

**A comparative study of examination  
performance at the five  
Deakin University School of Medicine  
clinical school sites.**

**Brendan Philip Condon**

**MBBS, FRACGP, GradCertClinEd**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of requirements  
for the degree of Master of Clinical Education,  
School of Medicine,  
Faculty of Health Sciences,  
Flinders University of South Australia**

**December, 2014**

# Table of Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Tables .....</b>                              | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Figures.....</b>                              | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Summary.....</b>                              | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>Declaration .....</b>                         | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Acknowledgements.....</b>                     | <b>8</b>  |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>Chapter 1. Introduction .....</b>             | <b>10</b> |
| Medical workforce shortage.....                  | 10        |
| Deakin University School of Medicine.....        | 10        |
| An innovative approach to medical education..... | 16        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>Chapter 2. Literature review.....</b>         | <b>19</b> |
| Introduction.....                                | 19        |
| Longitudinal Integrated Clerkships.....          | 20        |
| Distributed Education Sites.....                 | 23        |
| Small Student Cohorts.....                       | 24        |
| Assessment of Learning Environment.....          | 27        |
| Conclusion.....                                  | 28        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>Chapter 3. Research design.....</b>           | <b>30</b> |
| Research approach.....                           | 30        |
| Aim.....   | 32        |
| Study Design.....                                | 33        |
| Participants.....                                | 36        |
| Study Power.....                                 | 37        |
| Data collection.....                             | 39        |
| Method of analysis.....                          | 39        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>Chapter 4. Results .....</b>                  | <b>42</b> |
| Introduction.....                                | 42        |
| Post hoc power.....                              | 42        |
| DREEM results .....                              | 44        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Academic performance results .....                | 47        |
| Statistical analysis of data.....                 | 49        |
| <b>Chapter 5. Discussion and conclusions.....</b> | <b>65</b> |
| Introduction.....                                 | 65        |
| Outline of study findings.....                    | 66        |
| Further research.....                             | 70        |
| PRISMS and Symbiosis.....                         | 71        |
| Limitations .....                                 | 74        |
| Conclusion.....                                   | 76        |
| <b>Appendices.....</b>                            | <b>77</b> |
| Appendix 1.....                                   | 77        |
| Appendix 2.....                                   | 81        |
| <b>Bibliography.....</b>                          | <b>87</b> |

# TABLES

|            |  |     |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 3.1  | Study power estimates.....   | 38  |
| Table 4.1  | DREEM Total Score..  | .44 |
| Table 4.2  | DREEM component scores .....                                       | 45  |
| Table 4.3  | Year 2 mean assessment score, by clinical school, gender, & year   | 48  |
| Table 4.4  | Year 3 mean assessment score, by clinical school, gender, & year   | 48  |
| Table 4.5  | Year 4 mean assessment score, by clinical school, gender, & year   | 49  |
| Table 4.6  | T test analysis of assessment between sites, by year               | 50  |
| Table 4.7  | Mean score of student in the bottom 20% of Year 4 results, by site | 51  |
| Table 4.8  | T test analysis of assessment between sites, by gender             | 52  |
| Table 4.9  | T test analysis of assessment between sites, by rural b'ground     | 52  |
| Table 4.10 | T test analysis of assessment between sites, by rural bonded       | 52  |
| Table 4.11 | T test analysis of assessment between sites, by Rural 3            | 53  |
| Table 4.12 | T test analysis assessment Year 3, by previous clinical experience | 53  |
| Table 4.13 | T test analysis assessment Year 4, by rural clinical school        | 54  |
| Table 4.14 | T test analysis assessment Year 4, by small clinical school        | 54  |
| Table 4.15 | T test analysis assessment Year 4, by Rural 2                      | 55  |
| Table 4.16 | Year 3 assessment results  | 58  |
| Table 4.17 | Year 4 assessment results  | 59  |
| Table 4.18 | Year 4 assessment results, excluding GAMSAT                        | 60  |
| Table 4.19 | Year 4 assessment results, including DREEM                         | 60  |
| Table 4.20 | DREEM Total score  | 61  |
| Table 4.21 | Family commitments interfered with my performance.....             | 63  |
| Table 4.22 | Commuting to placements did not adversely affect my performance    | 64  |
| Table 4.23 | I would recommend my clinical school to others.....                | 65  |

# Figures

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.1 | P-P plot of Year 2 assessment results                | 55 |
| Figure 4.2 | P-P plot of Year 3 assessment results                | 56 |
| Figure 4.3 | P-P plot of Year 4 assessment results                | 56 |
| Figure 4.4 | P-P plot of DREEM Total scores                       | 57 |
| Figure 4.5 | Number of students, by clinical school & gender..... | 67 |

|             |  |    |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.6  | Mean age at start of third year, by clinical school & gender.....          | 67 |
| Figure 4.7  | Mean GAMSAT score, by clinical school & gender.....                        | 68 |
| Figure 4.8  | Number of students, by clinical school & previous area of residence        | 68 |
| Figure 4.9  | Number students by clinical school & previous clinical experience..        | 69 |
| Figure 4.10 | Number of rural bonded students, by clinical school.....                   | 69 |
| Figure 4.11 | Number of students who completed DREEM survey, by clinical school.....     | 70 |
| Figure 4.12 | Mean DREEM total score, by clinical school.....                            | 70 |
| Figure 4.13 | Mean DREEM component scores, by clinical school.....                       | 71 |
| Figure 4.14 | Year 2 Mean exam score, by clinical school, gender and year of course..... | 43 |
| Figure 4.15 | Year 3 Mean exam score, by clinical school, gender and year of course..... | 44 |
| Figure 4.16 | Year 4 Mean exam score, by clinical school, gender and year of course..... | 44 |

# Summary

A critical lack of medical workforce has developed in rural and remote Australia over recent decades. Various efforts have been made to address this worsening situation, culminating in the quite recent rapid increase in the number of medical student places, within a significantly increased number of medical schools.

The Deakin University School of Medicine was developed as a rurally focused medical school, admitting its first cohort of students in 2008, and adopted several innovative approaches to medical education. This original research was designed to examine whether the school's decision to base its clinical education on small, dispersed, student cohorts, in rural settings disadvantaged students in comparison to the traditional large group tertiary clinical training setting.

A quasi-experimental design was employed to assess the students' academic performance at the five, geographically dispersed, clinical training sites within the medical school. An internationally validated questionnaire was also employed to provide quantitative analysis of the students' perception of their educational environment. Analysis of the gathered data indicates that not only are students, who were educated at the small rural sites, not disadvantaged, they appear to perform to a higher standard than those trained at the traditional tertiary site.

## **Declaration**

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate, without acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

---

Brendan Philip Condon

December 2014

# Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the tremendous assistance I have received from numerous friends and colleagues in the development of this study, without which I could not have completed this work. First, and foremost, I wish to thank Professor Paul Worley, my principal supervisor, for his continuing encouragement, guidance, and patience throughout the process of my budding in the world of research. My co-supervisor, Professor David Prideaux, provided valuable constructive criticism in the production of this thesis.

My education in statistical analysis has been significantly progressed through the advice and guidance of Associate Professor John Condon who provided invaluable assistance with the statistical analyses of the data.

Thank you to Mrs. Kelli Vertigan for her tremendous administrative assistance in distributing and receiving returned DREEM questionnaires, then collating and de-identifying the data from said questionnaires. Also, to Mr. Ashley Zanker, who provided wonderful assistance in the formatting of the data for presentation within this thesis.

My thanks to Dr. David Kramer, and subsequently to Dr. Janet McLeod, who, as consecutive custodians of the Deakin University School of Medicine student assessment results, provided data necessary for the undertaking of this study.



The constant support of my family has, of course, made this, and all my achievements possible. They accept my distracted state, and late night tapping on the computer keys; not only without complaint, but deliver, unasked for, cups of coffee together with hot crossed buns, to fuel the work. I wish to thank them all for making life so wonderful, and acknowledge my undying love for my wife Jane, and children, Isabella, Sarah and Charlotte.