Molecular Interactions of Endophytic

Actinobacteria in Wheat

and Arabidopsis

A thesis submitted for the award Doctor of Philosophy at Flinders University

Vanessa Michelle Conn

Department of Medical Biotechnology School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Flinders University

2005

Declarations

I certify that this thesis does not contain material which had been accepted for the award of any degree or diploma; and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text of this thesis or in the notes.

Vanessa Michelle Conn

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VI
ABBREVIATIONS	VIII
ABSTRACT	XI
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVI	[EW 2
1.1 THE WHEAT INDUSTRY	2
1. 1.1 THE AUSTRALIAN WHEAT INDUSTRY	3
1.1.2 WHEAT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT	4
1.1.3 AVENUES OF INFECTION IN THE DEVELOPING WHEAT PLANT	7
1.1.4 CROPPING PRACTICES	8
1.1.5 DISEASES OF WHEAT	9
1.1.5.1. Fungal Pathogens	9
1.1.5.1.1 Take-All Disease	9
1.1.5.1.2 Rhizoctonia solani	12
1.1.5.1.3 Fusarium spp	13
1.1.6 INFECTION MECHANISMS OF SOIL-BORNE FUNGAL DISEASES	14
1.2 PLANT-MICROBE POPULATIONS	16
1.2.1 Rhizosphere Microorganisms	16
1.2.1.1 Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria	19
1.2.1.2 Biological Control	20
1.2.1.3 Mode of Action	20
1.2.1.4 Commercial Products	22
1.2.2 ENDOPHYTES	25
1.2.2.1 Fungal Endophytes	25
1.2.2.1.1 AM Fungi	25
1.2.2.2 Bacterial Endophytes	
1.2.2.3 Nitrogen-Fixing Endophytes	
1.2.2.4 Endophytic Biocontrol Agents	
1.2.3 TECHNIQUES USED IN THE STUDY OF ENDOPHYTES	
1.3 THE ACTINOBACTERIA	36
1.3.1 General Characteristics	
1.3.2 Secondary Metabolites	
1.3.3 PLANT-ASSOCIATED ACTINOBACTERIA.	
1.3.4 ENDOPHYTIC ACTINOBACTERIA	44
1.4 PLANT-MICROBE MOLECULAR INTERACTIONS	46
1.4.1 Plant Genetic Factors	46
1.4.2 GROWTH PROMOTION	
1.4.3 DISEASE RESISTANCE	

1.5 BROAD RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	
1.4.3.2 Induced Systemic Resistance	57
1.4.3.1 Systemic Acquired Resistance	51

2.1 INTRODUCTION	62
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	64
2.2.1 Actinobacterial Cultures	64
2.2.2 Cultivation of Wheat Plants	65
2.2.2.1 Growth of Actinobacteria and Harvesting of Spores	65
2.2.2.2 Coating of Wheat Seeds with Actinobacteria Inoculum	65
2.2.2.3 Wheat Plant Cultivation	65
2.2.2.3.1 Field Soil Experiment	66
2.2.2.3.2 Soil Microbial Count	66
2.2.2.3.3 Endophyte Coated Seed Experiment	68
2.2.1.4 Harvesting Wheat Plants	68
2.2.3 Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP)	
Analysis of the Endophytic Bacteria and Actinobacteria in Wheat Roots	5
	68
2.2.3.1 Growth of Actinobacteria Cultures	68
2.2.3.2 DNA Extraction from Actinobacteria Cells	71
2.2.3.3 DNA Extraction from <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	71
2.2.3.4 Extraction of Eubacterial DNA from Wheat Roots and Shoots	72
2.2.3.4.1 DNA Purification	73
2.2.3.5 16S rRNA T-RFLP	74
2.2.3.5.1 Actinobacteria 16S rRNA T-RFLP PCR	75
2.2.3.5.2 Eubacterial 16S rRNA T-RFLP PCR	75
2.2.3.6 Restriction Digestion	76
2.2.3.7 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	76
2.2.3.8 Genescan	77
2.2.3.9 Statistical and Data Analysis	77
2.2.4 Partial Sequencing of the Endophytic Actinobacterial 16S rRNA	
from Wheat Roots	79
2.2.4.1 Actinobacteria Partial 16S rRNA PCR	79
2.2.4.2 PCR Product Purification	79
2.2.4.3 Cloning of Actinobacteria Partial 16S rRNA Gene Sequence?	79
2.2.4.4 Plasmid Preparation	80
2.2.4.5 Selection of Candidates for Sequencing by Restriction Analysis	80
2.2.4.6 Sequencing	81
2.2.5 Electron Microscopy	81
2.3 RESULTS	83

2.3.1 Extraction of Eubacterial DNA from Wheat Roots and Shoots83	3
2.3.2 Soil Microbial Count	3
2.3.3 Actinobacteria and Eubacteria 16S rRNA PCR84	4
2.3.4 Endophytic Actinobacterial Population of Wheat Grown in Four	
Different Field Soils8	5
2.3.4.2 Partial 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing	3
2.3.5 Analysing the Impact of the Introduction of Endophytes in Wheat	
by T-RFLP	2
2.3.5.1 Detection and Quantification of Introduced Endophytes in	
Wheat Roots by T-RFLP	2
2.3.5.2 The Effect of Introducing Actinobacteria Endophytes on the	
Indigenous Endophytic Population of Wheat Roots	3
2.3.6 Analysis of the Endophytic Eubacteria Population of Wheat Roots	
by T-RFLP	2
2.4 DISCUSSION	3
2.4.1 Advantages and Limitations of the T-RFLP Technique	8
2.4.2 Diversity of the Endophytic Actinobacteria Population in Wheat	
Roots grown in Different Field Soils)
2.4.3 The Effect of a Soil Microbial Inoculant on the Actinobacteria	
Endophyte Population of Wheat125	5
2.4.4 Use of the T-RFLP Method As a Semi-Quantitative Tool to Detect	
Introduced Endophytes	5
2.4.5 The Effect of Introduced Actinobacteria Endophytes on the	
Indigenous Population	8
2.4.6 Analysis of the Eubacterial Endophyte Population of Wheat Grown	ĺ
in a Field Soil	9

3.1 INTRODUCTION	133
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	135
3.2.1 Wheat Cultivation	135
3.2.2 Endophytic Fungal DNA Extraction	135
3.2.3 Partial 18S rRNA PCR	135
3.2.4 Cloning and Sequencing of Partial 18S rRNA PCR Products	136
3.2.5 18S rRNA Phylogeny	136
3.3 RESULTS	137
3.4 DISCUSSION	144

CHAPTER FOUR: INDUCTION OF DEFENCE PATHWAYS OF ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA BY ENDOPHYTIC ACTINOBACTERIA.

	147
4.1 INTRODUCTION	
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	

4.2.1 Cultivation of Arabidopsis thaliana	151
4.2.1.1 Chemical Treatment of Arabidopsis Plants	151
4.2.1.2 Endophytic Actinobacteria Cultures	152
4.2.1.3 Inoculation of Arabidopsis Seeds with Endophytic Actin	obacteria
1 I J	
4.2.1.4 Inoculation of Arabidopsis Plants with Endophytic	
Actinobacteria Culture Filtrates	153
4.2.2 Arabidopsis Pathogen Cultures	153
4.2.2.1 Inoculation of Arabidopsis with Pathogens	154
4.2.3 Harvesting Arabidopsis Plant Material	155
4.2.4 Analysis of Gene Expression by Real-Time RT-PCR	155
4.2.4.1 Total RNA Extraction	155
4.2.4.2 Removal of DNA from Total RNA	156
4.2.4.3 Reverse Transcription of Total RNA to cDNA	157
4.2.4.4 Real-Time RT-PCR Primers	157
4.2.4.5 Real-Time RT-PCR	158
4.2.4.6 Real-Time RT-PCR Primer Amplification Efficiency	159
4.2.4.7 Relative Quantification of Gene Expression (QPCR)	160
4.2.5 Microscopy	162
4.3 Results	163
4.3.1 Real-Time RT-PCR Standards and Validation	163
4.3.2 Induction of Gene Expression in the SAR and JA/ET Pathw	vays
using Chemical Elicitors.	
4.3.2 The Effect of Endophytic Actinobacteria on Key Genes in th	e SAR
and JA/ET Pathways	167
4.3.3 The Effect of Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora on the	
Induction of Key Genes in the SAR and JA/ET Pathways after Pi	re-
Treatment with Endophytic Actinobacteria	168
4.3.4 The Effect of Fusarium oxysporum on the Induction of Key	Genes in
the SAR and JA/ET Pathways after Pre-Treatment with Endoph	ytic
Actinobacteria	172
4.3.5 The Effect of Endophytic Actinobacteria Culture Filtrate on	SAR
and JA/ET Gene Expression	173
4.3.6 Visualisation of Micromonospora sp. EN43 in Arabidopsis	177
4.4 DISCUSSION	179

CHAPTER FIVE: DISSECTION OF THE ARABIDOPSIS DEFENCE PATHWAYS INDUCED BY *STREPTOMYCES* SP. EN27......186

5.1 INTRODUCTION	
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
5.2.1 Cultivation of Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0	
5.2.1.1 Cultivation of Arabidopsis thaliana Mutant Lines	
5.2.1.1.1 Arabidopsis Genomic DNA Extraction	
5.2.1.1.2 T-DNA Insert PCR	
5.2.1.2 Streptomyces sp. EN27 and eGFP-tagged EN27 Culture	

5.2.2 Arabidopsis Pathogen Cultivation 5.2.2.1 Inoculation of Arabidopsis Plants with Pathogens 5.2.3 Harvesting Arabidopsis Plant Material 5.2.4 Analysis of Gene Expression by Real-Time RT-PCR 5.2.5 Colonisation of Arabidopsis Wild-type (Col-0) and Mutan ppr1 etr1 and iar1 Plants with of CFP tagged Strentomyces sp	
5.2.2 Analysis ratiogen curvation 5.2.2 I Inoculation of Arabidopsis Plants with Pathogens 5.2.3 Harvesting Arabidopsis Plant Material 5.2.4 Analysis of Gene Expression by Real-Time RT-PCR 5.2.5 Colonisation of Arabidopsis Wild-type (Col-0) and Mutan ppr1 etr1 and iar1 Plants with oCFP taggod Strentomycos sp	·····/////////////////////////////////
5.2.3 Harvesting Arabidopsis Plant Material 5.2.4 Analysis of Gene Expression by Real-Time RT-PCR 5.2.5 Colonisation of Arabidopsis Wild-type (Col-0) and Mutar	194
5.2.4 Analysis of Gene Expression by Real-Time RT-PCR 5.2.5 Colonisation of Arabidopsis Wild-type (Col-0) and Mutan ppr1_etr1 and jar1 Plants with aCEP tagged Streptomyces sp	
5.2.5 Colonisation of Arabidopsis Wild-type (Col-0) and Mutan	194
nnr1 atr1 and iar1 Plants with of FD taggod Strontomycos sn	nt NahG,
πρι 1, σα 1 απα jai 1 1 απις with σαι 1 -tagget Stieptomytes sp.	EN27 195
5.3 Results	196
5.3.1 Colonisation of Wild-type (Col-0) and Defence-Comprom	ised
Arabidopsis Mutants with eGFP-tagged Streptomyces sp. EN2	?7196
5.3.2 Expression of Defence Genes in Arabidopsis Defence-Con	npromised
Mutants after Unallenge with Erwinia carolovora subsp. carol	tovora.198 nnnomicod
5.5.5 Expression of Defence Genes in Arabidopsis Defence-Con Mutants after Challenge with Eusarium ovysporum	208
5 4 DISCUSSION	208 212
6.1 MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE PROJECT	
APPENDIX ONE: MEDIA RECIPES AND COMMON MOLE BIOLOGY REAGENTS	CULAR
	226
A.1 MEDIA RECIPES	220 229
APPENDIX TWO: T-RFLP RAW DATA	
APPENDIX THREE: PARTIAL 16S RRNA ACTINOBACTER SEQUENCING	RIA 242
APPENDIX FOUR: PARTIAL FUNGAL 18S RRNA SEQUEN	ICING
RESULTS	
APPENDIX FIVE: REAL TIME RT-PCR DATA	
APPENDIX SIX: PUBLICATIONS, CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS	253
A 6 1 DUDI ICATIONS	252
A 6 2 CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	233 254
A.6.3 AWARDS	234 256
	20 0

Acknowledgments

First and foremost I want to thank my wonderful husband, Simon, for all the love and support he has given me. Thank you for all your constant encouragement and listening to me talk about my work all the time. I love working with you but it is even better knowning you will be at home with me too!

I would like to give a big thank you to my prinicipal supervisor Professor Chris Franco who has taught me a lot during my PhD but it has always been fun. You have pushed me to achieve more with my studies and for that I am especially grateful. I would also like to thank my supervisor Dr. Mandy Walker for her invaluable advice with the Arabidopsis experiments but also for her unending support, encourgament and guidance. Also, my thanks go to Dr. Margaret Roper for her words of encouragement and for proofreading this epic! Thank you also to the GRDC for awarding me a PhD scholarship and a travel grant to present my work.

Thank you to Dr. Margaret McCully and Dr. Cheng Huang for the expert assistance with the cryo-scanning electron microscopy and Dr. Kerry Gascoigne for the scanning electron microscopy.

Thank you to the Department of Medical Biotechnology for putting up with me and my signs. I would especially like to thank Angela Binns for her tireless work in the laboratory; it has been a pleasure working with you. Lian and Kushari who made my first year incredibly fun, there is nothing better than listening to Madonna while you work, I am the material girl. Chris Curtin I would also like to thank you for suffering through endless RNA extractions with me but also for the help with realtime PCR and for letting me vent my frustrations, for that alone you deserve a whole chapter dedicated to you!!! Thank you to Phil for being a great colleague over these years, but I will have to say I will not miss the smell of those boxes! Nigel, it has been fun working with you but I now pass the RNA extraction baton to you, enjoy!

Finally I would like to thank all my family and friends who have supported me throughout my studies, and yes I have finally finished.

Abbreviations

μl; ml; l: microlitre; millilitre; litre
ρM ; μM ; mM; M: picomolar; micromolar; millimolar; molar
¹ / ₂ MS salts: half strength Murashige and Skoog salt medium
ACC: 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
AM fungi: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
Ap: abundance percentage
AUD: Australian dollar

bp: base pairs

CFU: colony forming units

CR: crown rot

CTAB: cetryltrimethylammonium bromide

DGGE: denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTPs: dinucleotide triphosphates

Ecc: Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora

EDTA: ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid

ET: ethylene

eGFP: enhanced green fluorescent protein

FAME: fatty acid methyl ester

FHB: Fusarium head blight

Ggt: Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici

GRDC: Grains Research and Development Corporation

HEX: hexachlorofluorescein phosphoramidite

hr: hour

hrs: hours

HR: hypersensitive response

IPTG: isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside

ISR: induced systemic resistance

JA: jasmonic acid

LB: Luria broth

MeJA: methyl jasmonate

min: minutes

MS: mannitol soy agar

NCBI: National Centre for Biotechnology Information

ng; µg; mg; kg: nanograms; micrograms; milligrams; kilograms

NSW: New South Wales

PCR: polymerase chain reaction

PDA: potato dextrose agar

- PGPR: plant growth promoting rhizobacteria
- RNA: ribonucleic acid
- rRNA: ribosomal ribonucleic acid
- RO: reverse osmosis
- RT: room temperature
- SA: salicylic acid
- SAR: systemic acquired resistance
- SDS: sodium dodecyl sulphate
- sp.: species (singular)
- spp.: species (plural)
- TBE: tris-borate EDTA
- TET: 6-carboxy-2',4,7,7'-tetrachlorofluorescein
- TGGE: temperature gradient gel electrophoresis
- T-RFLP: terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism
- TRF: terminal restriction fragment
- UV: ultraviolet
- YME: yeast malt extract agar

Abstract

Wheat is the most economically important crop forming one quarter of Australian farm production. The wheat industry is severely affected by diseases, with fungal pathogens causing the most important economic losses in Australia. The application of fungicides and chemicals can control crop diseases to a certain extent, however, it is expensive and public concern for the environment has led to alternative methods of disease control to be sought, including the use of microorganisms as biological control agents. Microorganisms are abundant in the soil adjacent to plant roots (rhizosphere) and within healthy plant tissue (endophytic) and a proportion possess plant growth promotion and disease resistance properties.

Actinobacteria are gram-positive, filamentous bacteria capable of secondary metabolite production such as antibiotics and antifungal compounds. A number of the biologically active endophytes belonging to the Actinobacteria phylum were isolated in our laboratory. A number of these isolates were capable of suppressing the wheat fungal pathogens *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pythium* sp. and *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*, both *in vitro* and *in planta* indicating the potential for the actinobacteria to be used as biocontrol agents. The aim of this research was to investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying this plant-microbe interaction.

The indigenous microbial populations present in the rhizosphere and endophytic environment are critical to plant health and disruptions of these populations are detrimental. The culture-independent technique Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) was used to characterise the endophytic actinobacteria population of wheat roots under different conditions. Soils which support a higher number of indigenous microorganisms result in wheat roots with higher endophytic actinobacterial diversity and level of colonisation. Sequencing of 16S rRNA gene clones, obtained using the same actinobacteria-biased PCR primers that were used in the T-RFLP analysis, confirmed the presence of the actinobacterial diversity, and identified a number of *Mycobacterium* and *Streptomyces* species. It was found that the endophytic actinobacterial population of the wheat plants contained a higher diversity of endophytic actinobacteria than reported previously, and that this diversity varied significantly among different field soils.

The endophytic actinobacteria have previously been shown to protect wheat from disease and enhance growth when coated onto the seed before sowing. As the endophytes isolated were recognised as potential biocontrol agents, the impact on the indigenous endophytic microbial population was investigated. Utilising the T-RFLP technique it was established that the use of a commercial microbial inoculant, containing a large number of soil bacterial and fungal strains applied to the soil, disrupts the indigenous endophyte population present in the wheat roots. The hypothesis is that non-indigenous microbes proliferate and dominate in the soil preventing a number of endophytic-competent actinobacterial genera from access to the seed and ultimately endophytic colonisation of the wheat roots. This dramatically reduces diversity of endophytes and level of colonisation. In contrast the use of a single endophytic actinobacteria endophyte inoculant results in a 3-fold increase in colonisation by the added inoculant, but does not significantly affect this indigenous population.

Colonisation of healthy plant tissues with fungal endophytes has been shown to improve the competitive fitness with enhanced tolerance to abiotic and biotic stress and improved resistance to pathogens and herbivores. In this study the fungal endophyte population of wheat plants grown in four different soils was analysed using partial sequencing of 18S rRNA gene sequences. Sequence anlaysis of clones revealed a diverse range of fungal endophytes. In this diverse range of fungal endophytes a number sequences were highly similar to those of previously known fungal phytopathogens. A number of sequences detected were similar to fungal species previously identified in soil or plant material but not as endophytes. The remaining sequences were similar to fungal species without a known relationship with plants.

Plants have developed an inducible mechanism of defence against pathogens. In addition to local responses plants have developed a mechanism to protect uninfected tissue through a signal that spreads systemically inducing changes in gene expression. In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* activation of the Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR) pathway and the Jasmonate (JA)/Ethylene (ET) pathway is characterised by the production of pathogenesis-related (PR) and antimicrobial proteins resulting in systemic pathogen resistance. Endophytic actinobacteria, isolated from healthy wheat roots in our laboratory, have been shown to enhance disease resistance to multiple pathogens in wheat when coated onto the seed before sowing. Real Time RT-PCR was used to determine if key genes in the SAR and JA/ET pathways were induced in response to inoculation with endophytic actinobacteria.

Inoculation of wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana* with selected strains of endophytic actinobacteria was able to 'prime' the defence pathways by inducing low level expression of SAR and JA/ET genes. Upon pathogen infection the defence-genes are strongly up-regulated and the endophyte coated plants had significantly higher expression of these genes compared to un-inoculated plants. Resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* was mediated by the JA/ET pathway whereas the fungal pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* triggered primarily the SAR pathway.

Further analysis of the endophytic actinobacteria-mediated resistance was performed using the *Streptomyces* sp. EN27 and Arabidopsis defence-compromised mutants. It was found that resistance to *E. carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* mediated by *Streptomyces* sp. EN27 occurred via a NPR1-independent pathway and required salicylic acid whereas the jasmonic acid and ethylene signalling molecules were not essential. In contrast resistance to *F. oxysporum* mediated by *Streptomyces* sp. EN27 occurred via a NPR1-independent pathway and required and ethylene signalling molecules were not essential. In contrast resistance to *F. oxysporum* mediated by *Streptomyces* sp. EN27 occurred via a NPR1-dependent pathway but also required salicylic acid and was JA-and ET-independent.

This research demonstrated that inoculating wheat with endophytic actinobacteria does not disrupt the indigenous endophytic population and may be inducing systemic resistance by activating defence pathways which lead to the expression of antimicrobial genes and resistance to a broad range of pathogens.