

**THE CENTRE FOR DEVELOPMENT STUDIES
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN THE
PHILIPPINES**

By

Ben Heyward

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the Master of Arts Degree
(Research)

SEPTEMBER 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES 4

LIST OF TABLES 4

ABSTRACT 6

CHAPTER 1: A DISCURSIVE APPROACH TO PARTICIPATION FOR EMPOWERMENTERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

1.1	The participation factor	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
1.2	Aims	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
1.3	Rationale	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
1.4	Structure of the Thesis	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 2: A FRAMEWORK FOR PARTICIPATORY ANALYSIS ERROR! BOOKMARK

2.1	Democracy and Community Development	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	The Range of Participatory Practice	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.3	Models of Community Participation	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.4	Positive synergy between socio-ecology and project	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.5	The Participation of Women for Community Empowerment	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.6	Negative synergy: downward spirals	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.7	The Costs of Participation	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
2.8	Conclusion	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 3: A REVIEW OF PARTICIPATION IN DEVELOPMENTERROR! BOOKMAR

3.1	Introduction	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Discourses of Participation	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Fluid discourse: sliding from discourse to participatory discourse	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.
3.4	Conclusion	ERROR! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 4: SOCIO-ECOLOGY OF PHILIPPINE SMALLHOLDERS ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

- 4.1 Introduction Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.2 The Socio-Ecological Situation of Rural Smallholders Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.3 Elite Establishment and Enlistment of Philippines Smallholders Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.4 The Tradition of Peasant Self-reliance and Resistance Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.5 Cultural and Economic Neo-colonialism versus Resistance Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4.6 A New Republic Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH METHODS ...ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

- 5.1 Selection of Projects for the Comparative Study Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.2 The Use of Discourse Analysis Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.3 Analysis of the discourse of Implementation Reports Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.4 Interviews with community members Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.5 Process of information recording from Focus Group Discussions Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.6 Question Fields for the Focus Group Discussions Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.7 Qualitative Analysis of the Focus Group Discussion Records Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5.8 The Utility of Discourse Analysis Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 6: PARTICIPATION PRAXIS OF THREE NGOS ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

- 6.1 Introduction Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.2 Community Discourses: prior situations and project initiation Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.3 The self-understanding of the NGOs Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.4 Findings about Community Participation Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.5 Endangering survival and leadership: the risks of innovation Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.6 Empowerment through the 1991 Local Government Code Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6.7 The Major Contributing Factors to Key Project Outcomes Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION: THE OUTCOME OF EMPOWERMENT ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

- 7.1 Introduction Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 7.2 The role of NGOs and Higher Levels of Government Error! Bookmark not defined.

7.3	Government of the Philippines Forestry Management Policies	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.4	Sustainable Communitarianism as Alternative Development	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.5	The impact of the Local Government Code of 1991	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.6	The Keys of Discourse	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.7	Women's Distinctive Impact	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.8	Leaders fostering participation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.9	Conclusions	Error! Bookmark not defined.

BIBLIOGRAPHY**ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

APPENDICES**ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

Appendix 1 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

ATTACHMENT**ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The Continuum of Community Participation ..**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 2: Regional Map of the Philippines**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 3: A Landscape of Community Participation Models**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Comparative Study Sites in the Philippines.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 2: Required Cross-matches for Authentic Participation**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 3: Type of Participation by Community undergoing Urban Renewal**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 4: A Range of Participatory Approaches**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 5 Rural Population Densities and Farm Sizes.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 6 Comparative Study Sites in the Philippines.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 7: Local Facilitators' Focus Group Interview Question-field....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 8: Women's Focus Group Interview Question-field.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 9 Farmers' Focus Group Interview Question-field**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 10 Leaders' Focus Group Interview Question-field**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 11 Summary of Pre-project Situations**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 12 Summary self-description of the implementing NGOs..**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 13 Proposed and actual community participation models ...**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 14 Theme Tables of Focus Group Discussion Information and Comments **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 15 Comments on Community Meetings by focus group and site**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 16 Comments on Training by focus groups and site.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 17 Comments on leadership by focus groups and site**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 18 Ideas for the Future (vision) by focus group and site**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 19 Non-adopter resistance and secret adoption by focus groups and site.... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Table 20 Conservation project outcomes**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

ABSTRACT

Community participation takes place when community members act together as subjects. It is argued here that community participation empowers when community members take decisions, or negotiate an equitable share in making the decisions that affect them. However, since participation takes place within a network of power relations it is not necessarily empowering but can take a range of forms from enforcement to empowerment, whereby empowerment may involve not only willing cooperation, but also resistance to outsider project objectives. This thesis explores these issues through a study of how people in three Philippine upland communities participated in soil conservation and livelihood restoration projects initiated by three different NGOs.

The principal aim of the study was to identify and examine the changing discourses of development and participation held by the NGOs and by the members of the subject communities. The development discourses revolved around socio-ecology, described as the relationship between the culture and society of Filipino subsistence smallholders and the ecological units of their local environment. The failure of this existing socio-ecology under the pressure of increasing population density on a limited upland resource base was the stimulus for change in the study communities. The thesis compares the NGOs' practice of engaging with the communities with their discourses of participation, and examines the importance of the relationships between the NGOs, government agencies and the communities for the success of the projects.

The study identified several key factors in the empowerment of subject groups. Firstly, the need for a discourse that enables them to embark on socio-ecological change. For the Filipino communities examined here, the discourse of sustainability was validated by enabling the restoration of their livelihoods. Secondly, outside agencies, either NGO or government, may be needed to catalyse community change processes. Thirdly, the subjects need leaders who have the vision and skills to work for the desired livelihood and social development outcomes. Training activities of livelihood restoration proved highly significant in expanding women's political space that led to opportunities for them to take up leadership, as well as giving capacity-building training for existing and future leaders which helped to equalize gender relations between men and women.

Fourthly, the policy and program initiatives of host government agencies can synergize with community and partner agency activities at several levels, including resourcing and building the capacities of leadership.

DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "B.R. Heyward".

Signed:

Date: 22 September 2006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest thanks are due to my supervisor Dr Susanne Schech at the Centre for Development Studies for her unwavering encouragement, critique and great patience. Further I thank and commend the interest, dedication and cooperation of all the staff at the Mindanao Baptist Rural Life Centre, Central Mindanao University and the Mag-uugmad Foundation for their arrangements, moderation, discussions and feedback which have all contributed to this study. My fieldwork travel and research costs were supported by grants from the Flinders University of South Australia. Finally, I want to express my appreciation and admiration for the thoughtfulness, candour and wit of the many men and women of the communities of Bacungan, Columbagon and Tabayag who joined in the discussions which were the basis of the study.