

**EXAMINATION OF PLANT WATER STRESS
AND GROUNDWATER RECHARGE IN THE MOUNT LOFTY
RANGES: AN ISOTOPIC PERSPECTIVE**

Xiang Xu

Submitted as a requirement in full

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the

School of the Environment

Flinders University of South Australia

Adelaide, South Australia

October 2014

To my husband

Guoqiang

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
SUMMARY	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	16
1.1 Introduction	16
References	21
CHAPTER 2 LEAF $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ OF NATIVE SPECIES ON TWO CONTRASTING HILLSLOPES IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH WATER STRESS	2
5	
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2 Materials and methods	28
2.2.1 Study site	28
2.2.2 Field work and sample storage	29
2.2.3 Isotopic analysis	30
2.2.4 Calculation of potential evapotranspiration	30
2.2.5 Radiation inputs and topographic index	32
2.3 Results and discussion	33
2.3.1 Seasonal variations of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	33
2.3.2 Spatial variations of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	37
2.3.3 Time series of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and aridity index	38
2.3.4 Spatial leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and aridity index	39
2.4 Conclusions and implications	41
References	41
CHAPTER 3 ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION OF THROUGHFALL IN PINE PLANTATION	

AND NATIVE EUCALYPTUS FOREST	45
3.1 Introduction.....	45
3.2 Study area	48
3.3 Methods.....	49
3.3.1 Field work.....	49
3.3.2 Isotopic analysis.....	50
3.3.3 Leaf area index	51
3.3.4 Calculation of mean isotopic composition	51
3.4 Results and discussion	51
3.4.1 Isotopic composition of rainfall	51
3.4.2 Isotopic composition of throughfall	53
3.4.3 Conceptual framework of isotopic composition of throughfall	59
3.4.4 Implications to groundwater recharge, hydrograph separation and paleoclimate	64
References.....	66
 CHAPTER 4 EXAMINATION OF ECOHYDROLOGICAL PROCESSES ON TWO CONTRASTING HILLSLOPES IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA USING $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ AND $\delta^2\text{H}$.....	 71
4.1 Introduction.....	71
4.2 Materials and methods	73
4.2.1 Study site	73
4.2.2 Field work and sample storage	74
4.2.3 Isotopic analysis.....	76
4.2.4 The slope of water line	77
4.3 Results and discussion	78
4.3.1 Seasonal plant water use.....	78
4.3.2 Rain event and plant water use.....	85
4.3.3 Root zone moisture replenishment.....	87
4.4 Conclusions and implications	89

4.5	References	90
CHAPTER 5 DYNAMIC GROUNDWATER RECHARGE ESTIMATION USING STORAGE-DISCHARGE RELATIONSHIPS		93
5.1	Introduction.....	93
5.2	Theory	96
5.2.1	Conceptual model	96
5.2.2	Recharge estimation	98
5.2.2.1	Derivation of the storage-discharge (S-Q) function.....	98
5.2.2.2	Groundwater recharge estimation from the S-Q function.....	101
5.3	The study area and methods.....	103
5.3.1	Study site and hydrogeology.....	103
5.3.2	Data	105
5.3.3	Recharge estimation	106
5.3.4	Recharge estimation using the CMB.....	110
5.4	Results and discussion.....	111
5.4.1	Hydrological seasonality of the study area.....	111
5.4.2	Storage-discharge relationships and recharge estimation	113
5.4.3	Comparison between the SQR and the CMB.....	117
5.4.4	Dynamic recharge and climate.....	120
5.5	Limitations and difficulties.....	123
5.6	Conclusions	125
	References.....	125
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS		130
6.1	Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and water stress	130
6.2	Canopy alteration of throughfall isotopic composition.....	131
6.3	Ecohydrological processes of two contrasting hillslopes.....	132
6.4	Groundwater recharge estimation for catchments of complex topography and vegetation cover.....	133

6.5 Recommendations for future work..... 134

Reference..... 135

Appendix 136

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (in ‰) at the Mount Wilson site from 31/Aug/2012 to 02/Sep/2013. ...	36
Table 2.2 Linear stepwise regression results for time series data of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and aridity index for the two genera <i>Eucalyptus Leucoxyton</i> and <i>Acacia Pycnantha</i>	38
Table 2.3 Linear stepwise regression results for spatial variations of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and aridity index including the two genera, <i>Eucalyptus</i> and <i>Acacia</i>	39
Table 2.4 Linear stepwise regression results for spatial variations of integrated aridity index (PET/P) and topographic index (TI) and leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of <i>Eucalyptus</i> and <i>Acacia</i> separately.	40
Table 3.1 Climate characteristics and volume weighted mean of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and <i>d</i> -excess in the open site (O), the pine site (P) and the native vegetation site (N) in Kuytso Forest, South Australia. Air temperature and Relative humidity are mean daily values from the start day to the end day of the batch collection.	55
Table 4.1 Volume weighted mean of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and <i>d</i> -excess of throughfall samples on north facing slope (NFS), south facing slope (SFS) and that of precipitation at the open site. ...	80
Table 4.2 Climate conditions including mean daily temperature (T) and mean daily relative humidity (RH) obtained from sensors on the masts on each slope, and mean daily potential evapotranspiration (PET) calculated by Priestley-Taylor equation in the dry season and the wet season of equator facing slope and pole facing slope, respectively. Leaf area index (LAI) and mean daily transpiration rate (Tr) on each hillslope are also given in this table.	81
Table 4.3 Theoretical slopes (S) of evaporated water line are calculated from the temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) for north facing slope and south facing slope. Minimum T and maximum RH can give largest S while maximum T and minimum RH will have smallest S.	82
Table 4.4 Soil texture for equator facing slope and pole facing slope using sedimentation method based on Stoke's law. Data for each depth are averaged from 5 soil columns on each slope at the same depth.	83

Table 5.1. The area, gauge elevation, and annual precipitation of 6 catchments in the study area.	104
Table 5.2 Derived storage-discharge functions for 6 catchments in the Mount Lofty Ranges. The values of a and b as in $-dQ/dt = aQ^b$ are estimated from the intercept (e.g. $a = e^{-2.05}$ for catchment 3) and slope (e.g. $b=0.97$ for catchment 3) of the linear fitting respectively.	113
Table 5.3 Annual average values for streamflow, storage changes, and base flow in the dry period and wet period respectively. The last three columns present net groundwater recharge (R_n), net subsurface lateral outflow (L) and direct water table recharge (R_w). It should be noticed that values of Q_{min}^{1or4} are all larger than zero but only two digits are shown here.	115
Table 5.4. Principle component loadings (correlation coefficients between principal component scores and original data) of the first four PC.	118
Table 5.5 Water input (precipitation) and output (streamflow) of Onkaparinga River at Hahndorf (catchment 3).	120
Table 5.6 Chloride input (precipitation) and output (streamflow) of Onkaparinga River at Hahndorf (catchment 3) and annual groundwater recharge based on CMB method.	120
Table 5.7 The results of analytical sensitivity analysis of the two parameter recursive digital basflow separation filter. $S (BFI c)$ is the sensitivity index for the parameter c . In this notation, S stands for “sensitivity index”, the first symbol in the parentheses (here BFI) indicates the output that is assessed, and the second symbol (here c) the uncertain input. Similarly as the sensitivity index $S (BFI BFI_{max})$ for parameter BFI_{max}	124

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1 Pool leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of various tree genera vs. mean annual total precipitation for Europe, North America, Asia, Oceania and Southern Africa (summarized by Diefendorf et al., 2010) as shown as black diamonds while those for Australia (Cernusak et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2001; Schulze et al., 1998; 2006; Stewart et al., 1995; Turner et al., 2008) are shown as blue diamonds in panel A. More specifically, continent of Europe and Australia are shown in panel B and C respectively. Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values generally decrease with increased precipitation except that of continent of Europe and Northern Australia.27
- Figure 2.2 The study site and locations of ten sampling trees on the two contrasting hillslopes.29
- Figure 2.3 Daily precipitation from 1/Jan/2012 to 12/Dec/2013 of the Mount Wilson site (upper panel) and two sets of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the 10 sampling trees (lower panel) across the site on 05/Mar/2013 and 02/Sep/2013 separately.33
- Figure 2.4 Time series data of leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* and *Acacia pycnantha* on the two contrasting hillslopes.34
- Figure 3.1 Study area at Kuitpo Forest, South Australia.49
- Figure 3.2 Isotopic composition of rainfall, throughfall at P site and N site respectively, and GNIP mean monthly data in Adelaide.53
- Figure 3.3 Relationships between throughfall and rainfall for 17 batches of sample collection in Kuitpo Forest, South Australia.56
- Figure 3.4 Intra-event variations of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and d -excess. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variation (d -excess variation) is calculated as the difference between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (d -excess) of each intra-event sample and the weighted mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (d -excess) of the corresponding event. Red line is hand drawn. 57
- Figure 3.5 The amount effect on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and d -excess in summer. Event samples are collected during 2009-2013 (Figure a) and GNIP data are monthly volume-weighted mean data from 1962 to 1984 (Figure b).58
- Figure 3.6 Conceptual framework for the isotopic composition of throughfall : a. Intra-event selection; b. Partial evaporation of interception; c. Inter-event selection (assumed amount

effect especially in summer). δ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δ_{Th} for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of throughfall, δ_{R} for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of rainfall; solid black line is for intra-event, grey bar is for throughfall collection of the corresponding rainfall; black dash line is weighted mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of the event, red dash line is weighted mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of the corresponding throughfall collection; in c.1 the black open circle is for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of each rain event, the grey filled circle is for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of each throughfall collection and the size of circles indicates the rainfall/throughfall amount. The same applies for *d*-excess.

.....62

Figure 3.7 Histograms of daily rainfall and rain events in summer and winter, respectively from September 2009 to October 2010.....63

Figure 4.1 General performances of water stable isotopes of soil water line (SWL) and in twig water with known local meteoric water line (LMWL). The crosses (theoretical values) show the changes of isotopic compositions in soil water and twig water before (open crosses) and after (filled crosses) a rain event (the blue circle). Very often, twig water is considering as a simple mixture of different water sources, e.g. water from different depths of soil (A). When hydrogen fractionation happens during root water uptake, twig water line will be likely to lie below the soil water line (B).....72

Figure 4.2 The study site and locations of 10 sampling trees on two contrasting hillslopes, the pole facing slope (SFS) and equator facing slope (NFS).....74

Figure 4.3 Time series data of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in throughfall (crosses), groundwater (triangles) soil water at different depths (circles) and twigs (diamonds) which are averaged for each hillslope, respectively.....79

Figure 4.4 Isotopic compositions of throughfall, groundwater at the study site and twig water of the 10 sampling trees from 31/Aug/2012 to 02/Sep/2013. More specifically, isotopic compositions of twig water are shown in mean values (open diamonds) with error bars based on data clouds in light colours. Linear relationships between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$ of throughfall (upper part) and twig water (lower part) in wet season and dry season for pole facing slope and equator facing slope are also given separately. Wet season is from November to March and dry season is from June to October.....84

Figure 4.5 Isotopic compositions of twig water before (in green) and after rain events (in red) for SFS (left panel A, C and E) and NFS (right panel B, D and F), respectively. Rain events were collected in 2 mm (maximum) increment thus named as intra-event. Three

events (A and B, C and D, E and F), 2/May-16/May, 12/Jul-15/Jul and 16/Aug-26/Aug of 2013, are given here respectively. Different trees are shown in details in panels C and D when event from 12/Jul/2013 to 15/Jul/2013 has very different values of isotopic composition from those of twig water before the event. Tree ID (e.g. f) is the twig water before while Tree ID_after (f_after) is the twig water after the event.86

Figure 4.6 Daily precipitation from and soil moisture data at different depths for NFS (A) and SFS (B) from 05/Aug/2013 to 02/Sep/2013.88

Figure 5.1 Conceptual diagram to illustrate groundwater recharge processes for areas of distinctive dry and wet seasons. On the left panel: two conditions (one in wet season and the other in dry season) are demonstrated, where P is precipitation, ET is evapotranspiration, Q is streamflow, and L is net subsurface lateral outflow. The magnitude of Q is controlled by groundwater storage S through baseflow. The detail of change in streamflow is shown in the right panel for a typical year. Period 1 refers to the dry condition of the catchment before the recharge season (wet season), period 2 refers to the recharge season, period 3 refers to the beginning of dry season (drying period) after the recharge of the year, and period 4 refers to the dry period of the next year in which catchment storage releases water recharged in the previous year. Thus period 3 and 4 constitute the dry season of the year and period 5 refers to the beginning of the next recharge season. Simply, periods 2, 3 and 4 is considered as a hydrological year in this study.97

Figure 5.2 The study area showing six catchments chosen for storage-discharge relationship analysis because of data availability..... 105

Figure 5.3 A recession plot for the catchment of Onkaparinga River at Hahndorf (catchment 3) based on daily streamflow data of January to mid-April and mid-October to December from 2002 to 2013 excluding rainy days. Black dots represent binned values obtained using the quantile method, and error bars represent bin standard errors. Standard error of $(-dQ/dt)$ for each bin is less than a half of its mean (dQ/dt) . Residuals are shown for linear fits of $\ln(-dQ/dt)$ and Q . Recession plots for other catchments are provided in the Appendix. 108

Figure 5.4 Water stable isotopic composition of groundwater (42 samples, open circles), summer precipitation (6 multiple-month samples, open triangles) and winter precipitation

(5 multiple-month samples, open diamonds) in the study area. The blue fitted shapes with error bars are the mean value for the corresponding water body. 112

Figure 5.5 Long-term average precipitation and pan evaporation for each month at sample site 23801 (1967-2007, catchment 3 marked on figure 5.2) in the Mount Lofty Ranges. . 113

Figure 5.6 Selected PCA results of observed borehole hydrochemical data. Red dots are used to calculate groundwater Cl^- concentration for Hahndorf catchment (catchment 3).
..... 118

Figure 5.7. Inter-annual variability of direct water-table recharge and net recharge versus precipitation and aridity index for the three catchments with long time series data. The net recharge values (5 points) that are much smaller than -5 mm/year were excluded in the fitting of catchment 2 because of unreasonable high baseflow estimation in dry season. 121

Figure 5.8 Inter-catchment variability of mean direct water-table recharge and net recharge versus precipitation and aridity index for the six catchments. Data labels show catchment numbers from Table 5.1..... 123

SUMMARY

Climate change may impact both water resources and terrestrial ecosystem structures. For a better understanding of the hydrological and ecological responses to future climate change, it is important to know how recharge relates to climate conditions, how vegetation uses rain water of strong seasonal variation, and how much stress vegetation experienced under current climate conditions. This dissertation is to examine these issues from isotopic perspective based on a study area with a strong hydroclimatic gradient induced by topographic relief.

Upland catchments usually are not only composed of bedrock aquifers, thick fracture-rock vadose zone and thin soil, but also vegetation cover. Experiments were performed on two contrasting hillslopes in a native vegetated catchment – Mount Wilson, to study plant responses to environmental conditions (primarily water stress). Significant seasonal variations in leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are observed for both studied C_3 tree genus up to 1.7‰ for *Eucalyptus Leucoxydon* and up to 2.7‰ for *Acacia Pycnantha*. Temporally, the linear correlation coefficients between leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and aridity index (PET/P) can be as high as 0.45. Spatially the correlation coefficient is 0.34 for *Eucalyptus* species. This result suggests that PET/P may be applied to quantify the relationship between leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and plant water stress.

Stable isotope water composition of precipitation is of importance as input characterization to trace recharge sources of groundwater. In vegetated catchments, the input water isotopic composition is altered from precipitation. Based on one year throughfall monitoring for both ^{18}O and d -excess at two vegetated surfaces in Kuitpo Forest, South Australia, the results indicate that isotopic alteration can be significant in densely vegetated catchments and is important for hydrograph separation studies, but can be negligible for tracing groundwater recharge sources.

Water isotopic composition is also used to examine how vegetation uses rain water in a typical Mediterranean climate. One-year monitoring of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$ in twig water is applied to understand the root zone moisture replenishment. The response of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$ of twig water to the rain events indicates that landscape water storage capacity of winter rain is important for plant growth and survival during dry summer. The results of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$ of groundwater had a mean value -5.1‰ and -27.5‰ respectively and that of throughfall in the wet season had a mean value $-5.1(\pm 1.7)\text{‰}$ and $-23.4(\pm 13.3)\text{‰}$ correspondingly. They indicate that groundwater recharge is dominated by events in the wet season.

Extended from this small catchment, water isotopic composition is used to examine groundwater recharge seasonality over the whole Mount Lofty Ranges. Based on this understanding, an improved storage-discharge relationship-based method (SQR) is proposed to estimate groundwater recharge for mountainous catchments. Net catchment recharge estimates varies between 1.3 mm/year and 13.5 mm/year. Especially, recharge estimates from catchment Onkaparinga River at Hahndorf using SQR method is (7.0 mm/year) close with that from the independent chloride mass balance estimation (3 mm/year). Good correlation between annual direct water-table recharge and aridity index suggests that this method can be used to examine dynamic responses of groundwater recharge to the climate conditions in mountainous regions.

DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university; and that to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I have received assistance from so many people and agencies during my four-year study of Ph.D. Without their help, this dissertation would not have been possible.

First, I want to acknowledge my supervisor, Dr. Huade Guan for his time, effort, patience and care to shape all the chapters in this dissertation. Especially, I am kind of lost in the first two years of study but Huade never gave me up. I have learned from coding to think critically from Huade. Dr. Grzegorz Skrzypek gave me many useful suggestions about the sampling design and sample analysis. Without his help, chapter 2 and chapter 4 could not be finished. Dr. Craig T. Simmons has triggered my thoughts to think more broadly in research. Dr. John Hutson taught me a lot soil related knowledge. Dr Hoori Ajami who is the author proposed the method for recharge estimation, has helped me so much to finish the chapter 5.

Thanks to Daniel Jardine, Douglas Ford, Sara Lock, Gail Jackson, and Jodie Walker to help me and teach me to do isotope analysis in different kinds of materials. I have bothered these people so much to solve the unexpected problems in using different laboratory equipment. Thanks to Bill Drury, Wayne Peacock for their technical support of rain water collectors.

I would like to acknowledge Post-Doctoral Fellow Hugo Gutierrez-Jurado and Yuting Yang and my fellow students in our group, Hailong Wang, Zijuan Deng, Robert Andrew, Yunquan Wang and Saeedeh Gharib Choobary for the assistance of field work and the happy time we shared with each other. Thanks to Langdon Badger for the access to the field site of Mount Wilson. Thanks to Ashleigh Pitman from Willunga Environment Centre provided identification of the tree species. Thanks to Peter Baylis from Department for Water who gave me the permission of groundwater sampling.

Thanks to my parents for their selfless love in the past 27 years. Thanks to my friend Dongmei Han for taking care of me so much in the fourth year. Thanks to all the people who spend time with me in the four-year study in Adelaide.

Thanks to China Scholarship Council for the financial aid. This dissertation study was supported by National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, School of the Environment of Flinders University of South Australia.

Adelaide

15 Oct 2014