

*On the importance of behavioural
adaptations in thermally
challenged intertidal ectotherms:
implications for climate change
studies*

Coraline Chapperon

BSc, MSc

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Sciences and Engineering,
School of Biological Sciences of Flinders University in total
fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy of Flinders University

June 2012

Supervisor: Prof. Laurent Seuront

Co-supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Jim Mitchell



Flinders
UNIVERSITY

*On the importance of behavioural
adaptations in thermally
challenged intertidal ectotherms:
implications for climate change
studies*

Coraline Chapperon

BSc, MSc

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Sciences and Engineering,
School of Biological Sciences of Flinders University in total
fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy of Flinders University

June 2012

Supervisor: Prof. Laurent Seuront

Co-supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Jim Mitchell



Flinders
UNIVERSITY

*To my mother (Marie), brother (Luc) and grand-mother (Emilienne),
To my son Bastian, the apple of my eye.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary I	
Declaration	III
Acknowledgements	IV
List of Tables	VI
List of Figures	VIII
Chapter I. General Introduction	1
Chapter II. Temporal shifts in motion behaviour and habitat use in an intertidal gastropod.....	18
Abstract 19	
Introduction.....	21
Materials and Methods.....	24
Field site and species studied	24
Snail collection, tagging and release.....	24
Distribution of <i>Nerita atramentosa</i> : microhabitat occupation and status	25
Activity, dispersion and motion behaviour of <i>Nerita atramentosa</i>	26
Activity index.....	26
Foraging behaviour	26
Dispersion	29
Statistical analyses	29
Results	30
Temporal variability in <i>N. atramentosa</i> distribution pattern	30
Aggregation behaviour (Table 3, Figure 1A).....	30
Microhabitat occupation (Table 3, Figure 1B).....	31
Temporal variability in <i>N. atramentosa</i> dispersion and foraging behaviour	31
Activity index.....	31
Dispersion (Figure 2).	32
Foraging behaviour.	33
Discussion	34
<i>N. atramentosa</i> distribution patterns as an adaptation to exogenous and endogenous stressors.....	34
<i>N. atramentosa</i> dispersion as an adaptation to environmental stress and food availability.....	36
Intermittency in foraging behaviour: an adaptive response to resource distribution	37
Technical limitations in movement studies: a challenge for intertidal ecologists.....	39

Conclusion	40
Acknowledgements	41
References	42
Chapter III. Behavioural thermoregulation in a tropical gastropod: links to climate change scenarios	55
Abstract	56
Introduction	58
Materials and Methods	61
Studied area and species	61
Substrate and snail body temperature	62
Microhabitat selection and aggregation behaviour	64
Statistical analyses	66
Results	66
<i>Littoraria scabra</i> thermal properties and environment temperature.....	66
<i>Littoraria scabra</i> thermal properties and behaviour	67
Discussion	69
Substrate temperature as a proxy for <i>L. scabra</i> body temperatures.....	69
Micro-scale selection of substrate temperatures vs. microhabitat selection ..	71
Escape behaviour as a response to thermal stress	74
Conclusion	76
Acknowledgements	76
References	77
Chapter IV. Space-time variability in environmental thermal properties and snail thermoregulatory behaviour	91
Abstract	92
Introduction	94
Materials and methods	97
Studied area and species	97
Snail density and distribution patterns	98
Snail body temperature and substratum surface temperature	99
Results	102
Space-time dynamic of <i>N. atramentosa</i> density and distribution patterns ..	102
Boulder field	102
Rock platform	102
Space-time dynamics of environment and snail body thermal properties ..	103
Boulder field	103
Rock platform	103
Microhabitat occupation and thermal properties	104

Boulder field	104
Rock platform	104
Aggregation behaviour and thermal properties.....	105
Boulder field	105
Rock platform	106
Discussion	106
Substratum temperature as a primary determinant of <i>N. atramentosa</i> mantle temperature.....	106
Mosaics of substrata and body temperature patterns at different spatial scales	107
Variability in thermoregulatory behaviour: a gap in climate change studies	109
Conclusion	111
Acknowledgments.....	112
References	112
Chapter V. Thermally-Mediated Body Temperature, Water Content and Aggregation Behaviour in the Intertidal Gastropod <i>Nerita atramentosa</i>.....	128
Abstract.	129
Introduction.....	131
Material and methods.....	133
Study organisms and sampling strategy.....	133
Environment and snail mantle temperature	136
Snail water content.....	137
Statistical analysis	138
Results	140
Space-time variability in air temperature.....	140
Space-time variability in substratum and snail temperatures.....	140
Space-time variability in <i>N. atramentosa</i> water content.....	141
Aggregation and thermal stress.....	141
Aggregation and desiccation stress	142
Discussion	142
Habitat temperature and thermal stability control snail body temperature, water content and behaviour	142
Aggregation behaviour buffers local environmental conditions.....	145
Conclusion	146
Acknowledgments.....	148
References	148
Chapter VI. Keeping warm in the cold: on the thermal benefits of aggregation behaviour in an intertidal ectotherm	161

Abstract	162
Introduction.....	164
Materials and methods	167
Study species and site	167
Thermal properties of solitary and aggregated snails, and surrounding substratum	168
Thermal heterogeneity within aggregates	170
Absolute thermal heterogeneity	170
Relative thermal heterogeneity	171
Aggregate size and temperature	171
Statistical analyses	171
Results	172
Solitary individuals vs. aggregates (Fig. 3).....	172
Thermal heterogeneity within aggregates	173
Absolute thermal heterogeneity (Fig. 4)	173
Relative thermal heterogeneity. (Fig. 5)	173
Aggregate size and temperature	174
Discussion	175
Winter aggregation in the intertidal: a behavioural adaptation to the cold.	175
Winter snail aggregates: why are they warmer than solitary snails?	177
Winter snail aggregates: when spatial position and size matter.....	179
Conclusion	181
Acknowledgements.....	182
References	182
Chapter VII. General Discussion.....	196
The problem of the scale: towards an organism perspective	197
Thermal mosaics: on the identification of thermal refuges.....	198
Ectotherm behaviour as a buffer of the changing climate	200
Remaining gaps in climate change models	202
Conclusion	206
References.....	207

SUMMARY

The recent integration of physiological responses of ectotherms in climate change models has provided a greater insight into the species thermal window of adaptation, hence future distribution ranges. However, there are still fundamental gaps in these climate change models. In the present thesis, intertidal ecosystems were used as outdoor laboratories and valuable models, which used invertebrates as bio-indicators to bridge the gaps between the thermal ecology of ectotherms and climate change models. Intertidal invertebrates already live at or near to the upper edge of their thermal tolerance window, hence have limited physiological abilities to adapt to further warming. Their behavioural adaptations to mean temperature increase and especially to extreme events are then likely to play a major role in the maintenance of individuals' fitness in the warming climate. Nevertheless, the behaviour is still absent from climate change models. In addition, the local environmental context, especially the spatial environmental thermal heterogeneity, is overlooked in climate change models. Yet, this appears critical since mobile ectotherms living in heterogeneous environments such as the intertidal might behaviourally take advantage of thermal mosaics when facing new environmental conditions by, for example, relocating into thermally favourable microhabitats. The large scale approach used in climate change studies also leads to erroneous measurements of ectotherm body temperatures that largely contribute to distribution patterns. Indeed, they usually consider air temperature measured at large spatial scale to be a good proxy for animal body temperatures. Nonetheless, organism thermal properties are determined by multiple non-climatic and biotic variables which interact at the niche level. In this context, the present work aimed to investigate (i) the primary factor(s) that determine body

temperature, displacement and distribution patterns of mobile intertidal ectotherms at the individual scale, (ii) the space-time heterogeneity in environmental and invertebrate body temperatures at a range of scales by using thermal imaging, and (iii) the potential adaptive behavioural capacity of intertidal ectotherms to compensate for climate change. The main results show that substratum temperature could be used as a primary determinant for mobile intertidal ectotherms in climate change models, instead of air temperature. I also highlight a high substratum thermal heterogeneity at centimeter scale in different habitats, *i.e.* tropical mangroves and temperate rocky shores. This contributes to the growing evidence that small spatial scale variability in thermal environmental properties can surpass the thermal variability measured at large spatial scales. Besides this evidence for the importance of thermal variability, I have demonstrated that the gastropod species *Littoraria scabra*, in tropical mangroves, and *Nerita atramentosa*, on temperate rocky shores, were able to select thermally favourable microhabitats or substrata to behaviourally thermoregulate. I also emphasise the buffering role of aggregation behaviour under cold, hot and desiccation stresses. In conclusion, the present work shows the need to integrate small spatial scale heterogeneity found in environmental conditions and thermoregulatory behaviours that appeared to be species and habitat-specific into climate change models. This small spatial scale heterogeneity constitutes a fundamental prerequisite to make prediction about ectotherm distribution ranges in the changing climate.

DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text'.

Coraline Chapperon

June 2012

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my PhD supervisor and mentor Prof. Laurent Seuront for his ongoing support, patience, guidance and encouragement during this exciting journey. Thank you Laurent for sharing your endless passion, enthusiasm and immense knowledge. Thank you for the fruitful and passionate discussions and the numerous laughs in the field, thanks for making sciences so fun and interesting. My deepest gratitude to you for giving me the chance to come to your lab in Australia and for the opportunity to become the scientist I am today. I will never forget your mottos “*fun science is good science*” and “*the simpler, the better*”, they have resonated in the back of my head at each step of this journey.

Thank you to Assoc Prof Jim Mitchell for having his office door always open, for giving me very helpful advice, for opening my eyes to the other areas of science and for the ongoing support during my PhD studies.

I also would like to thank Dr Justin Seymour for his advice and the long discussions at the beginning of my PhD. Thanks to all of the members of the “Mitchell-Seuront Lab” (Virginie, Eloise, Tom, Ben, James, Trish, Kelly, Nardi, Renee, Crystal and Karina) for the fun lab meetings and great times doing field work! Thanks to Nardi for her emotional support during this journey, for introducing me to the fascinating world of dolphins, for her help during field work and for the great company in Fiji. Special thanks go to my dear friend Virginie; for her endless support and listening ear, for the numerous late evenings spent in the office, the laughs and the cries, and the great moments spent walking on the beach. Thanks to Cédric Le Bris for the great time we had working

together. Thanks to Dr Nicolas Spilmont for welcoming me in his laboratory in France to conduct field work.

I also would like to acknowledge everyone who provided me with technical support; Dr Kirsten Benkendorff and Stefan Caddy-Retalic for the use of thermal imaging, technicians of Flinders University, IT people (Brett and Raphael) and administrative staff of the School of Biology. I would also like to acknowledge the financial support from Flinders University for my international postgraduate research scholarship and travel grants. Thanks also to others institutions (Australian Research Council, Malacological Society of Australasia and London) that financially contributed to my studies or travels.

I could not have done my PhD without the support and ongoing love of my family and dear friends in France. Thank you so much for your patience, understanding and for always being present in my life despite the distance. Special thanks to my mum and my brother who have always encouraged me even if I know how difficult it is to have me so far away from home.

Greatest thanks to Christopher, for his love, support, encouragement and patience. My last thoughts go to my precious son Bastian, your beautiful smile provided me with the energy I needed to finish this challenging journey.

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter II.

Table 1. Snail body size, meteorological data and metrics used to quantify the motion behaviour of <i>Nerita atramentosa</i> in four different periods in 2009-2010.	50
Table 2. Definitions and roles of the four microhabitats considered in this study.	51
Table 3. Kruskal-Wallis test and subsequent multiple comparisons to investigate the temporal variation in the parameters used to quantify <i>Nerita atramentosa</i> distribution and movement patterns between the four microhabitats.....	52

Chapter IV.

Table 1. Mean, minimum and maximum values in the studied variables (<i>i.e.</i> mantle temperature, substratum temperature, body-to-substratum temperature ratio, difference of temperature between the mantle of individuals and the surrounding substratum) on both habitats and in both seasons.	120
Table 2. Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> -Test to investigate the variation in the studied variables between two microhabitats on the boulder field in both seasons and at both studied shore levels.	121
Table 3. Kruskal-Wallis Test and and subsequent multiple comparisons to investigate the variation in the studied variables between three microhabitats in both seasons and at both studied shore levels.	122
Table 4. Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> -Test to investigate the variation in in the studied variables between aggregated and solitary individuals at both seasons and in both habitats and at both studied shore levels.	123

Chapter V.

Table 1. Mean, minimum and maximum observed values of studied variables (<i>i.e.</i> water content, mantle temperature, substratum temperature, mantle to substratum temperature ratio) in aggregated and solitary snails both habitats and at both studied times.	156
Table 2. Mann-Whitney U test to investigate differences in the studied variables in aggregated and solitary snails and in all individuals between times in both habitats, and between habitats at both times.	157
Table 3. Mann-Whitney U test to investigate differences in the studied variables between aggregated and solitary individuals at both times in both habitats. .	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter II.

- Figure 1.** Proportion of *Nerita atramentosa* individuals aggregated and microhabitat occupation at daytime low tide over 8 days in May 2009, August 2009, October 2009 and February 2010..... 53
- Figure 2.** Two-dimensional positions of *Nerita atramentosa* individuals from the release point recorded at 8 daytime low tides in May 2009, August 2009, October 2009 and February 2010.....54

Chapter III.

- Figure 1.** Thermal and digital pictures of *Littoraria scabra* individuals situated under a *Rhizophora* sp. root.86
- Figure 2.** *Littorina scabra* individual body temperatures versus substrate temperatures as a function of the different *Rhizophora* sp. root microhabitats.87
- Figure 3.** Thermal and digital pictures of the *Rhizophora* sp. root shown in Figure 1. Thermal profile taken from the top to the bottom of the root.....88
- Figure 4.** Frequency of occurrence of *L. scabra* aggregation size89
- Figure 5.** Sequence of *L. scabra* trail following behaviour recorded on a *Rhizophora* sp. root.90

Chapter IV.

- Figure 1.** Thermal and digital pictures of *Nerita atramentosa*.....124
- Figure 2.** *Nerita atramentosa* microhabitat occupation and aggregation frequency in both seasons and shore levels on boulder field and rock platform..125

Figure 3. *Nerita atramentosa* individual mantle temperatures, substratum temperatures and air temperature in both habitats and at both seasons.....126

Figure 4. *Nerita atramentosa* individual mantle temperatures versus substratum temperatures in both habitats and at both seasons in different microhabitats.127

Chapter V.

Figure 1. Mantle temperature of *Nerita atramentosa* individuals versus surrounding substratum temperature in both habitats at both times.....159

Figure 2. Water content and mantle to substratum temperature ratio of solitary and aggregated *Nerita atramentosa* individuals in both habitats at both times. ...
.....160

Chapter VI.

Figure 1. *Nerita atramentosa* aggregates observed at the top of boulders and on a rock platform at Billy Light's point (Port Lincoln, South Australia).....191

Figure 2. *Nerita atramentosa* aggregate, surrounding substratum, thermal profile and spatial categories (surrounding substratum, outer edge, inner edge, outer centre, inner centre.192

Figure 3. Mantle temperature of *Nerita atramentosa* aggregates and solitary individuals to the surrounding substratum temperature.193

Figure 4. Proportions of values for each temperature category *i.e.* the coldest, cold, warm and the warmest, calculated in each spatial category within aggregates *i.e.* outer edge, inner edge, outer centre, inner centre.194

Figure 5. Mean mantle to substratum temperature calculated between the different spatial categories within aggregates *i.e.* outer edge, inner edge, outer

centre, inner centre and surrounding substratum, and between solitary
individuals and surrounding substratum. 195