrace, Adelaide (Wimmer 2007)412
Figure 10-3: A concrete pipe rainwater tank, Kilburn, SA (Wimmer 2012)415
Figure 10-4: The Harley Type V sunken garden (Wimmer 2013)417
Figure 10-5: Proposed re-use of Prospect ARP Sub-Control Station as model car
club (Riddle1965:2)418
Figure 10-6: Murals on entrances of Thebarton Sub-Control Station (Wimmer
2010)419
Figure 10-7: Plant room, Oxford Terrace Type V shelter (Wimmer 2010)420

List of Maps

Map 1-1: Location of Adelaide in relation to areas of Japanese attacks (URL:
http://www.awm.gov.au/encyclopedia/air_raids/)13
Map 2-1: The positioning of the eight Sub-Control Areas of Adelaide (GRG
9/28/28)47
Map 6-1: Profile of Adelaide soils (Stokes 2013)
Map 7-1: Proposed trench system for the East Parklands, Adelaide (Fargher
1940: 132)
Map 7-2: Sketch map by Malcolm Haskard, 21 June 2010, depicting location of
family trench (highlighted area in original)
Map 8-1: Wartime map of Adelaide showing the spatial relationship of its various
elements including the ARP Sub-Control Areas and the number of domestic
shelters recorded in each (http://mapco.net/adelwar/adelwar.htm)338
Map 8-2: Spread of domestic shelter types across Adelaide340
Map 8-3: Type I shelter distribution across Adelaide
Map 8-4: Type II shelter distribution across Adelaide
Map 8-5: Type III shelter distribution across Adelaide
Map 8-6: Type V shelter distribution across Adelaide350
Map 8-7: Type VI shelter distribution across Adelaide356
Map 8-8: Chinnery's plan of ARP Patrol Area #1573 (author's collection)361
Map 9-1: Adelaide in WWII showing civilian's anticipated directions of attack
(Fuller 1940: front cover)
Map 10-1: War and post-war location of pipes at Mitcham Primary School. With
permission of Mitcham School 150 History Committee (Cornwall 1997: 128)411

List of Tables

Table 3-1: 1945 survey of 4,222 American soldiers and the relationship between
proximity to combat dangers and anxiety (Abridged from Janis 1971: 25)59
Table 4-1: Number of civilian air raid shelters listed on heritage databases in
Australia States93
Table 4-2: Distribution of shelter listings across various heritage databases in
Australia93
Table 6-1: Monthly rainfall in Adelaide, October 1941 - May 1942, recorded at
Weather Station #023000 on West Terrace, 5000136
Table 6-2: Comparative guidelines for open trenches (April 1941 - January 1942).
139
Table 6-3: Comparative general guidelines for covered trenches (September
1938 – July 1942)149
Table 6-4: Comparative guidelines from the various Codes for covered trenches
(1939 - 1942)
Table 6-5: Basic weekly wage rates declared by various States during WWII
(abridged from Carver 1944: 478)
Table 6-6: South Australian shelter Types and their characteristics202
Table 7-1: Number of Type I shelters in Adelaide and country SA (shown in red).
Numbers followed by 'p' are proposed shelters that may not have been built205
Table 7-2: Type 1(a) shelters in Glenelg (Glenelg Air Raid Shelter Interpretive
Centre)
Table 7-3: Type II shelter recorded dimensions
Table 7-4: Type II shelter roof construction
Table 7-5: Recorded entrances of Type II shelters244
Table 7-6: Number of Type IV locations (country pipes in red)

Table 7-7: Dimensions of main refuge in recorded Type V shelters318
Table 7-8: Area and volume of main refuge in recorded Type V shelters319
Table 8-1: Total number of each type of shelter recorded (country SA in red)334
Table 8-2: Frequency of domestic shelter types in Adelaide's Sub-Control Areas
336
Table 8-3: Distribution of Type I shelters across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas341
Table 8-4: Distribution of Type II shelters across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas .344
Table 8-5: Distribution of Type III shelters across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas 346
Table 8-6: Distribution of Type V shelters across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas.348
Table 8-7: Sub-Control Area and average length and width of Domestic Type V
shelters
Table 8-8: Sub-Control Area and average floor space and volume of Domestic
Type V shelters
Table 8-9: Distribution of Type VI shelters across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas 355
Table 8-10: Division of labour in Adelaide shelter sample365
Table 8-11: Number of individuals with WWI war service living in Sub-Control
Areas on 30 June 1933 (abridged from Wilson 1936: 365-367)369
Table 8-12: Division of labour in Type II construction
Table 8-13: Income of males and females across Adelaide Sub-Control Areas on
30 June 1933 (abridged from Wilson 1936: 421-426)373
Table 8-14: Overhead protection of archaeologically recorded domestic Type V
shelters vs. the Code
Table 8-15: Archaeologically recorded lateral dimensions of domestic Type V
shelters vs. the Code
Table 9-1: Comparison of shelter Types, direction of attack vs. industrial zone 399

Abstract

The underlying premise of this research is that civilian air raid shelters reflect aspects of our past society that other forms of material culture do not. This thesis concerns itself with the archaeological interpretation of the civilian structural response to an anticipated Japanese aerial bombardment of Adelaide in South Australia during World War II.

Analysis incorporates archival research, archaeological fieldwork, the testimony of eyewitnesses and contemporary early twentieth century psychological research into the effects of aerial bombardment, in order to help understand 547 Adelaide metropolitan and 39 South Australian country air raid shelters. It provides a typology for the range of responses recorded, identifies the social contingencies attributable to each type, and tests the depth of social data stored in these structures. This thesis also introduces the notion of the 'psychology of fabric' as an additional cultural attribute of material remains that were purposely developed and positioned in the landscape to elicit a behavioural change in a fearful community awaiting a catastrophe.

Across Adelaide, air raid shelters were built by people from diverse backgrounds and with varied economic means. The largest, most expensive and best fortified, however, were not owned by the wealthiest people in society, but instead by those with ties to the food and construction industries. Those employed in the building/construction industries tended to over-engineer the structural components of their shelters, greatly enhancing their personal protection. Men with previous military experience favoured a particular type of shelter, and whilst some single women dug trenches for themselves, they took little part in

constructing other shelter types beyond making the initial decision to install one. Analysis showed that 89.3% of domestic shelters that could be properly characterised had overhead protection and that the local Code for Shelter Construction was, for the main, observed. This, to some extent, vindicates government policy which encouraged people to make their way home during an air raid under the assumption that they could procure better protection for themselves than could be provided for them in the public arena.

The phenomenon of shelter building was a short-lived event, and largely occurred in Adelaide over an eighteen month period beginning on December 7, 1941. This thesis, therefore, is also an archaeology of social recency. It deals with a very concise time period during a significant world event. As such, it precisely maps social trends and patterning in the cultural landscape of an Australian wartime community. It is an archaeology of real and identifiable people who are largely unrepresented in official documentation, but who made decisions about their own protection and invested considerable time, energy and physical resources in doing the best they possibly could for themselves, their families and their neighbours.

The dividend of this investment lies in their material legacy to us—a unique cultural landscape, the interpretation of which, seventy years on, allows us to finally document a very real moment in their lives.

Declaration of Candidate

I certify that this work does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material

previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the

best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously

published or written by another person except where due reference is made in

the text.

Martin Wimmer

31 January 2014

XX

Acknowledgements

For every section of this thesis I owe a debt of thanks to someone. This debt is owed variously for time, specialist advice, editing or contributing to the data base which supports this research.

To Dr Heather Burke of the Department of Archaeology, Flinders University, for directing this study and, who at times, must have thought it would be easier trying to teach three women how to use a two-man stirrup pump. Cheryl Ahrens, Clinical Psychologist, for regular (and free) counselling sessions and the ongoing monitoring of my mental health, expert advice on the psychological research into fear and memory, proof reading and fussy editing – any grammatical errors that remain are entirely my own fault and, without exception, *metri causa*. My daughter, Anna Wimmer, who managed to finish eight years of primary school in the time it took me to finish a PhD (and yes Darling, you too will someday do one despite what you say now), to her for being in the next room whenever I needed to step away from it for a while. Thanks also to all my friends and family who waited so long for my return...!

To Dr Alice Gorman, also of the Department of Archaeology, Flinders University, for her occasional utterances of brilliance. My colleagues Kylie Lower and Louise Holt for assisting with field work. Dr Julie Collins, Collection Manager, Louis Laybourne Smith School of Architecture and Design Museum, UNISA, for "keeping me in mind" whenever anything relevant to my study crossed her desk. Russell Pilbeam, Senior GIS Officer, Australian Cultural Heritage Management, for his advice and expertise in map making.

Mention must be made also of the various independent researchers and research facilities (with their largely volunteer staff) that are hidden away across the community. Included in their numbers is David Vincent for sharing his collection of military aviation related ephemera. Denis Gojak, Historical Archaeologist. Dr Peter Bell, Industrial Historian. John Mallion, country South Australian historian. Ray Hirst, Editorial Artist, NEWS Ltd, for access to his organisation's photo archive. The Mitcham Heritage Research Centre (Maggie Ragless, Margaret and Charles Cornwall), the Port Adelaide Historical Society (Laurie Shields), the Prospect Local History Group (Dr David Kilner) and the Prospect Library Heritage Collection (Lianne Gould), the Holdfast Bay History Centre/Glenelg Air Raid Shelter (Jim and Bev Huckstepp), the Australian Society of Magicians/ Thebarton Air Raid Shelter (Bernard Larkin), the Unley Museum (Ron Praite), MAPLAND and the Adelaide City Archives.

Finally, thank you to all those who managed to get through World War II without being bombed and who wanted desperately to tell me exactly how they did it!

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ARP Air Raid Precautions

CBD Central Business District

CCA Cement and Concrete Association (UK)

CT Certificate of Title

FFCF Fighting Forces Comforts Fund

GPR Ground Penetrating Radar

ICRC International Committee of the Red Cross

LTO Land Titles Office

NSW New South Wales

NT Northern Territory

QLD Queensland

RAF Royal Air Force

RC Pipes Reinforced Concrete Pipes

SA South Australia

TLP Thematic Listing Program (UK)

UK United Kingdom

USSBS United States Strategic Bombing Survey

VIC Victoria

WA Western Australia

WWI World War I

WWII World War II

Linear Measurement Conversions

All physical dimensions of air raid shelters were archaeologically recorded using the metric system. These were also converted to values in the imperial system and appear in brackets after the metric measurement so that they could be more effectively compared to contemporary building advice and materials. Imperial linear measurements sourced from historical documents, such as, the Codes for shelter construction, were not converted to metric values, nor were those relating to air raid shelters which were recorded from testimonial sources.

Imperial to Metric Conversion

One inch = 2.54 centimetres

12 inches (one foot) = 0.3048 metre

Three feet (one yard) = 0.9144 metre

1760 yards (one mile) = 1.6093 kilometres

Metric to Imperial Conversion

One centimetre = 0.3937 inch

One metre = 39.37 inches or 3.2808 feet

One kilometre = 0.621 mile